

# Pulsiana Invested in



# 2012-2013 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

A component unit of the State of Louisiana



# Pinvested in Coulsiana



# 2012-2013 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

A component unit of the State of Louisiana

Prepared by the Fiscal, Investments, and Public Information Divisions of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System

The Louisiana Capitol Building stands as a symbol of the pride, the history, and the spirit of Louisiana's people.

Known as "Huey Long's monument," the Capitol rises 450 feet in height with 34 floors, and is the tallest state capitol in the United States.


# Table of Contents

# **Introductory Section**

Letter of Transmittal	1
Certificate of Achievement for	
Excellence in Financial Reporting	7
Public Pension Standards Award	7
Administrative Organization	8
Board of Trustees	9
Professional Consultants	10
<b>Financial Section</b>	
Independent Auditors' Report	13
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	16
Management's Discussion and Analysis	18
Basic Financial Statements	
Statements of Plan Net Position	24
Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position	25
Notes to Financial Statements	26
Required Supplementary Information	57
Schedules of Funding Progress	58
Schedules of Employer Contributions	58
Schedules of Funding Progress for OGB OPEB Trust	59
Supporting Schedules	61
Schedules of Administrative Expenses	62
Schedules of Investment Expenses	63
Schedules of Board Compensation	64
Schedules of Professional/Consultant Fees	65

# **Investment Section**

Chief Investment Officer's Report	67
Summary of Investment Policy	69
Security Holdings Summary Report – 2013	7
Largest Equity Holdings	78
Largest Debt Holdings	78
Largest Louisiana Holdings	79
LASERS Rates of Return	
Total Plan	80
U.S. Equity	80
Global Equity	8
U.S. Fixed Income	8
Alternative Assets	82
Schedule of Brokerage Commissions Paid	83
Schedule of External Management Fees	84
Actuarial Section	
Actuary's Certification Letter	8!
Summary of Assumptions	
Summary of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities/Solvency Test	
Summary of Actuarial and Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities	
Reconciliation of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities	
Membership Data	
Historical Membership Data	
Principle Provisions of the Plan	
Statistical Section	
Summary	10
Changes in Plan Net Position	
Valuation Assets vs. Pension Liabilities	
Employee Contribution Rates	10
Employer Contribution Rates	100
Benefit Expenses by Type	102
Average Monthly Benefit Amounts	109
LASERS Membership	120
LASERS Changes in Membership	120
Number of Benefit Recipients	12
Retired Members by Recipient Type and Plan	128
Location of LASERS Benefit Recipients	131
Fiscal Year 2013 Gross Benefits Paid by Region	132



Flags of the various nations which have ruled Louisiana hang in Memorial Hall as a rich testament to our history.

# Introductory SECTION SECTION

# Contents

Letter of Transmittal	1
Certificate of Achievement for	
Excellence in Financial Reporting	7
Public Pension Standards Award	7
Administrative Organization	8
Board of Trustees	9
Professional Consultants	10



8401 United Plaza Blvd. • Baton Rouge, LA 70809

Web: www.lasersonline.org

Louisiana State Employees' **Retirement System** 

**Phone:** (toll-free) 1.800.256.3000 • (local) 225.922.0600 Mail: P.O. Box 44213 • Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4213

October 7, 2013

#### Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to present to you the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS or the System) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. While volatility and European concerns continued this fiscal year financial markets regained some momentum from the previous year. Also this year the unfunded accrued liability (UAL), the debt owed the System by the State, and the employer contribution rate were impacted by the State's privatization of several agencies and the resulting reduction in workforce. This report includes a wealth of information regarding the activities of LASERS during the past fiscal year, providing clear evidence that LASERS is accomplishing its mission of providing a sound retirement plan for our members through prudent management and exceptional customer service. We trust that you and the other members will find this CAFR helpful in understanding your public employees' retirement system, which is dedicated to protecting your contributions and maximizing your return.

# **Management Responsibility**

This report consists of management's representation concerning LASERS finances. Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all information presented in this report. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the assets from loss, theft, or misuse, and to compile sufficient, reliable information for the preparation of LASERS financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The internal control framework has been designed to provide reasonable, rather than absolute assurance, that the financial statements will be free from material misstatement. As management, we assert that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

Our independent external auditors, Duplantier, Hrapmann, Hogan, and Maher, have conducted an audit of the basic financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, performing such tests and other procedures as they deem necessary to express an opinion in their report to the Board. The external auditors also have full and unrestricted access to the Board to discuss their audit and related findings as to the integrity of the financial reporting and adequacy of internal control systems.

**Board of Trustees:** 

Beverly Hodges, Chair Connie Carlton, Vice Chair Thomas Bickham

Sen. Elbert Guillory Hon. John Kennedy Judge William Kleinpeter Janice Lansing Barbara McManus Commissioner Kristy Nichols Rep. Kevin Pearson Lori Pierce Kathy Singleton Shannon Templet

Cindy Rougeou, Executive Director

#### **Financial Information**

The basic financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis as promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) includes a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements. This Letter of Transmittal is designed to complement the MD&A, and should be read in conjunction with it. LASERS MD&A can be found immediately following the reports of the independent auditors in the Financial Section of this report.

#### **Profile of LASERS**

LASERS is a single employer defined benefit plan, established by the state legislature in 1946, with the first members joining the System on July 1, 1947. The System is a public trust fund created to provide retirement allowances and other benefits for state officers and employees and their beneficiaries. All invested funds, cash, and property are held in the name of LASERS for the sole benefit of the membership. A thirteen-member Board of Trustees (comprised of six active members, three retired members, and four ex officio members) governs the System. The Board administers the programs and appoints key management personnel including the Executive Director, Deputy Director, Assistant Director, and the Chief Investment Officer.

The Board of Trustees annually approves an operating budget for administrative expenses that is prepared by staff to address member and employer needs while keeping costs reasonable. The Board must also approve any changes in the budget during the year. In addition to the Trustees' approval, the budget is approved by the Louisiana Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget.

#### **Investments**

For the fiscal year, LASERS investment portfolio realized a market rate of return on investment assets of 12.6%. The plan earned an annualized return of 11.9% for the three-year period, 6.1% for the seven-year period, and 8.2% for the ten-year period. These returns rank LASERS in the top 40% for the one-year period, the top 35% for the three-year period, the top 22% for the seven-year period, and the top 19% for the ten-year period in the Trust Universe Comparison Services (TUCS) universe of other larger pension plans in the United States.

The foundation of the Investment Division is its asset allocation which is comprehensively studied, monitored and adjusted to produce an optimal mix of assets in order to maximize returns while minimizing risk. A more detailed exhibit of investment performance and a summary of LASERS Statement of Investment Objectives can be found in the Investment Section of this report.

# **Funding**

Annually, the LASERS actuary determines the annual funding requirements needed to meet current and future benefit obligations. Actuarial contributions are based on normal cost and amortization of the

unfunded accrued liability, which has existed since the System's inception. Employers are required to pay the percentage of total payroll equal to the normal cost plus an amount sufficient to amortize the unfunded accrued liability as outlined in Louisiana Revised Statute 11:102 as it pertains to LASERS. This year the LASERS actuary is recommending that the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC) approve a composite employer contribution rate of 37.4% for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

The actuarial value of member benefit liabilities exceeds the value of actuarial assets. At year end, the ratio of the value of actuarial assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased to 60.2% and the System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability decreased to \$6.4 billion primarily a result of a change in asset valuation method, an investment gain, and an experience gain. The investment yield on the actuarial value of assets was 8.2% for 30 years, which is right at the net actuarial rate of return of 8.0% assumed in the valuation. Additional information regarding the financial condition of the pension trust fund can be found in the Actuarial Section of this report.

### **Major Initiatives**

Part of our mission is to provide exceptional customer service to our members and contributing agencies as well as to improve the financial security of our members. Key accomplishments for the past year are summarized below:

#### **System Governance**

LASERS has positioned itself for the future with significant objectives and performance indicators. The Board of Trustees approved and implemented a revised strategic plan. Also we continue to make preserving the defined benefit plan a priority. The Board established a resolution to address significant matters affecting the System and members that include:

- 1. Identification and implementation of a legislatively enacted mechanism for the funding and granting of an annual cost-of-living adjustment for eligible System retirees in a reliable and dependable manner;
- Preservation of the defined benefit plan for current and future LASERS members;
- 3. Preservation of Board autonomy as well as its primary composition of elected active and retired system members; and
- 4. Reduction or elimination of the federal offsets, the Windfall Elimination Provision and the Government Pension Offset while continuing to oppose mandatory Social Security participation.

#### Legislation

Act 483 of 2012 created a cash balance plan for all non-hazardous duty members whose first employment for membership in a state retirement system affected by the Act occurred on or after July 1, 2013. The plan was suspended to July 1, 2014, during the 2013 legislative session. On June 28, 2013, the Louisiana Supreme Court ruled that the plan enacted in 2012 required a two-thirds vote of the

#### **Introductory Section**

legislature, which did not occur, so it was therefore unconstitutional. Given the Supreme Court's ruling, the plan will not take effect.

#### **Technology Improvements**

Over the past year, we have addressed the following technology improvements:

- Upgraded the iSeries hardware to support LASERS needs until the content management and financial systems can be upgraded to the Windows hardware.
- Implemented Team Foundation Server to improve management of LASERS applications software, defect correction, and enhancement requests.
- Completed an offsite disaster recovery test with SunGard that included the Exchange email system as well as SOLARIS.

Our next strategic projects will be the upgrade or replacement of the IBM Content Manager imaging and workflow system, and the upgrade or replacement of the Oracle JD Edwards Financial suite.

#### **Long-term Investment Program**

LASERS had approximately \$10.2 billion under management as of June 30, 2013. The plan maintains its spot as one of the nation's top state pension plans based on long-term returns.

The Investment Program continuously maintains its commitment to a broadly diversified portfolio and achieving its actuarial rate of return with the least possible risk. LASERS allocation consists of equities, fixed income and alternative investments which consist of private equity, absolute return strategies, and real assets. Most recently, allocations to international equity, emerging markets equity and risk parity were increased and allocations to high yield fixed income, global bonds, and absolute return strategies were decreased.

LASERS works closely with its investment consultant to conduct a thorough asset allocation and liability review on an annual basis. In addition, our Chief Investment Officer reviews the asset allocation regularly to ensure that it is consistent with the exposure ranges set for LASERS. When necessary, funds are rebalanced, taking into consideration market conditions and transaction costs. This sound asset allocation approach does not veer off course due to market swings.

With nearly 32% of the plan's assets managed internally, LASERS saves millions in management fees each year. Other cost-saving measures include monitoring investment manager trade execution costs and negotiating favorable investment management fees. The Investment Division continues to work with the custodian bank to enhance reporting capabilities, build upon the in-house trade management system, and enhance its risk management evaluation capabilities.

#### **Accounting Processes Enhanced**

Our Fiscal Division began implementing several new practices and initiatives over the past year which included:

- Working on the implementation of GASB 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans and GASB 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pension Plans which goes into effect this fiscal year.
- Streamlining our refund process so that the application process is simpler and payments are direct deposited into the member's bank account.
- Automating processes such as payroll, accounts payable, and purchasing by converting paper forms to electronic forms with electronic approvals and signatures through the use of Adobe Acrobat X Pro.

#### Online Access Expanded

Utilization of technology to improve overall agency performance, communication, and education also continues to be a major initiative of LASERS. Technological advances in imaging, bar coding, and online fillable forms continue to enable LASERS to enhance customer service to its members and agencies. Receiving an average of 1.4 million hits per month, the LASERS website, <a href="https://www.lasersonline.org">www.lasersonline.org</a>, offers agency and member users access to current System information, educational programs, forms, publications, legislation, and a video library. In August 2012, a new mobile version of the LASERS website was launched. The LASERS eBeam blog focuses on public retirement issues, and during the legislative session, includes original reporting from the House and Senate Retirement committees. Social media, such as Facebook and Twitter, continues to build a following with the goal of keeping our membership informed. The Member Connection Email Service has proven to be an invaluable communications tool serving over 36,000 members. LASERS has created a branded YouTube Channel to house all educational videos and the subscriber list continues to grow. In June 2012, LASERS launched a paperless version of the quarterly newsletter, *The Beam*, which gives members the opportunity to opt-out of the mailing list and receive an electronic version.

#### Member Outreach Enhanced

Our Member Services Division is focused on providing quality customer service and educating members across the state on their retirement options. Faced with handling an unprecedented number of state employee layoffs, the Division has focused on providing educational sessions to affected agencies and reaching out both in person and electronically to our members. Member Services has streamlined processes and improved internal procedures in an effort to handle the increased volume of service purchase requests and retirement applications. All of these improvements and the increased educational outreach have proven successful as agencies are able to provide information to LASERS timelier and members have experienced the swift payment and finalization of their retirement benefits.

#### **Introductory Section**

#### **Awards**

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to LASERS for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. This was the sixteenth consecutive year that the System has achieved this prestigious award. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a governmental unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and organized CAFR. applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of only one year. We believe that our current CAFR continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements, and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

LASERS also received the GFOA award for its Popular Annual Financial Report (PAFR) entitled LASERS Summary Annual Report, for the fiscal year ended 2012. This was the fourteenth consecutive year LASERS has received this award. The Popular Annual Financial Report presents, in a less technical manner, some of the major financial, actuarial, and other interesting information for the reporting year.

In addition, LASERS received the 2012 Public Pension Standards Award. The Public Pension Coordinating Council presents this award to public employee retirement systems in recognition of their achievement of high professional standards in the areas of plan design and administration, benefits, actuarial valuations, financial reporting, investments and membership communications. This is the ninth consecutive year that LASERS has received this prestigious award.

#### Conclusion

This report is a product of the combined efforts of the System's staff and advisors functioning under your leadership. It is intended to provide extensive and reliable information that will facilitate management decisions, serve as a means for determining compliance with legal provisions, and allow for the evaluation of responsible stewardship of the funds of the System.

We would like to recognize the teamwork and contributions of our experienced and dedicated staff. They continue to keep the best interests of our members as their top priority. As we look toward the future, we will continue to fine-tune our investment strategies to make every investment dollar count and to minimize employer contributions. Also, we will look to develop innovative programs to improve the value of the services provided to all that we serve.

Respectfully submitted,

Cindy Rougeou


**Executive Director** 

Arthur P. Fillastre, IV CPA

Sither P. fillasto

Chief Financial Officer

# Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting 2012



# **Public Pension Standards Award 2012**



# **Administrative Organization**



# Top row, left to right:

Ryan Babin, Audit Division Director Arthur P. Fillastre, IV, Chief Financial Officer Tonja Normand, Public Information Division Director Sheila Metoyer, Human Resources Division Director Robert W. Beale, Chief Investment Officer Lance Armstrong, Information Technology Division Director

# Bottom row, left to right:

Cindy Taylor, Member Services Division Director Maris E. LeBlanc, Deputy Director Cindy Rougeou, Executive Director Bernard E. "Trey" Boudreaux, III, Assistant Director Tina Grant, Executive Counsel

### **Board of Trustees**



# Top row, left to right:

Whit Kling, Designee for State Treasurer John Kennedy Lori Pierce, Elected Active Member Kathy Singleton, Elected Retired Member Janice Lansing, Elected Active Member Thomas Bickham, Elected Active Member

# Bottom row, left to right:

Barbara McManus, Elected Retired Member Connie Carlton, Vice Chair, Elected Retired Member Beverly Hodges, Chair, Elected Active Member

# Not pictured:

Judge William Kleinpeter, Elected Active Member
Shannon Templet, Elected Active Member
Honorable John Kennedy, State Treasurer
Representative Kevin Pearson, Chair, House Committee on Retirement
Senator Elbert Guillory, Chair, Senate Committee on Retirement
Commissioner Kristy Nichols, Division of Administration

#### **Professional Consultants**

June 30, 2013

#### Actuary

Foster & Foster, Inc.

Hall Actuarial Associates

#### Auditor

Duplantier, Hrapmann, Hogan & Maher, LLP

#### **Custodian Banks and Security Agents**

**BNY Mellon Asset Servicing** 

Great-West Retirement Services, Inc.

JPMorgan Chase

#### **Legal Consultants**

Avant & Falcon

Klausner, Kaufman, Jensen, & Levinson

Lowenstein Sandler PC

Roedel Parsons Koch Balhoff & McCollister

Tarcza & Associates, LLC

#### **Investment Consultant**

NEPC, LLC

#### **Medical Examiners**

Dr. Eduardo L. Alvarez

Dr. Michael Catenacci

Dr. Rennie W. Culver

Dr. Jeanne Estes

Dr. David Ferachi

Dr. Larry Ferachi

Dr. Venkata Gadi

Dr. Edward Griffin

Dr. Sheldon Hersh

Dr. Albert Krause

Dr. Victor Oliver

Dr. Daronda Parker-Lathan

Dr. Thomas Pressly

Dr. Jose A. Santiago

Dr. Joseph L. Shine

#### **Other Consultants**

Critical Start LLC

Sign Language Services International

# **Professional Consultants (continued)**

June 30, 2013

#### **Investment Advisors**

Adams Street Partners LLC

Mesirow Financial Private Equity Partnership

Apollo Management, L.P. Newstone Capital Partners, L.P.

Aronson+Johnson+Ortiz, L.P. Nomura Corporate Research and Asset Management, Inc.

Mondrian Investments Partners Limited

BlackRock Financial Management, Inc.

Oaktree European Principal Fund, L.P.

Bridgewater Associates, Inc. Orleans Capital Management

City of London Investment Management Co Pacific Alternative Asset Management Company, LLC

Coller International Partner, L.P. Pantheon USA, L.P.

DRI Capital, Inc. Pinnacle Asset Management

Energy Spectrum Partners, L.P. Prisma Capital Partners, L.P.

Entrust Magnolia Partners, L.P. Private Advisors, LLC

GAM USA, Inc. Rice Hall James & Associates, LLC

Global Energy Partners, LLC Siguler Guff & Company

Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, L.P. Stark Investments Limited Partnership

Gresham Investment Management, LLC. Stepstone Capital, L.P.

Harbourvest Partners, LLC Sterling Capital Partners, L.P.

J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc.JMB Group TrustStone Harbor Investment PartnersThe Brinson Partnership Fund Trust

John Hancock The Huff Alternative Fund, L.P.

K2 Advisors, LLC Thompson, Horstmann & Bryant, Inc.

Loomis, Sayles & Company, L.P. Vista Equity Partners, L.P.

LSV Asset Management Westwood Global Investments, LLC

Marathon Asset Management Williams Capital Partners Advisors, L.P.

Introductory Section



Many of the details in the House and Senate Chambers feature stone, bronze, and wood, giving credence to the strength of our democratic foundation.

# Financial SECTION

# Contents

Independent Auditors' Report	13
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reportin	g
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an	Audit
of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance w	rith
Government Auditing Standards	16
Management's Discussion and Analysis	18
Basic Financial Statements	
Statements of Plan Net Position	24
Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position	25
Notes to Financial Statements	26

Required Supplementary Information	57
Schedules of Funding Progress	58
Schedules of Employer Contributions	58
Schedules of Funding Progress for	
OGB OPEB Trust	59
Supporting Schedules	61
Schedules of Administrative Expenses	62
Schedules of Investment Expenses	63
Schedules of Board Compensation	64
Schedules of Professional/Consultant Fees	65



WILLIAM G. STAMM, C.P.A. LINDSAY J. CALUB, C.P.A., L.L.C. GUY L. DUPLANTIER, C.P.A. MICHELLE H. CUNNINGHAM, C.P.A DENNIS W. DILLON, C.P.A. GRADY C. LLOYD, III, C.P.A.

HEATHER M. JOVANOVICH, C.P.A. TERRI L. KITTO, C.P.A.

MEMBERS AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS SOCIETY OF LA C.P.A.'S MICHAEL J. O'ROURKE, C.P.A. DAVID A. BURGARD, C.P.A. CLIFFORD J. GIFFIN, Jr., CPA HENRY L. SILVIA, C.P.A.

A.J. DUPLANTIER JR, C.P.A. (1919-1985) FELIX J. HRAPMANN, JR, C.P.A. (1919-1990) WILLIAM R. HOGAN, JR., CPA (1920-1996) JAMES MAHER, JR, C.P.A. (1921-1999)

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

September 23, 2013

To the Board of Trustees Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System Baton Rouge, Louisiana

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System, a component unit of the State of Louisiana, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System, as of June 30, 2013, and 2012 and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and other required supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's basic financial statements. The supporting schedules, introductory section, investment section, actuarial section and statistical section, as listed in the table of contents, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supporting schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary schedules are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory section, investment section, actuarial section and statistical section have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 23, 2013 on our consideration of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Duplantier, Hrapmann, Hogan & Maher, LLP

#### Financial Section

WILLIAM G. STAMM, C.P.A. LINDSAY J. CALUB, C.P.A., L.L.C. GUY L. DUPLANTIER, C.P.A. MICHELLE H. CUNNINGHAM, C.P.A DENNIS W. DILLON, C.P.A. GRADY C. LLOYD, III, C.P.A.

HEATHER M. JOVANOVICH, C.P.A.

TERRI L. KITTO, C.P.A.



MEMBERS AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS SOCIETY OF LA C.P.A.'S MICHAEL J. O'ROURKE, C.P.A. DAVID A. BURGARD, C.P.A. CLIFFORD J. GIFFIN, Jr., CPA HENRY L. SILVIA, C.P.A.

A.J. DUPLANTIER JR, C.P.A. (1919-1985) FELIX J. HRAPMANN, JR, C.P.A. (1919-1990) WILLIAM R. HOGAN, JR., CPA (1920-1996) JAMES MAHER, JR, C.P.A. (1921-1999)

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

September 23, 2013

To the Board of Trustees Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System Baton Rouge, Louisiana

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 23, 2013.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Duplantier, Hrapmann, Hogan & Maher, LLP

**Retirement System** 

8401 United Plaza Blvd. • Baton Rouge, LA 70809

Web: www.lasersonline.org

**Mail:** P.O. Box 44213 • Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4213

**Phone:** (toll-free) 1.800.256.3000 • (local) 225.922.0600

# Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following is management's discussion and analysis of the financial performance of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS or the System). This narrative overview and analysis helps to interpret the key elements of the financial statements, notes to the financial statements, required supplementary information, and supporting schedules for the current year. Readers are encouraged to consider the information presented here in conjunction with additional information provided in the Transmittal Letter of LASERS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

# **Financial Highlights**

- Net position restricted for pensions increased by \$811.8 million, or 8.5%.
- The actuarial rate of return on the market value of the System's investments was 14.1% for 2013 compared to 5.2% for 2012.
- Net investment income experienced a gain of \$1.1 billion for 2013 compared to a \$9.6 million loss for 2012.
- The System's funded ratio increased from 55.9% at June 30, 2012, to 60.2% as of June 30, 2013.
- The unfunded actuarial accrued liability decreased \$690.2 million to \$6.4 billion as of June 30, 2013.
- Total contributions decreased by \$7.7 million or 0.9% from 2012 to \$822.4 million in 2013.
- Benefit payments increased by \$91.4 million or 9.3% to \$1.1 billion in 2013.
- Refund and transfer payments of member contributions increased by \$18.3 million or 42.3% to \$61.5 million in 2013.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

The System's basic financial statements include the following: (1) statements of plan net position, (2) statements of changes in plan net position, (3) notes to the financial statements, and (4) required supplementary information.

The Statements of Plan Net Position report the System's assets, liabilities, and resultant net position restricted for pensions. They disclose the financial position of the System as of June 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

The Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position report the results of the System's operations during years 2013 and 2012 disclosing the additions to and deductions from the plan net position. They support the change that has occurred to the prior year's net position on the statement of plan net position.

*Notes to the Financial Statements* provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the financial statements.

- Note A provides a general description of LASERS, information regarding reserves, funding status, actuarial assumptions, employer and membership participation, eligibility, and benefits.
- Note B provides a summary of significant accounting policies and plan position matters including the basis of accounting, securities lending, estimates, methods used to value investments, property and equipment, and accumulated leave.
- Note C provides information regarding member and employer contribution requirements.
- Note D describes LASERS deposits and investment risk disclosures which include custodial credit risk, concentration of credit risk, credit risk, interest rate risk, and foreign currency risk.
- Note E describes the System's cash and investments, and includes information regarding bank balances, derivatives, real estate, and alternative investments.
- Note F provides information regarding securities lending transactions.
- Note G provides information on other postemployment benefits.
- Note H provides information on subsequent events.

**Required Supplementary Information** provides additional information and detail concerning LASERS progress in funding its pension obligations and other post employment benefits, the history of employer contributions, and schedules of trend data.

The *Supporting Schedules* section includes the schedules of administrative expenses, investment expenses, Board compensation, and payments to consultants.

# **Financial Analysis**

LASERS financial position is measured in several ways. One way is to determine the plan net position (difference between total assets and total liabilities) available to pay benefits. Over time, increases and decreases in the LASERS plan net position indicates whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. Other factors, such as financial market conditions, should also be taken into consideration when measuring LASERS overall health.

The following table illustrates a condensed version of LASERS Statements of Plan Net Position for fiscal years ending 2013, 2012, and 2011. LASERS plan net position as of June 30, 2013, and 2012, totaled \$10,327,598,351 and \$9,515,774,342, respectively. All of the plan net position is available to meet LASERS ongoing obligations to members, retirees, and beneficiaries.

# **Condensed Comparative Statements of Plan Net Position**

	2013	2012	2011
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 62,005,498	\$ 76,484,826	\$ 43,568,574
Receivables	106,101,183	202,859,767	121,131,973
Investments	10,228,944,629	9,299,615,012	9,619,706,708
Securities Lending Cash Collateral Held	963,415,924	921,932,039	794,161,316
Capital Assets	6,373,829	8,106,259	9,872,111
Total Assets	\$ 11,366,841,063	\$ 10,508,997,903	\$ 10,588,440,682
Accounts Payable & Other Liabilities	67,756,826	61,782,973	82,202,655
Securities Lending Obligations	971,485,886	931,440,588	802,741,386
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	\$ 1,039,242,712	\$ 993,223,561	\$ 884,944,041
Net Position Restricted for Pensions	\$ 10,327,598,351	\$ 9,515,774,342	\$ 9,703,496,641

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, plan net position was approximately \$10.3 billion. This reflected an increase of approximately 8.5% or \$811,824,009 from the previous fiscal year-end. In the one-year period from June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2012, LASERS plan net position decreased approximately 1.9% or \$187,722,299. These changes were a direct result of volatility in the financial markets during those time periods.

LASERS maintains its commitment to a broadly diversified portfolio. Carefully underwritten and conservative assumptions for future expected returns have been adopted, and the investment portfolio is structured to optimize the risk-return trade-off. This is done in part by reviewing the Plan's asset allocation. LASERS continues to believe that it is well positioned to meet its long-term goals.

### Condensed Comparative Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position

	2013		2012		2011	
Additions	•					
Employer Contributions	\$	649,029,708	\$	637,285,920	\$	558,183,107
Employee Contributions		173,357,802		192,795,057		197,825,267
Net Investment Income (Loss)		1,106,494,873		(9,610,468)		1,854,312,621
Other Income		33,806,894		32,441,258		14,072,770
Total Additions		1,962,689,277		852,911,767		2,624,393,765
Deductions				_		_
Retirement Benefits		1,070,410,859		978,971,262		915,840,721
Refunds and Transfers of Contributions		61,522,162		43,221,742		41,553,896
Administrative Expenses		15,907,599		15,500,163		14,951,127
Other Postemployment Benefits Expenses		982,754		999,650		1,310,517
Depreciation and Amortization Expenses		2,041,894		1,941,249		1,919,628
Total Deductions		1,150,865,268		1,040,634,066		975,575,889
Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Position		811,824,009	,	(187,722,299)		1,648,817,876
<b>Net Position Restricted for Pensions</b>						
Beginning of Year	sing of Year 9,515,774,342			9,703,496,641		8,054,678,765
End of Year	\$	10,327,598,351	\$	9,515,774,342	\$	9,703,496,641

#### **Additions to Plan Net Position**

The revenues needed to finance retirement benefits are accumulated primarily through the collection of employer and employee contributions and earnings on investments. Revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, totaled \$1,962,689,277. The revenue consisted of employer and employee contributions totaling \$822,387,510, a net investment gain of \$1,106,494,873, and other income of \$33,806,894. Volatility in the financial markets caused by new government regulations, the global recession, and the credit crisis is the primary reason for the fluctuations in additions (reductions) for the fiscal years presented. Our investment portfolio in 2013 completed the current year with a positive market rate of return on investment assets of 12.6% which ranked in the top 40 percent of all public pension plans with market values greater than \$1 billion in the Wilshire Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS). The net result was an increase of 11,613.4% or \$1,116,105,341 in investment earnings over 2012.

At June 30, 2012, total revenues decreased by 67.5% or \$1,771,481,998 over fiscal year 2011. The decreased revenue was due primarily to net investment income decreasing 100.5% from 2011. Combined contributions increased 9.8% while other income increased 130.5%. Our investment portfolio completed the fiscal year with a positive market rate of return on investment assets of 0.2%, which ranked in the top seventy-three percent of all public pension plans with market values greater than \$1 billion in the Wilshire Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS).

During 2013, combined employer and employee contribution income decreased from 2012 by \$7,693,467. Employer contributions based on covered payroll increased \$11,743,788, primarily because of an increase in the composite employer percentage match from 25.9% for the year ended June 30, 2012 to 29.4% for the year ended June 30, 2013. Member contributions decreased 10.1%, likely a result of a decrease in active members caused by the State's privatization of several agencies and the resulting reduction in workforce. Also, there has been a reduction in salary growth caused by the suspension of merit increases which was mandated by the State due to budget constraints.

#### **Deductions from Plan Assets**

LASERS was created to provide lifetime retirement, survivor, and disability benefits to qualified LASERS members. The cost of such programs includes recurring benefit payments, refund of contributions to employees who left the System, and the cost of administering LASERS.

Deductions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, totaled \$1,150,865,268, an increase of approximately 10.6% over June 30, 2012. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, deductions were \$1,040,634,066, an increase of about 6.7% over June 30, 2011. The increase in deductions for fiscal years ended 2013 and 2012 was due primarily to increases in benefits, refunds and transfers of member contributions paid. Benefits paid in 2013, as in 2012, increased because of the increase in the number of retirees and the average benefit resulting from the higher average salary history of the newer retirees. Refunds and transfers out of member contributions increased primarily because of the State's privatization of several agencies and the effected employees requesting distributions.

Administrative expenses increased \$407,436 or 2.6% for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. This is primarily attributable to increases in personnel costs and computer acquisitions. In 2012, administrative expenses increased \$549,036 or 3.7% over fiscal year ended 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to the increases in legal and actuary professional services. Details of administrative expense activity can be found in the *Schedules of Administrative Expenses* located under Supporting Schedules.

Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) expenses decreased \$16,896 or 1.7% for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 compared to June 30, 2012. In 2012, OPEB expenses decreased \$310,867 over fiscal year ended 2011. These reductions are based on adjusted calculations by the administrators of OPEB for the State.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased 5.2% for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, compared to a 1.1% increase for 2012 over 2011. The increase in 2013 compared to 2012 can be attributed to a reduction in depreciable assets due to disposals.

Total additions less total deductions resulted in a net increase in plan net position of \$811,824,009 in 2013, compared to a decrease of \$187,722,299 in 2012. The net result is an 8.5% increase in 2013 compared to a 1.9% decrease in plan net position restricted for pensions in 2012.

#### **Funded Status**

An actuarial valuation of assets and liabilities is performed annually. The System's funded ratio increased to 60.2% at June 30, 2013, compared to 55.9% as of June 30, 2012, and 57.6% as of June 30, 2011. The improved funding in 2013 can be attributed to a net investment experience gain, an experience gain from sources other than investment earnings, and a change in asset valuation method. The amount by which LASERS actuarial liabilities exceeded the actuarial assets was \$6.4 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to \$7.1 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$6.5 billion at June 30, 2011, thereby decreasing the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by \$16.6 million since 2011. The investment yield on the actuarial

value of assets has averaged over five, ten, twenty, and thirty years 3.6%, 7.1%, 7.3%, and 8.2%, respectively. For the year ending June 30, 2013, the net realized actuarial rate of return was 14.1%, which was more than the System's assumed actuarial rate of return of 8.0% used to discount benefits. This resulted in a net investment experience gain of \$321.0 million relative to projected investment income. For the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012, and 2011, the net realized actuarial rate of return was 5.2% and 5.5%, respectively.

# **Requests for Information**

This Financial Report is designed to provide a general overview of the System's finances. For questions concerning any information in this report, or for additional information contact the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System, Attention: Fiscal Division, P. O. Box 44213, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4213.

# Financial Section

# Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System Statements of Plan Net Position

June 30, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Assets		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 62,005,498	\$ 76,484,826
Receivables:		
Employer Contributions	42,151,780	65,505,501
Member Contributions	12,926,450	20,353,517
Interest and Dividends	25,925,453	24,250,211
Investment Proceeds	22,041,039	89,886,678
Other	3,056,461	2,863,860
Total Receivables	106,101,183	202,859,767
Investments:		-
Investments at Fair Value		
Short-Term Investments - Domestic/International	310,972,110	240,781,998
Bonds/Fixed Income - Domestic	941,079,186	994,139,221
Bonds/Fixed Income - International	313,875,045	451,373,593
Equity Securities - Domestic	2,929,817,566	2,538,708,299
Equity Securities - International	2,430,091,727	2,112,485,553
Global Tactical Asset Allocation	649,609,869	567,925,779
Alternative Investments	2,254,383,368	2,043,609,429
Real Estate	14,886	9,517
Total Investments at Fair Value	9,829,843,757	8,949,033,389
Investments at Contract Value		
Synthetic Guaranteed Investment Contract	399,100,872	350,581,623
Total Investments at Contract Value	399,100,872	350,581,623
Total Investments	10,228,944,629	9,299,615,012
Securities Lending Cash Collateral Held	963,415,924	921,932,039
Capital Assets (at cost) - Net:		
Property and Equipment	3,998,553	4,175,769
Intangible Assets	2,375,276	3,930,490
Total Assets	11,366,841,063	10,508,997,903
Liabilities		
Payables:		
Investment Commitments	40,181,261	29,691,339
Trade Payables and Other Accrued Liabilities	27,575,565	32,091,634
Total Payables	67,756,826	61,782,973
Securities Lending Obligations	971,485,886	931,440,588
Total Liabilities	1,039,242,712	993,223,561
Net Position Restricted for Pensions	\$ 10,327,598,351	\$ 9,515,774,342

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

# Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System

# **Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position** For the Period Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Additions		
Contributions:		
Employer Contributions	\$ 649,029,70	08 \$ 637,285,920
Employee Contributions	173,357,80	192,795,057
Total Contributions	822,387,53	830,080,977
Investment Income:		
Net Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value		
of Investments	740,570,89	95 (258,501,244)
Interest & Dividends	198,688,03	33 199,152,948
Alternative Investment Income	216,648,17	78 99,800,694
Less Alternative Investment Expenses	(33,397,83	18) (34,592,332)
Net Appreciation Securities Lending	3,926,50	1,946,336
Securities Lending Income	1,878,77	1,127,193
Other Income	3,068,27	76 3,705,667
Less Investment Expense Other than Alternative		
Investments and Securities Lending	(24,887,90	07) (22,249,730)
Net Investment Income (Loss)	1,106,494,87	73 (9,610,468)
Other Income	33,806,89	94 32,441,258
Total Additions	1,962,689,27	852,911,767
Deductions		
Retirement Benefits	1,070,410,85	978,971,262
Refunds and Transfers of Member Contributions	61,522,16	62 43,221,742
Administrative Expenses	15,907,59	99 15,500,163
Other Postemployment Benefits Expenses	982,75	54 999,650
Depreciation and Amortization Expenses	2,041,89	94 1,941,249
Total Deductions	1,150,865,26	1,040,634,066
Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Position	811,824,00	09 (187,722,299)
Net Position Restricted for Pensions	. ,	
Beginning of Period	9,515,774,34	9,703,496,641
End of Period	\$ 10,327,598,38	\$ 9,515,774,342

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

# **Notes to Financial Statements**

# A. Plan Description

#### 1. General Organization

The Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS or the System) is the administrator of a single-employer defined benefit pension plan, and is a component unit of the State of Louisiana included in the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) as a pension trust fund. The System was established by Section 401 of Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (La. R.S. 11:401).

In accordance with Louisiana Revised Statutes, the System is subject to certain elements of oversight:

- The House and Senate Committees on Retirement review administration, benefits, investments, and funding of the public retirement systems.
- The operating budget of the System is subject to budgetary review and approval by the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget.
- The Legislative Auditor is responsible for the procurement of audits for the public retirement systems, and is authorized to contract with a licensed Certified Public Accountant (CPA) for each audit.
- Actuarial calculations and results are reviewed by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC) annually.

# 2. Plan Membership

The System is one of several public retirement systems in Louisiana. Each system has specific membership requirements established by legislation, with LASERS established for state officers, employees, and their beneficiaries. Other public employers report members who retained membership in LASERS upon transfer to other public systems or as provided by specific legislation. A summary of government employers and members participating in LASERS at June 30, 2013, and 2012, are as follows:

	20	13	20	12
	Active Active		Active	Active
Type of Employer	<b>Employers</b>	Members	<b>Employers</b>	Members
State Agencies	209	43,842	216	52,090
Other Public Employers	146	269	146	262
Total	355	44,111	362	52,352

	2013	2012
	Member	Member
Type of Active Members	Count	Count
Active After DROP	1,825	2,136
Alcohol and Tobacco Control*	19	28
Appellate Law Clerks*	172	187
Bridge Police*	7	11
Corrections*	2,949	3,566
Hazardous Duty	1,596	1,258
Judges	320	320
Legislators*	12	12
Peace Officers*	79	84
Regular State Employees	36,942	44,546
Wildlife Agents*	190	204
<b>Total Active Members</b>	44,111	52,352

<sup>\*</sup> Plans closed to new members effective January 1, 2011.

At June 30, 2013, and 2012, membership consisted of:

	2013	2012
Active Members	44,111	52,352
Regular Retirees*	37,145	34,513
Disability Retirees*	2,554	2,544
Survivors	5,726	5,665
Vested & Reciprocals	4,162	2,222
Inactive Members Due Refunds	52,385	50,590
DROP Participants	2,092	2,577
Total Membership	148,175	150,463

<sup>\*</sup> For actuarial purposes "Disability Retirees" includes members who have reached normal retirement eligibility requirements and converted to Regular Retirement and are therefore counted by LASERS as "Regular Retirees".

#### 3. Funded Status and Funding Progress

Contributions to the System are determined through annual actuarial valuations. Administration of LASERS is financed through contributions to the plan from members, the State of Louisiana, and cumulative investment earnings. The schedule below reflects the funded status and progress of the System for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Dollars are presented in thousands.

		<b>Actuarial</b>				UAAL as a
Actuarial	Actuarial	Accrued	Unfunded			Percentage
Valuation	Value of	Liability	$\mathbf{AAL}$	Funded	Covered	of Covered
Date	Assets	(AAL)	(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Payroll
					•	•
	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	[(b-a)/c]
6/20/2012				(5.5.5)		
6/30/2012	(a) \$9,026,416	(b) \$ 16,157,898	(b-a) \$7,131,482	(a/b) 55.9%	(c) \$ 2,341,703	[(b-a)/c] 304.5%

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, and actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations, and new estimates are made about the future. The required Schedules of Funding Progress located in Required Supplementary Information following the *Notes to the Financial Statements* presents multi-year trend information regarding whether the actuarial value of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Additional information on the actuarial methods and assumptions used as of the June 30, 2013, actuarial valuation follows:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2013
Actuarial Cost Method	Projected Unit Credit
Amortization Method	Amortized according to La. R.S. 11:102 and 11:102.1.
	For unfunded accrued liability resulting from benefit increases occurring on or after June 30, 2007: Level dollar payment over 10 years.
	All unfunded accrued liability changes occurring prior to 2009, except benefit increases in 2007 and 2008, were reamortized into two schedules as of June 30, 2010. Payment schedules increase in a prescribed variable manner until 2018, then will either increase until paid off in 2029, or remain level until paid off in 2040, depending upon the schedule, as required by statute.
	For unfunded accrued liability changes occurring 2009 or later: Level dollar payment over 30 years, from date of occurrence.
Amortization Approach	Closed

Remaining Amortization Period	Weighted average of 19 years.					
Asset Valuation Period	Utilizes smoothing of gains and losses relative to the assumed rate of return over a five year period.					
Actuarial Assumptions:						
Investment Rate of Return	8.25% per annum, net expenses is assumed prior to July 1, 2012. Beginning July 1, 2012 the rate is 8.0%.					
Inflation Rate	3.0% per annum.					
Mortality	Mortality rates were projected based on the RP-2000 Mortality Table.					
Termination, Disability, and Retirement	Termination, disability, and retirement assumptions were projected based on a five-year (2003-2008) experience study of the System's members.					
Salary Increases	Salary increases were projected based on a 2003-2008 experience study of the System's members. The salary increase ranges for specific types of members are:					
	Member Type	Lower Range	Upper Range			
	Regular	4.3%	14.0%			
	Judges	3.0%	5.5%			
	Corrections	4.0%	15.0%			
	Hazardous Duty 4.0% 15.0%					
	Wildlife 6.0% 17.0%					
Cost-of-Living Adjustments	Liability for pay adjustments already granted is included in the retiree reserve.					

# 4. Legally Required Reserves

Provisions for reserves, in which all assets of the System are to be credited according to their purpose, are established in La. R.S. 11:531, et. seq. Use of the term "reserve" by the System indicates that a portion of the fund balances is legally restricted for a specific future use. The nature and purpose of these reserves are explained below:

#### A) Expense Account Reserve:

The Expense Account Reserve provides for general and administrative expenses of the System and those expenses not funded through other specific legislative appropriations. Funding consists of transfers from the retirement funds and is made as needed. Any excess funds at year-end are closed out to the Employers' Accumulation Account.

#### B) Employees' Savings Reserve:

The Employees' Savings Reserve is credited with contributions made by members of the System. When a member terminates his service, or upon his death before qualifying for a benefit, the refund of his contributions is made from this reserve. If a member dies and there is a survivor who is eligible for a benefit, the amount of the member's accumulated contributions is transferred from the Employees' Savings Account Reserve to the Retiree's Annuity Reserve. When a member retires, the amount of his accumulated contributions is transferred to the Retiree's Annuity Reserve to provide part of the benefits.

#### C) Employers' Accumulation Account:

The Employers' Accumulation Account consists of contributions paid by employers, interest paid by the agency on purchases of state service, military service, and educational leave and training; interest, dividends, profits and other income earned on investments, and any other income not covered by other accounts. This reserve account is charged annually with an amount, determined by the actuary, to be transferred to the Retiree's Annuity Reserve to fund retirement benefits and cost of living increases for existing retirees.

#### D) Retiree's Annuity Reserve:

The Retiree's Annuity Reserve is credited with the employees' accumulated contributions upon retirement or payment of survivor's benefits, amount determined by actuary from the Employers' Accumulated Account for payment of pensions, and cost of living increases for retirees. The Retiree's Annuity Reserve shall be charged with retirements paid to retirees and beneficiaries, survivor's benefits paid to eligible survivors, cost of living adjustments for retirees, beneficiaries, and survivor's benefits recipients in addition to refunds paid to survivors or the estates of members whereby monthly benefits do not equal total accumulated contributions.

#### E) Deferred Retirement Option and Initial Benefit Option (DROP/IBO) Reserve:

The Deferred Retirement Option and Initial Benefit Option Reserve consist of the reserves for all members who select the Deferred Retirement Option or Initial Benefit Option upon retirement. For DROP, upon eligibility for retirement a member may elect to deposit in this reserve an amount equal to the member's monthly benefit if he had retired. A member can only participate in DROP for three years and upon termination may receive his benefits in a lump sum payment or in a manner approved by the Board. For IBO, upon retirement a member elects to take a lump sum benefit payment of up to 36 months times the maximum benefit up front and subsequently receive a reduced monthly benefit.

#### F) Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) Reserve:

The ORP Reserve consists of reserves for certain active unclassified members who otherwise would be eligible to become members in the Defined Benefit Plan who chose to participate in the defined contribution Optional Retirement Plan. The member is credited with contributions made by the employee and the normal employer matching contributions for services rendered. When a member terminates his service, or upon his death before qualifying for a benefit, the refund of his contributions is made from this reserve. Also, when a member retires, his benefits are paid from this reserve.

#### G) Experience Account Reserve:

The Experience Account Reserve accumulates 50% of the excess investment gain relative to the actuarial valuation rate of 8.0% after such excess return exceeds \$100,000,000. The account is used to fund permanent benefit increases for retirees. The benefit increase granted must be funded at 100% of the actuarial cost. The account balance is restricted to the reserve for two permanent benefit increases.

	2013		2012	
		Percent		Percent
Reserves	Balance	Funded	Balance	Funded
Expense Account Reserve	\$ -	100%	\$ -	100%
Employees' Savings Reserve	1,577,976,578	100%	1,649,713,130	100%
Employer's Accumulation Reserve	3,026,411,880	0%	3,741,143,272	0%
Retirees' Annuity Reserve	10,633,738,577	73%	9,892,297,150	71%
DROP/IBO Reserve	938,035,164	100%	868,143,454	100%
ORP Reserve	6,032,442	100%	6,600,560	100%
Experience Account Reserve	195,623,963	100%		100%
Total Reserves	\$ 16,377,818,604		\$ 16,157,897,566	

# 5. Eligibility Requirements

All state employees, except those specifically excluded by statute, become members of the System's Defined Benefit Plan (DBP) as a condition of employment, unless they elect to continue as a contributing member in any other retirement system for which they remain eligible for membership. Certain elected officials and officials appointed by the Governor may, at their option, become members of LASERS. Also, qualifying unclassified state employees may have made an irrevocable election to participate in the Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) between July 12, 1999 and December 7, 2007, when the plan closed. All plans are considered one pension plan for financial reporting purposes. All assets accumulated for the payment of benefits may legally be used to pay benefits to any plan members or beneficiaries.

#### 6. Retirement

The age and years of creditable service required in order for a member to retire with full benefits are established by statute, and vary depending on the member's hire date, employer, and job classification. The substantial majority of members may retire with full benefits at any age upon completing 30 years of creditable service and at age 60 upon completing ten years of creditable service. Additionally, members may choose to retire with 20 years of service at any age, with an actuarially reduced benefit. The basic annual retirement benefit for members is equal to 2.5% to 3.5% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service.

Average compensation is defined as the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment for members employed prior to July 1, 2006. For members hired July 1, 2006 or later, average compensation is based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. The maximum annual retirement benefit cannot exceed the lesser of 100% of average compensation or a certain specified dollar amount of actuarially determined monetary limits, which vary depending upon the member's age at retirement. Judges, court officers, and certain elected officials receive an additional annual retirement benefit equal to 1.0% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service in their respective capacity. As an alternative to the basic retirement benefits, a member may elect to receive their retirement benefits under any one of six different options providing for reduced retirement benefits payable throughout their life, with certain benefits being paid to their designated beneficiary after their death.

Act 992 of the 2010 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session, changed the benefit structure for LASERS members hired on or after January 1, 2011. This resulted in three new plans: regular, hazardous duty, and judges. The new regular plan includes regular members and those members who were formerly eligible to participate in specialty plans, excluding hazardous duty and judges. Regular members and judges are eligible to retire at age 60 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service. Hazardous duty members are eligible to retire with twelve years of creditable service at age 55, 25 years of creditable service at any age or with a reduced benefit after 20 years of creditable service. compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment for all three new plans. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, hazardous duty plan a 3.33% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate. The extra 1.0% accrual rate for each year of service for court officers, the governor, lieutenant governor, legislators, House clerk, sergeants at arms, or Senate secretary, employed after January 1, 2011, was eliminated by Act 992. Specialty plan and regular members, hired prior to January 1, 2011, who are hazardous duty employees have the option to transition to the new hazardous duty plan.

A member leaving employment before attaining minimum retirement age, but after completing certain minimum service requirements, becomes eligible for a benefit provided the member lives to the minimum service retirement age, and does not withdraw their accumulated contributions. The minimum service requirement for benefits varies depending upon the member's employer and service classification but generally is ten years of service.

#### 7. Deferred Benefits

The State Legislature authorized LASERS to establish a Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP). When a member enters DROP, their status changes from active member to retiree even though they continue to work and draw their salary for a period of up to three years. The election is irrevocable once participation begins. During DROP participation, accumulated retirement benefits that would have been paid to each retiree are separately tracked. For members who entered DROP prior to January 1, 2004, interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero) will be credited to the retiree after participation ends. At that time, the member must choose among available alternatives for the distribution of benefits that have accumulated in the DROP account. Members who enter DROP on or after January 1, 2004, are

required to participate in LASERS Self-Directed Plan (SDP) which is administered by a third-party provider. The SDP allows DROP participants to choose from a menu of investment options for the allocation of their DROP balances. Participants may diversify their investments by choosing from an approved list of mutual funds with different holdings, management styles, and risk factors.

Members eligible to retire and who do not choose to participate in DROP may elect to receive at the time of retirement an initial benefit option (IBO) in an amount up to 36 months of benefits, with an actuarial reduction of their future benefits. For members who selected the IBO option prior to January 1, 2004, such amount may be withdrawn or remain in the IBO account earning interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero). Those members who select the IBO on or after January 1, 2004, are required to enter the SDP as described above.

# 8. Disability Benefits

All members with ten or more years of credited service who become disabled may receive a maximum disability retirement benefit equivalent to the regular retirement formula without reduction by reason of age.

Upon reaching age 60, the disability retiree may receive a regular retirement benefit by making application to the Board of Trustees.

For injuries sustained in the line of duty, hazardous duty personnel in the Hazardous Duty Services Plan will receive a disability benefit equal to 75% of final average compensation.

#### 9. Survivor's Benefits

Certain eligible surviving dependents receive benefits based on the deceased member's compensation and their relationship to the deceased. The deceased member who was in state service at the time of death must have a minimum of five years of service credit, at least two of which were earned immediately prior to death, or who had a minimum of twenty years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor or handicapped child. Benefits are payable to an unmarried child until age 18, or age 23 if the child remains a full-time student. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirement is ten years for a surviving spouse with no minor children, and benefits are to be paid for life to the spouse or qualified handicapped child.

# 10. Permanent Benefit Increases/Cost-of-Living Adjustments

As fully described in Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, the System allows for the payment of permanent benefit increases, also known as cost-of-living adjustments, that are funded through investment earnings when recommended by the Board of Trustees and approved by the State Legislature.

# 11. Optional Retirement Plan

In 1999, an Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) was established as a defined contribution component of LASERS for certain unclassified employees who otherwise would have been eligible to become members of the defined benefit plan. The ORP provides portability of assets and full and immediate vesting of all contributions submitted on behalf of members. The ORP is administered by a third-party provider with oversight from LASERS Board of Trustees. Monthly employer and

employee contributions are invested as directed by the member to provide the member with future retirement benefits. The amount of these benefits is entirely dependent upon the total contributions and investment returns accumulated during the member's working lifetime. ORP balances are held by the provider in each participant's name. These balances are included in LASERS total investments on the Statements of Plan Net Position. The ORP was closed to new members on December 7, 2007. However, members in the ORP as of December 31, 2007 were granted the option by Act 718 of the 2012 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session to regain membership in the defined benefit plan. At June 30, 2013, and 2012, membership consisted of:

	2013	2012
Number of Members	83	90
Fair Value of Assets	\$ 6,032,442	\$ 6,600,560

# **B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### 1. Basis of Accounting

LASERS financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they are earned, and expenses are recognized in the period incurred. Investment purchases and sales are recorded as of their trade date. State General Fund appropriations are recognized in the period when they are appropriated. Employer and member contributions are recognized when due, pursuant to formal commitments, as well as statutory or contractual requirements. Administrative expenses are funded through contributions to the plan from members, the State of Louisiana, and cumulative investment earnings, and are subject to budgetary control of the Board of Trustees and the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the System.

# 2. Securities Lending

The System records collateral received under its securities lending agreement where the System has the ability to spend, pledge, or sell the collateral without borrower default. Liabilities resulting from these transactions are also reported. The security lending cash collateral pools are reported at the market value of the underlying securities. Security lending income and expenses are reported as investment income and expenses in the accompanying financial statements. The Statements of Net Position do not include detailed holdings of securities lending collateral by investment classification.

#### 3. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of additions to and deductions from plan net position during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from

those estimates. The retirement system utilizes various investment instruments, which, by nature, are exposed to a variety of risk levels and risk types, such as interest rate, credit, and overall market volatility. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investment securities, it is reasonably possible that changes in the values of investment securities will occur in the near term, and those changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the Statements of Plan Net Position.

#### 4. Method Used to Value Investments

As required by GASB 25, investments are reported at fair value. Short-term investments are reported at market value when published prices are available, or at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at the current exchange rate. All derivative financial instruments are reported at fair value in the Statements of Plan Net Position with valuation changes recognized in income. Gains and losses are reported in the Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position as net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments during the period the instruments are held, and when the instruments are sold or expire. The nature and use of derivative instruments is discussed in Note E. Cash and Investments (9). The fair value of investments that are organized as limited partnerships and have no readily ascertainable fair value (such as private equity, real estate, and tangible assets) has been determined by management based on the individual investment's capital account balance, reported at fair value, at the closest available reporting period, adjusted for subsequent contributions, distributions, and management fees. Because of the inherent uncertainties in estimating fair values, it is at least reasonably possible that the estimates will change in the near term. Investments that do not have an established market are reported at estimated fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are included as investment earnings in the Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position. Synthetic Guaranteed Investment Contracts are carried at contract value as required by GASB 53.

# 5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment and computer software are reported at historical cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based upon useful lives of 40 years for building, 3 to 15 years for equipment and furniture, and 7 years for computer software. The capitalization thresholds of property and equipment were:

- Computer Software Developed or Modified Internally (reported as Intangible Assets): \$1,000,000
- Movable Property and Equipment: \$5,000

LASERS is a 50% co-owner of the Louisiana Retirement Systems building and related land with Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana. LASERS interest in the building and land is reflected in the following schedules.

# **Changes in Property and Equipment**

For Period Ending June 30, 2013

					De	eletions/		
	Ju	ne 30, 2012	A	dditions	Tı	ansfers	Ju	ne 30, 2013
Asset Class (at Cost)								
Land	\$	858,390	\$	-	\$	-	\$	858,390
Building		5,936,927		8,358		-		5,945,285
Furniture, Equipment, and Vehicles		3,147,077		301,106		(679,451)		2,768,732
Intangibles		10,886,502		-		-		10,886,502
Total Property and Equipment		20,828,896		309,464		(679,451)		20,458,909
Accumulated Depreciation								
Building		(3,171,993)		(191,892)		-		(3,363,885)
Furniture, Equipment, and Vehicles		(2,594,632)		(294,788)		679,451		(2,209,969)
Intangibles		(6,956,012)		(1,555,214)		-		(8,511,226)
<b>Total Accumulated Depreciation</b>		(12,722,637)		(2,041,894)		679,451		(14,085,080)
Total Property and Equipment - Net	\$	8,106,259	\$	(1,732,430)	\$	-	\$	6,373,829

# **Changes in Property and Equipment**

For Period Ending June 30, 2012

					Dele	etions/		
	Ju	ne 30, 2011	A	dditions	Tra	nsfers	Ju	ne 30, 2012
Asset Class (at Cost)								
Land	\$	858,390	\$	-	\$	-	\$	858,390
Building		5,880,983		55,944		-		5,936,927
Furniture, Equipment, and Vehicles		3,027,624		119,453		-		3,147,077
Intangibles		10,886,502		-		-		10,886,502
<b>Total Property and Equipment</b>		20,653,499		175,397		-		20,828,896
Accumulated Depreciation								
Building		(2,978,235)		(193,758)		-		(3,171,993)
Furniture, Equipment, and Vehicles		(2,402,356)		(192,276)		-		(2,594,632)
Intangibles		(5,400,797)		(1,555,215)		-		(6,956,012)
<b>Total Accumulated Depreciation</b>		(10,781,388)		(1,941,249)		-	· · ·	(12,722,637)
Total Property and Equipment - Net	\$	9,872,111	\$	(1,765,852)	\$	-	\$	8,106,259

#### 6. Accumulated Leave

The employees of the System accumulate unlimited amounts of annual and sick leave at varying rates as established by state regulations. Upon resignation or retirement, unused annual leave of up to 300 hours is paid to an employee at the employee's current rate of pay. Upon retirement, unused annual leave in excess of 300 hours and sick leave are credited at the current pay rate as earned service in computing retirement benefits. The liability for accrued annual leave of up to 300 hours is included in other liabilities in the Statements of Plan Net Position.

#### C. Contributions

#### 1. Member Contributions

Member contribution rates for the System are established by La. R.S. 11:62. Member contributions are deducted from a member's salary and remitted to the System by participating employers. The rates in effect during the years ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, for the various types of members are as follows:

	Percent of
	Earned
Type of Member	Compensation
Alcohol and Tobacco Control*	9.0%
Appellate Law Clerks*	7.5% - 8.0%
Bridge Police*	8.5%
Corrections*	9.0%
Hazardous Duty	9.5%
Judges	11.5% - 13.0%
Legislators*	9.5% - 11.5%
Peace Officers*	9.0%
Regular State Employees	7.5% - 8.0%
Wildlife Agents*	9.5%

<sup>\*</sup>Plans closed to new members effective January 1, 2011.

A member's claim is established for member contributions less amounts transferred to reserves for retirement and amounts refunded to terminated members. If a member leaves covered employment or dies before any benefits become payable on their behalf, the accumulated contributions may be refunded to the member or their designated beneficiary. Similarly, accumulated contributions in excess of any benefits paid to members or their survivors are refunded to the member's beneficiaries or their estates upon cessation of any survivor's benefits.

# 2. Employer Contributions

The employer contribution rate is established annually under La. R.S. 11:101-11:104 by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC), taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's Actuary. Legislation passed in 2010, effective for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011, required that the employer contribution rate be determined separately for the various plans within LASERS. Each plan pays a separate actuarially-determined employer contribution rate. However, all assets of LASERS are used for the payment of benefits for all classes of members, regardless of their plan membership. Rates for the years ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, are as follows:

	<b>Employer Contribution I</b>		
Plan	2013	2012	
Alcohol and Tobacco Control	30.1%	26.1%	
Appelate Law Clerks	29.1%	25.6%	
Bridge Police	28.1%	21.4%	
Corrections - Primary	34.0%	30.9%	
Corrections - Secondary	30.8%	26.4%	
Hazardous Duty Plan	28.0%	23.1%	
Judges	34.8%	31.8%	
Judges (Elected after 1/1/2011)	28.2%	20.2%	
Legislators	37.8%	34.3%	
Peace Officers	32.8%	28.4%	
Regular State Employees	29.1%	25.6%	
Wildlife Agents	36.9%	35.5%	
Aggregate Rate	<b>29.4%</b>	25.9%	

# D. Deposits and Investment Risk Disclosures

# 1. Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures

The information presented on the following pages includes disclosures of custodial, interest rate, credit, and foreign currency risks in accordance with GASB 40 and GASB 53 and is designed to inform financial statement users about investment risks that could affect the System's ability to meet its obligations. The tables presented classify investments by risk type, while the financial statements present investments by asset class; thus, the totals shown on the tables may not be comparable to the amounts shown for the individual asset classes on the financial statements.

#### 2. Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of bank failure, the System's deposits may not be returned. The System does not have a formal deposit policy for custodial credit risk. All U.S. bank balances at year-end were insured or collateralized by the pledge of government securities held by the agents in the entity's name. LASERS had time deposits and certificates of deposits in the securities lending cash collateral pool that were exposed to custodial credit risk of \$227.0 million and \$181.9 million as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. LASERS had uninsured cash deposits in non-U.S. banks of \$7.5 million and \$12.6 million for the periods ended June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2012, respectively. These deposits were used for investments pending settlement.

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the pension trust fund will not be able to recover the value of its investments, or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by either: a) the counterparty or b) the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the government's name. LASERS had no custodial credit risk for investments for the years ending June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

#### 3. Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the "risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of investments in a single issuer." The risk occurs "when investments are concentrated in any one issuer that represents 5% or more of plan net assets." Investments issued or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government and investments in mutual funds, external investment pools, and other pooled investments are excluded from this requirement. The System has no investments of any single organization (other than those issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government) that represent 5% or more of the System's net plan assets, nor does the System hold more than 5% of any corporation's stock.

#### 4. Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that a borrower will be unable to meet its obligation. The overall average quality of each core fixed income portfolio shall be rated A- or higher by Standard and Poor's. Non-rated issues or issues below investment grade (below BBB-) may be purchased up to a maximum of 15% of each core fixed income portfolio. These quality restrictions will not apply to a manager that is hired by LASERS to manage dedicated high-yield fixed income portfolios. The average duration shall not differ from the passive benchmark's duration by more than two years.

In preparing this report, credit risk associated with all fixed income holdings including collateral for repurchase agreements and securities lending collateral has been included. The System's exposure to credit risk as of June 30, 2013, and 2012, is as follows:

	Fair Value	Percent	Fair Value	Percent
Rating	2013	2013	2012	2012
AAA	\$ 30,824,959	1.2%	\$ 80,260,282	3.1%
A-1+	173,365,229	6.8%	176,041,653	6.7%
A-1	310,148,253	12.4%	367,139,031	14.1%
AA+	209,724,926	8.4%	255,148,252	9.8%
AA	6,297,305	0.2%	6,939,118	0.3%
AA-	167,465,486	6.6%	152,801,744	5.9%
A+	142,607,178	5.6%	50,850,062	1.9%
A	50,546,927	2.0%	80,758,619	3.1%
A-	86,120,614	3.4%	57,509,598	2.2%
BBB+	38,051,448	1.5%	21,881,116	0.8%
BBB	45,523,617	1.8%	36,471,773	1.4%
BBB-	52,346,025	2.1%	41,028,645	1.6%
BB+	38,392,010	1.5%	31,877,845	1.2%
BB	58,329,610	2.3%	50,978,801	2.0%
BB-	71,934,308	2.8%	64,869,282	2.5%
B+	73,735,309	2.9%	70,475,372	2.7%
В	78,772,588	3.1%	64,146,181	2.5%
B-	75,307,151	3.0%	78,122,017	3.0%
CCC+	60,377,279	2.4%	39,711,363	1.5%
CCC	57,589,143	2.2%	78,836,812	3.0%
CCC-	4,683,855	0.2%	2,632,201	0.1%
CC	17,377,051	0.7%	29,794,268	1.1%
C	299,250	0.0%	-	0.0%
D	104,354,596	4.1%	71,636,571	2.7%
Non-rated	575,168,148	22.8%	698,316,245	26.8%
Total Fixed Income	\$ 2,529,342,265	100.0%	\$ 2,608,226,851	100.0%

#### 5. Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk from changes in interest rates adversely affecting the fair value of an investment. LASERS has no formal interest rate risk policy. LASERS, as expressed in its Investment Policy, expects its fixed income managers to approximate the portfolio's duration (a measure of a debt investment's exposure to fair value changes arising from interest rates) to within two years of its respective benchmark. Investments with fair values that are highly sensitive to interest rate changes may contain terms that increase the sensitivity of their fair values.

As of June 30, 2013, and 2012, the System had the following domestic and foreign debt investments and maturities:

				 	 - (=== = =====)	
	Fair Value		Less			Greater
Type	2013		Than 1	1 - 5	6 - 10	Than 10
U.S. Government Obligations	\$ 29,534,959	\$	13,676	\$ 17,544	\$ 4,919,989	\$ 24,583,750
U.S. Agency Obligations	73,530,989		15,129,745	1,658,804	963,412	55,779,028
Mortgages	230,892,120		-	-	11,608,057	219,284,063
Corporate Bonds	710,895,824		71,030,276	225,799,402	325,758,731	88,307,415
International Bonds	566,297,190		245,510,794	141,535,123	144,410,541	34,840,732
Commercial Paper and Other Short-term Investments	450,126,695		450,126,695	-	-	-
International Commercial Paper and Other Short-term Investments	463,105,208		463,105,208	-	-	-
Bond Mutual Funds	4,959,280		4,959,280			_
Total Debt Investments	\$ 2,529,342,265	\$	1,249,875,674	\$ 369,010,873	\$ 487,660,730	\$ 422,794,988

# **Investment Maturities (in Years)**

			' '	comment mate	 o (III I cuis)	
	Fair Value	Less				Greater
Type	2012	Than 1		1 - 5	6 - 10	Than 10
U.S. Government Obligations	\$ 205,751,233	\$ 93,167,996	\$	36,498,418	\$ 41,018,518	\$ 35,066,301
U.S. Agency Obligations	74,005,621	-		-	4,710,269	69,295,352
Mortgages	229,284,121	4,951,987		378,000	10,536,050	213,418,084
Corporate Bonds	602,976,427	15,331,031		228,619,339	290,227,008	68,799,049
International Bonds	650,129,055	183,014,772		256,264,672	144,150,486	66,699,125
Commercial Paper and Other Short-term Investments	237,441,564	237,441,564		-	-	-
International Commercial Paper and Other Short-term Investments	604,418,710	604,418,710		-	-	-
Bond Mutual Funds	4,220,120	4,220,120		-	_	
<b>Total Debt Investments</b>	\$ 2,608,226,851	\$ 1,142,546,180	\$	521,760,429	\$ 490,642,331	\$ 453,277,911

# 6. Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the potential risk for loss due to changes in exchange rates. Cash held by the manager may be in U.S. dollar or foreign currencies of the manager's choice. Managers may purchase or sell currency on a spot basis to accommodate securities settlements. Managers may enter into forward exchange contracts on currency provided that use of such contracts is designed to dampen portfolio volatility or to facilitate the settlement of securities transactions. Currency contracts may be utilized to either hedge the portfolio's currency risk exposure or in the settlement of securities transactions.

Foreign investments denominated in U.S. currency such as American Depository Receipts (ADRs) and Yankee bonds do not carry foreign currency risk; therefore, are not included in the tables below. LASERS portfolio contained several commingled funds subject to foreign currency risk with aggregate fair values of \$896.2 million and \$818.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. LASERS Investment Guidelines, some of which are noted in Note E. Cash and Investments, are designed to mitigate risk.

The fair value of LASERS securities including derivative instruments held in a foreign currency at June 30, 2013, and 2012, is as follows:

222 000 000	Detail Board	Cotol Charl	100 J	Duitrate Cossiter	Currency	Fair Value
Australian Dollar	GIODAI DOINGS	© 05 607 617	© 7878		© (10.384.026) ©	85 231 A60
Rrazilian Roal	21 070 354			ı	160 110	02,123,100
British Pound Sterling	100/10/17	300,879,768	880,201		011/001	301,759,969
Canadian Dollar		106,565,379	235,650		74,877	106,875,906
Chilean Peso	238,959					238,959
Colombian Peso	7,242,914				(532,473)	6,710,441
Danish Krone		15,199,855	196,992			15,396,847
Euro	8,945,799	396,954,139	16,197,127	63,596,309	(261,536)	485,431,838
Hong Kong Dollar		39,654,323	290,833			39,945,156
Hungarian Forint	7,331,837					7,331,837
Indonesian Rupiah	14,282,669					14,282,669
Israeli Shekel		4,733,248	131,388			4,864,636
Japanese Yen		266,962,407	2,524,300		(574,169)	268,912,538
Malaysian Ringgit	15,848,144					15,848,144
Mexican Peso	29,526,028		206,262			29,732,290
New Taiwan Dollar		303,407	9'826			313,263
Turkish Lira	17,266,646				1,458	17,268,104
New Zealand Dollar		4,862,536	74,202		(2,470,503)	2,466,235
Nigerian Naira	616,348		2,002,909			2,619,257
Norwegian Krone		8,032,381	272,124			8,304,505
Peruvian Sol	086'62				849,250	929,230
Philippines Peso	1,689,893					1,689,893
Polish Zloty	15,142,383					15,142,383
Romanian Leu					913,891	913,891
Russina Ruble	16,342,196					16,342,196
Singapore Dollar		48,037,430	244,288			48,281,718
South African Rand	17,715,857		096			17,716,817
Swedish Krona		31,611,364	157,147			31,768,511
Swiss Franc		109,126,038	191,368			109,317,406
Thailand Baht	10,702,941					10,702,941
Total	\$ 184,951,948	\$ 1,428,529,892	\$ 23,627,750	\$ 69,596,309	(12,223,121) \$	1,688,482,778

# Financial Section

					Currency	Fair Value	
Currency	Global Bonds	Global Stock	Cash/Other	Private Equity	Contracts	2012	
Australian Dollar	· \$	\$ 88,441,017	\$ 181,077	- \$	\$ (11,458,275)	\$ 77,16	77,163,819
Brazilian Real			4,668				4,668
British Pound Sterling		264,229,443	869,025		23,936,942	289,035,410	5,410
Canadian Dollar		103,993,477	347,701			104,341,178	1,178
Danish Krone		12,877,138	190,079			13,067,217	7,217
Euro	97,171,936	325,467,147	78,345,226	48,776,865	(23,452,453)	526,308,721	8,721
Hong Kong Dollar		29,323,138	237,252			29,560,390	0,390
Israeli Shekel		4,571,992	133,144			4,70	4,705,136
Japanese Yen	172,719,545	220,597,452	2,788,063		(545,431)	395,559,629	9,629
Malaysian Ringgit			13,476			1	13,476
Mexican Peso	28,237,014		891,727			29,128,741	3,741
New Taiwan Dollar		186,522	6,885			19	196,407
New Zealand Dollar		5,044,352	81,906		(3,041,368)	2,08	2,084,890
Norwegian Krone		7,628,085	676,255			8,30	8,304,340
Polish Zloty	34,012,366					34,01	34,012,366
Singapore Dollar		45,427,616	719,091		(101,525)	46,04	46,045,182
South African Rand			4				4
Swedish Krona		26,201,514	659,766			27,199,167	9,167
Swiss Franc		80,640,887	1,740,405			82,381,292	1,292
Thailand Baht			1				1
Total	\$ 332,140,861	\$ 1,214,629,780	\$ 88,226,638	\$ 48,776,865	\$ (14,662,110)	\$ 1,669,112,034	2,034

### E. Cash and Investments

## 1. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash deposited in banks. Cash is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000, and cash equivalents are collateralized by the pledge of government securities held by the agents in the entity's name.

#### 2. Short-Term Investments

Short–term reserves may be held in U.S. dollar or global denominated investment vehicles available through the System's custodian. These funds may be invested in direct U.S. Government obligations such as U.S. Treasury Bills or repurchase agreements, which are fully collateralized by issues of the U.S. Treasury or any agency of the United States Government. Repurchase agreement transactions as of June 30, 2013, have underlying collateral with fair values of approximately 102% of the cost of the repurchase agreement. The agreed-upon yields for the repurchase agreements were 25 basis points with maturity dates through July 1, 2013. LASERS had repurchase agreements with fair values of \$61,001,405 as of June 30, 2013 and \$45,100,117 as of June 30, 2012. Excess cash may also be invested in the negotiable certificates of deposit, global time deposits, global currency, or other short-term investment vehicles designated by the Board.

#### 3. Investments

Louisiana state law (La. R.S. 11:261-269) provides for the fiduciary and investment responsibilities of LASERS. La. R.S. 11:263 states that the prudent man rule shall apply to all investments of LASERS. This law specifically requires management of LASERS to exercise the judgment and care under the circumstances prevailing that a prudent institutional investor would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character with like aims.

# 4. Domestic Equity

Domestic equity purchases are limited to publicly traded common stocks. Exceptions shall be approved by the Board in advance. No single holding shall account for more than 6% of the allowable equity portion of the portfolio at market value, or 150% of a stock's weighting in the style benchmark against which the manager is measured, whichever is larger.

LASERS domestic equity portfolios are expected to be fully invested. No single holding in LASERS portfolio shall account for more than 5% of the outstanding common stock of any one corporation. No more than 10% of a manager's domestic equity portfolio may consist of cash or cash equivalents. Additionally, no single holding across all actively managed portfolios of an investment management firm shall account for more than 15% of the outstanding common stock of any one corporation.

The purchase of stocks or convertibles in foreign companies which are publicly traded securities may be held by each domestic stock manager in proportions which each manager shall deem appropriate, up to 10% of the portfolio at market value. Convertible bonds, convertible preferred stocks, warrants and rights may be purchased as equity substitutes as long as they meet the equity guidelines listed above.

# 5. International Equity

Short-term reserves may be held in U.S. dollar-denominated, local currency securities, or investment vehicles available through the System's custodian. Managers may purchase or sell currency on a spot basis to accommodate security settlements. Managers may enter into forward exchange contracts on currency provided that use of such contracts is designed to dampen portfolio volatility or to facilitate the settlement of security transactions.

LASERS international equity portfolios are expected to be fully invested. No more than 10% of a manager's international equity portfolio may consist of cash or cash equivalents. Equity securities should be issued by non-U.S. corporations, although the manager has latitude to hold U.S. securities provided that such investment is consistent with attainment of the portfolio's investment objectives, and does not exceed 10% of the portfolio's market value. American Depository Receipts (ADRs) do not count toward this 10% limitation.

The number of issues held and their geographic or industry distribution shall be left to the investment manager provided that equity holdings in any one company (including common stock and convertible securities) do not exceed 6% of the market value of the manager's portion of LASERS portfolio. Additionally, bonds of the companies in question would be included in LASERS exposure calculation if held in the manager's portfolio.

Managers with established international equity mandates may invest up to 10% of their portfolio(s) in the emerging markets, as defined by the MSCI EM Index. Managers with an emerging markets equity mandate are expected to invest in the emerging (non-established) markets, subject to the guidelines listed above.

#### 6. Domestic Core Fixed Income

Domestic core fixed income investments may include U.S. Government and Federal Agency obligations, corporate bonds, debentures, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, Yankee bonds, mortgage-backed securities, and senior secured debt and other instruments deemed prudent by the investment managers. No more than 6% of the market value of LASERS domestic core fixed income assets may be invested in the debt securities of any one issuer. No limitations on issues and issuers shall apply to obligations of U.S. Government and Federal Agencies.

The overall average quality of each fixed income portfolio shall be rated A- or higher. Issues not rated may be purchased provided that in the judgment of the manager, they are of a quality sufficient to maintain the average overall portfolio quality of A- or higher. Non-rated issues or issues below investment grade (below BBB-) may be purchased up to a maximum of 15% of the portfolio.

The diversification of securities by maturity, quality, sector, coupon, and geography is the responsibility of the manager. Active bond management is encouraged, as deemed appropriate by the investment managers. The average duration (interest rate sensitivity) of an actively managed portfolio shall not differ from the passive benchmark's duration by more than two years.

Investments in mortgage-backed securities shall have the characteristics of fixed income securities, and be responsive to changes in domestic interest rate changes, as well as other factors that affect the credit markets and mortgage investments. The investment managers are responsible for making an independent analysis of the credit worthiness of securities and their suitability as investments

for the Plan, and shall adhere to the specific investment, security, diversification limits, and administrative guidelines established in the investment management agreement(s).

High-yield fixed income managers may invest up to 20% of their portfolios in non-U.S. fixed income securities. They shall perform careful credit analysis to mitigate losses from defaults. Investments should be diversified across sector, industry, sub-industry, and market to mitigate losses. No more than 6% of market value of the System's high yield assets may be invested in the debt securities of any one issuer.

#### 7. Global Fixed Income

The global bond portfolio may hold no more than 30% of its assets, at market value, in the debt securities of any single foreign government or non-U.S. government entity. No single non-government debt security shall constitute more than 6% of the global bond portfolio, at market value. Securities issued by AAA rated supranational organizations (such as the World Bank) shall be considered to be government equivalents.

Short-term reserves may be held in U.S. dollar-denominated or local currency securities or investment vehicles available through LASERS custodian.

Managers may enter into forward exchange contracts on currency provided that use of such contracts is designed to dampen portfolio volatility rather than leverage portfolio risk exposure. Currency contracts may be utilized to either hedge the portfolio's currency risk exposure or in the settlement of securities transactions. Managers may purchase or sell currency on a spot basis to accommodate securities settlements. Decisions as to the number of issues held and their geographic distribution shall be the responsibility of the investment manager.

The overall average quality of each global fixed income portfolio shall be A- or higher. Non-rated issues may be purchased, provided that in the judgment of the manager, they are of a quality sufficient to maintain the average overall portfolio quality of A- or higher. Issues below investment grade (below BBB-) and/or mortgage backed securities may be purchased up to a maximum of 15% of the portfolio. The average duration (interest rate sensitivity) of a global fixed income portfolio shall not differ from the passive benchmark by more than two years.

### 8. Emerging Market Debt

The emerging markets debt portfolio may hold no more than 1.5 times the passive benchmark weight, at market value, in the debt securities of any single sovereign entity. The portfolio may hold up to 15% in securities not issued by benchmark countries. The portfolio may hold up to a combined allocation of 20% in non-benchmark inflation-linked bonds and corporate debt securities. Investments should be diversified across sovereign issuers and markets to mitigate losses from defaults.

Managers may enter into forward exchange contracts on currency provided that use of such contracts is designed to dampen portfolio volatility rather than leverage portfolio risk exposure. Currency contracts may be utilized to either hedge the portfolio's currency risk exposure or in the settlement of securities transactions. Managers may purchase or sell currency on a spot basis to accommodate securities settlements. Decisions as to the number of issues held and their geographic distribution shall be the responsibility of the investment manager.

The overall average quality of each portfolio shall be BBB- or higher. Non-rated issues may be purchased provided that in the judgment of the manager, they are of a quality sufficient to maintain the average overall portfolio quality of BBB- or higher. The modified duration (interest rate sensitivity) of an emerging markets debt (local currency) portfolio shall not differ from the passive benchmark by more than three years.

#### 9. Derivatives

During the fiscal years ended 2013 and 2012, the System invested in collateralized mortgage obligations (forms of mortgage-backed securities), foreign exchange currency contracts, futures, options, warrants, rights, and a Synthetic Guaranteed Investment Contract (SGIC). The System reviews market value of all securities on a monthly basis. Derivative securities may be held in part to maximize yields and in part to hedge against a rise in interest rates. The fair value of rights and warrants are determined based upon quoted market prices. For the years ending June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2012, the derivative instruments held by the System were considered investments and not hedges for accounting purposes. The term hedging, as it is used elsewhere in the notes to these financial statements, denotes an economic activity and not an accounting method. Investments in limited partnerships and commingled funds may include derivatives. Interest rate risk, credit rate risk, and foreign currency risk associated with derivatives are included on their respective tables in *Note D. Deposits and Investment Risk Disclosures*.

- a. Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) are bonds that are collateralized by whole loan mortgages, mortgage pass-through securities, or stripped mortgage-backed securities. Income is derived from payments and prepayments of principal and interest generated from collateral mortgages. Cash flows are distributed to different investment classes or tranches in accordance with that CMOs established payment order. Some CMO tranches have more stable cash flows relative to changes in interest rates than others that can be significantly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations. In a declining interest rate environment, some CMOs may be subject to a reduction in interest payments as a result of prepayments of mortgages which make up the collateral pool. Reductions in interest payments cause a decline in cash flows and, thus, a decline in market value of the CMO security. Rising interest rates may cause an increase in interest payments, thus an increase in the value of the security.
- b. Synthetic Guaranteed Investment Contract (SGIC) is an investment for tax-qualified, defined contribution pension plans consisting of two parts: an asset owned directly by the plan trust and a wrap contract providing book value protection for participant withdrawals prior to maturity. LASERS maintains a fully benefit-responsive synthetic guaranteed investment contract option for members of the Optional Retirement Plan and the Self-Directed Plan. The investment objective of the SGIC is to protect members from loss of their original investment and to provide a competitive interest rate. LASERS Stable Value Fund had fair values of \$402.8 million and \$366.4 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Fair values of this fund exceeded the values protected by the wrap contract by \$3.7 million and \$15.8 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The counterparty rating for the wrap contract was AA.
- c. **Futures** contracts are standardized, exchange-traded contracts to purchase or sell a specific financial instrument at a predetermined price. Gains and losses on futures contracts are settled

daily based on a notional (underlying) principal value and do not involve an actual transfer of the specific instrument. The exchange assumes the risk that the counterparty will not pay and generally requires margin payments to minimize such risk. Futures are used primarily as a tool to increase or decrease market exposure to various asset classes.

- d. A currency forward is a contractual agreement between two parties to pay or receive specific amounts of foreign currency at a future date in exchange for another currency at an agreed upon exchange rate. Forwards are usually transacted in the over-the-counter market. These transactions are entered into in order to hedge risks from exposure to foreign currency rate fluctuation. They are entered into with the foreign exchange department of a bank located in a major money market. Recognition of realized gain or loss depends on whether the currency exchange rate has moved favorably or unfavorably to the contract holder upon termination of the contract. Prior to termination of the contract, the System records the unrealized translation gain or loss. Forward commitments are not standardized, and carry counterparty risk. Counterparty risk ratings from forwards for the years ended June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2012, ranged from ratings of AA- to A-.
- e. **Option contracts** provide the option purchaser with the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell the underlying security at a set price during a period or at a specified date. The option writer is obligated to buy or sell the underlying security if the option purchaser chooses to exercise the option.

The following tables represent the fair value of all open currency, futures, and options contracts at June 30, 2013, and 2012:

Change in Fair Value 2013

Fair Value at June 30, 2013

Derivative Type	Classification	Gain/(Loss)	Classification	Amount	Notional
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Net Appreciation	\$ 487,679	Investment Proceeds	\$ 1,599,320	\$ 28,289,176
Commodity Futures	Net Depreciation	(4,489,378)	Alternative Investments	(5,015,261)	126,471,374
Option	Net Appreciation	1,712,500	Alternative Investments	3,062,500	N/A

#### Change in Fair Value 2012

### Fair Value at June 30, 2012

Derivative Type	Classification	G	ain/(Loss)	Classification	Amount	Notional
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Net Appreciation	\$	1,556,231	Investment Proceeds	\$ 1,111,641	\$ 75,747,343
Commodity Futures	Net Depreciation		(525,881)	Alternative Investments	(525,881)	130,921,552

#### 10. Real Estate

LASERS has no current allocation to Real Estate. There remains one real estate limited partnership that is in the process of liquidation.

#### 11. Alternative Investments

Investments in alternatives include, but are not limited to, private equity, absolute return (hedge funds), and real assets. Investment strategies may include buyouts or corporate restructuring, venture capital, secondary investments, distressed securities, mezzanine instruments, energy and natural resources, and any other special situation.

LASERS endeavors to systematically commit additional funds to this asset class over time as it becomes under-represented relative to the LASERS target asset allocation. LASERS attempts to commit up to 200% of its target weighting to private equity investments to help ensure that the funded portion of the investments approximates the target allocation.

The Board of LASERS recognizes that alternative assets are potentially more risky than other investments of the System. As such, extra care is taken in evaluating and fully understanding all aspects on an alternative investment opportunity.

No more than 25% of the alternative asset investment allocation may be invested with a single manager, general partner, or single fund, with the exception of a fund-of-funds. Preference will be given to those funds where the general partner is contributing at least 1% of the total fund. All investments must have a mechanism for exit.

LASERS had the following unfunded commitments as of June 30, 2013 and 2012:

2013	2012
\$ 842,085,687	\$ 715,318,547
57,531,427	67,560,455
899,617,114	782,879,002
1,801,315,101	1,638,082,241
59,401,152	46,280,286
1,860,716,253	1,684,362,527
\$ 2,760,333,367	\$ 2,467,241,529
	\$ 842,085,687 57,531,427 899,617,114 1,801,315,101 59,401,152 1,860,716,253

The dollar amounts representing Euros are subject to fluctuations based on changes in exchange rates.

#### 12. Global Tactical Asset Allocation

Global Tactical Asset Allocation (GTAA) is a top-down investment strategy that attempts to exploit short-term mis-pricings among a global set of assets. The strategy focuses on general movements in the market rather than on performance of individual securities. This portfolio is managed in a commingled format. As such, LASERS investment guidelines do not apply. The commingled fund's guidelines are broadly similar to LASERS and shall take precedent.

# F. Securities Lending Program

State Statutes and the Board's policies permit the system to make short-term collateralized loans of its securities to broker-dealers and other entities in order to earn incremental income. LASERS has contracted with its custodian, BNY Mellon, to lend domestic and international equity and debt securities. The majority of security loans can be terminated on demand by either LASERS or the borrower. Collateral in the form of cash or other securities is required for 102% of the fair value of domestic or sovereign debt, and 105% of the fair value of international securities excluding sovereign debt loaned. Since the majority of the loans are terminable at will, their duration does not generally match the duration of the investments made with the cash collateral.

Due to disruptions in the credit markets beginning in the fall of 2008, prices of several securities experienced declines. At June 30, 2012, LASERS had an approximate \$11.9 million payable to BNY Mellon due to losses on Lehman Bonds. During fiscal year 2013, \$7.0 million in security lending income has been applied bringing the balance owed BNY Mellon to \$4.9 million. At June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, amounts payable to BNY Mellon were reported as trade payables and other

accrued liabilities. The unrealized loss in the cash collateral pools decreased from an unrealized loss of \$9.5 million at June 30, 2012, to an unrealized loss of \$8.1 million at June 30, 2013.

LASERS is not permitted to pledge or sell collateral securities unless a borrower defaults. The System did not impose any restrictions during the fiscal year on the amount of the loans that BNY Mellon made on its behalf, and BNY Mellon indemnified the System by agreeing to purchase replacement securities, or return cash collateral in the event a borrower failed to return a loaned security or pay distributions thereon. There were no such failures by any borrower to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon during the fiscal year.

On June 30, 2013, the System had no credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts the System owed the borrowers exceeded the amounts the borrowers owed the System. The market value of securities on loan totaled \$944,428,403 and \$918,035,116 for the years ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

# G. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

# 1. Plan Description

The Office of Group Benefits (OGB) is an agent multiple-employer postemployment healthcare plan that covers retired employees of the State, as well as school boards and various other non-state employers. OGB provides health and life insurance benefits to eligible retirees, their spouses, and their dependents. La. R.S. 42:801-883 assigns the authority to establish and amend the benefit provisions of the plan to the state legislature. OGB does not issue a publicly available financial report of the OPEB Plan; however, it is included in the Louisiana Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). A copy of the CAFR may be obtained on the Office of Statewide Reporting and Accounting Policy's website at <a href="www.doa.la.gov/osrap">www.doa.la.gov/osrap</a>.

# 2. Funding Policy

La. R.S. 42:801-883 assigns the authority to establish and amend the benefit provisions of the plan to the State Legislature. Retired plan members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits are required to contribute specified amounts monthly toward the cost of health insurance premiums.

#### **Summary of Plan Provisions:**

Employees hired before January 1, 2002 pay approximately 25% of the cost of coverage (except single retirees under age 65 pay approximately 25% of the active employee cost). Total annual per capita medical contribution rates for 2012-2013 are shown in the following tables.

Employees hired on or after January 1, 2002 pay a percentage of the total contribution rate upon retirement based on the following schedule:

	State	Retiree
	Contribution	Contribution
Service	Percentage	<b>Percentage</b>
Under 10 years	19%	81%
10-14 years	38%	62%
15-19 years	56%	44%
20+ years	75%	25%

Total monthly per capita premium rates as of January 1, 2013 are as follows:

				CDHP w/	
		PPO	НМО	HSA	МННМО
<u>Active</u>					
Single	\$	575.92	\$ 544.12	\$ 447.08	\$ 536.28
With Spouse	\$	1,223.28	\$ 1,155.56	\$ 949.56	\$ 1,122.20
With Children	\$	702.40	\$ 663.56	\$ 545.44	\$ 650.96
Family	\$	1,290.16	\$ 1,218.68	\$ 1,001.40	\$ 1,182.64
Retired No Medicare & Re-en	nplo	yed Retiree			
Single	\$	1,071.48	\$ 1,015.48	N/A	\$ 984.56
With Spouse	\$	1,892.04	\$ 1,793.08	N/A	\$ 1,727.36
With Children	\$	1,193.48	\$ 1,131.20	N/A	\$ 1,095.08
Family	\$	1,882.84	\$ 1,784.48	N/A	\$ 1,719.04
Retired with 1 Medicare					
Single	\$	348.44	\$ 335.96	N/A	\$ 330.36
With Spouse	\$	1,287.40	\$ 1,227.80	N/A	\$ 1,180.04
With Children	\$	603.08	\$ 577.92	N/A	\$ 560.76
Family	\$	1,715.32	\$ 1,634.28	N/A	\$ 1,567.36
Retired with 2 Medicare					
With Spouse	\$	626.32	\$ 602.20	N/A	\$ 581.64
Family	\$	775.48	\$ 745.60	N/A	\$ 716.64

# **Medicare Supplement Rate**

All members who retire on or after July 1, 1997 must have Medicare Parts A and B in order to qualify for the reduced premium rates. The monthly premium rates for the Medicare supplement plans for retirees are as follows:

		20	13			20	12	
		Retire	d With			Retire	d With	
	1 M	edicare	2 Medicare		1 Me	edicare	2 M	edicare
Humana PPO	\$	-	\$	-	\$	150	\$	300
Humana HMO	\$	-	\$	-	\$	156	\$	312
Peoples Health HMO	\$	234	\$	468	\$	167	\$	334
Vantage HMO	\$	184	\$	369	\$	279	\$	558
United Healthcare PPO	\$	-	\$	-	\$	214	\$	428

#### **Life Insurance Premiums**

Effective January 1, 2013, retirees pay \$0.52 for each \$1,000 of personal life insurance and \$0.98 for each \$1,000 of spousal life insurance. Prior to that date, retirees paid \$0.50 for each \$1,000 of personal life insurance and \$0.88 for each \$1,000 of spouse life insurance.

# 3. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The State is required to contribute the annual required contribution (ARC) of the employer, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB 45. represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed 30 years. The current ARC rate is 14.8% of annual covered payroll. At June 30, 2013, and 2012, annual OPEB costs and net OPEB obligations were:

	2013	2012
Annual Required Contribution	\$ 962,600	\$ 961,900
Interest on OPEB Obligation	275,656	250,503
Adjustment to Annual Required Contribution	(255,502)	(212,753)
Annual OPEB Cost (Expense)	982,754	999,650
Contributions Made	(350,871)	(378,650)
Increase in Net OPEB Obligation	631,883	621,000
Net OPEB Obligation Beginning of Year	6,883,570	6,262,570
Net OPEB Obligation End of Year	\$ 7,515,453	\$ 6,883,570

For fiscal year 2013, LASERS net OPEB obligation of \$7,515,453 is included in Trade Payables and Other Accrued Liabilities in the Statements of Plan Net Position and annual OPEB cost (expense) of \$982,754 is separately reported in the Statements of Changes in Plan Net Position. The annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for fiscal years 2013, 2012, and 2011, are as follows:

		Percentage of									
Fiscal Year	N	Net OPEB									
Ended	ded Cost		Cost Contributed	Obligation							
6/30/2011	\$	1,310,517	23.9%	\$	6,262,570						
6/30/2012	\$	999,650	37.9%	\$	6,883,570						
6/30/2013	\$	982,754	35.7%	\$	7,515,453						

**Funded Status and Funding Progress:** The funding status of the plan as of June 30, 2013, was as follows:

			Actuarial					
Actuarial	Actua	arial	Accrued					UAAL as a
<b>Valuation</b>	Valu	e of	Liability	τ	Unfunded	Funded	Covered	Percentage of
Date	Ass	ets	(AAL)	A	AL (UAAL)	Ratio	<b>Payroll</b>	<b>Covered Payroll</b>
	(a	)	(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	[(b-a)/c]
7/1/2011	\$	-	\$ 11,869,800	\$	11,869,800	0.0%	\$ 6,693,100	177.3%
7/1/2012	\$	-	\$ 12,659,600	\$	12,659,600	0.0%	\$ 6,507,600	194.5%

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The Schedules of Funding Progress, presented as required supplementary information following the *Notes to the Financial Statements*, present the current year's funding status, and presents multi-year trend information that will show whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time, relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits. Fiscal year 2008 was the implementation year of OPEB for LASERS.

# 4. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members), and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation, and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities, and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the July 1, 2012 actuarial valuation, a projected unit credit cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 4.0% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), an inflation rate of 3.0%, and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of 8.0% for pre-Medicare and 6.0% for Medicare-eligible participants initially, reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of 4.5%. The valuation utilized participant data supplied by OGB, the State Payroll System, and the various state retirement systems. Projected claim costs were determined by combining trended claims data, actual capitation rates, and actual vendor fees. LASERS unfunded actuarial accrued liability is

# **Financial Section**

being amortized using both a level dollar amount and a level percent of pay over an open amortization period of 30 years, the maximum amortization period allowed by GASB 45.

# H. Subsequent Events

The State of Louisiana is scheduled to complete the privatization of several state agencies by September 2013 which is expected to reduce the state workforce by approximately 3,000 employees. The financial statements and actuarial valuation do not reflect the impact of the scheduled reduction in workforce.

T	• 1	
Finan	cial	Section

**Required Supplementary Information** 

# **Schedules of Funding Progress for LASERS**

For the Six Years Ended June 30, 2013 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

			4	Actuarial						UAAL as a
	A	Actuarial		Accrued	U	nfunded				Percentage
Actuarial	7	Value of		Liability		AAL	Funded	(	Covered	of Covered
Valuation		Assets		(AAL)		(UAAL)	Ratio <sup>1</sup>		Payroll	Payroll
Date		(a)		(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)		(c)	[(b-a)/c]
6/30/2008	\$	9,167,170	\$	13,562,214	\$	4,395,044	67.6%	\$	2,436,956	180.3%
6/30/2009	\$	8,499,662	\$	13,986,847	\$	5,487,185	60.8%	\$	2,562,576	214.1%
6/30/2010	\$	8,512,403	\$	14,764,015	\$	6,251,612	57.7%	\$	2,546,457	245.5%
6/30/2011	\$	8,763,101	\$	15,221,055	\$	6,457,954	57.6%	\$	2,408,840	268.1%
6/30/2012	\$	9,026,416	\$	16,157,898	\$	7,131,482	55.9%	\$	2,341,703	304.5%
6/30/2013	\$	9,740,878	\$	16,182,195	\$	6,441,317	60.2%	\$	1,951,988	330.0%

The total actuarial accrued liability determined using the Projected Unit Credit cost method increased by \$24,297,075 from June 30, 2012, to June 30, 2013. There was an investment gain of \$321,037,632, and an additional net investment gain of \$170,210,294 due to a change in the asset valuation. There was an experience gain from sources other than investments of \$429,310,507. A portion of the increase in assets due to investment earnings was allocated to the Experience Account rather than to reduce the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

# **Schedules of Employer Contributions**

For the Six Years Ended June 30, 2013

			Actuarial						
		]	Required		Actual	Percent			
Ι	<b>Date</b>	Co	ontribution	C	ontribution	Contributed			
2	2008	\$	456,741,202	\$	526,484,759	115.3%			
2	2009	\$	492,402,961	\$	487,353,901	99.0%			
2	2010	\$	585,268,922	\$	491,237,641	83.9%			
2	2011	\$	678,123,319	\$	558,183,107	82.3%			
2	2012	\$	713,971,279	\$	637,285,920	89.3%			
2	2013	\$	752,809,646	\$	649,029,708	86.2%			

Analysis of the percentage contributed over a period of years will give a relative indication of the funding progress for the liabilities of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For the years ended June 30, 2008, through June 30, 2009, the funded ratio referenced takes into account the initial unfunded accrued liability account (IUAL) and the Employer Credit Account which is not the same funded ratio used in determining the projected employer contribution rate.

# **Schedules of Funding Progress for OGB OPEB Trust**

For the Six Years Ended June 30, 2013

			4	Actuarial						<b>UAAL</b> as a
	Actu	uarial		Accrued						Percentage
Actuarial	Val	ue of		Liability	τ	J <b>nfunded</b>	Funded	(	Covered	of Covered
Valuation	As	sets		(AAL)	$\mathbf{A}$	AL (UAAL)	Ratio		Payroll	Payroll
Date	(	(a)		(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)		(c)	[(b-a)/c]
7/1/2007	\$	-	\$	19,690,300	\$	19,690,300	0.0%	\$	5,822,128	338.2%
7/1/2008	\$	-	\$	23,055,800	\$	23,055,800	0.0%	\$	6,633,000	347.6%
7/1/2009	\$	-	\$	18,281,800	\$	18,281,800	0.0%	\$	6,919,500	264.2%
7/1/2010	\$	-	\$	15,919,500	\$	15,919,500	0.0%	\$	6,862,200	232.0%
7/1/2011	\$	-	\$	11,869,800	\$	11,869,800	0.0%	\$	6,693,100	177.3%
7/1/2012	\$	-	\$	12,659,600	\$	12,659,600	0.0%	\$	6,507,600	194.5%

# Financial Section

**Supporting Schedules** 

# **Schedules of Administrative Expenses**

# For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	 2013	2012
Administrative Expenses:		
Salaries and Related Benefits	\$ 12,054,907	\$ 11,648,027
Travel Expenses	146,852	126,993
Operating Services	3,121,904	3,093,364
Professional Services	482,290	579,349
Acquisitions	 101,646	 52,430
Total Administrative Expenses	\$ 15,907,599	\$ 15,500,163

### **Schedules of Investment Expenses**

### For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Investment Activities Expenses:		
Alternative Investment Expenses		
Alternative Manager Fees	\$ 31,523,780	\$ 28,805,893
Profit Sharing Fees	1,874,038	5,786,439
<b>Total Alternative Investment Expenses</b>	\$ 33,397,818	\$ 34,592,332
Investment Management Expenses		
Investment Manager Fees	\$ 23,565,210	\$ 20,948,026
Profit Sharing Fees	56,353	61,931
Investment Consultant Fees	634,167	609,167
Research and Data Services	314,088	305,577
Investment Performance Management	176,228	176,028
Global Custodian Fees	141,861	149,001
<b>Total Investment Management Expenses</b>	\$ 24,887,907	\$ 22,249,730
Total Investment Expenses	\$ 58,285,725	\$ 56,842,062

### **Schedules of Board Compensation**

For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	2013		201	2
Board of Trustees	Number of Meetings	Amount	Number of Meetings	Amount
Thomas Bickham <sup>1</sup>	11	\$ -	13	\$ -
Connie Carlton	23	1,725	25	1,875
Charles Castille	0	-	8	600
Beverly Hodges <sup>2</sup>	24	1,800	20	1,500
William Kleinpeter	19	1,425	6	450
Janice Lansing	21	1,575	20	1,500
Barbara McManus	22	1,650	21	1,575
Susan Pappan <sup>1</sup>	0	-	7	-
Lori Pierce <sup>1</sup>	9	-	21	-
Sheryl Ranatza <sup>1</sup>	0	-	10	450
Kathy Singleton	22	1,650	20	1,500
Shannon Templet	21	1,575	10	750
<b>Total Compensation</b>		\$ 11,400		\$ 10,200

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Board member chose not to receive per diem for all or part of their term.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Board member chose to have per diem paid directly to their employer agency.

### **Schedules of Professional/Consultant Fees**

### For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	2013		2012	
Accounting and Auditing				
Duplantier, Hrapmann, Hogan & Maher, LLP	\$	46,088	\$	37,940
Actuary				
Foster & Foster, Inc		82,200		
Hall Actuarial Associates		37,000		37,000
S J Actuarial Associates		85,640		183,565
Legal Fees				
Avant & Falcon		1,400		7,963
Klausner, Kaufman, Jensen, & Levinson		7,188		57,489
Lowenstein Sandler		40,992		51,671
Roedel Parsons Koch Balhoff & McCollister		10,688		7,945
Tarcza & Associates, LLC		62,967		32,478
Disability Program				
Physician and Other Reviews		106,633		139,524
Other Professional Services				
Election Service Corporation		-		20,262
Firefly Digital, Inc.		-		2,700
Other Non-Consultant Professionals		1,494		812
Professional Service/Consultant Fees	\$	482,290	\$	579,349

### Financial Section



# Investment

### Contents

Chief Investment Officer's Report	.67
Summary of Investment Policy	.69
Security Holdings Summary Report – 2013	.77
Largest Equity Holdings	.78
Largest Debt Holdings	.78
Largest Louisiana Holdings	.79

of 53 cast in France in 1950 and presented to the people of
Louisiana. The dimensions and tone are identical with those of
the original bell which rang out our independence in 1776.

LASERS Rates of Return	
Total Plan	80
U.S. Equity	80
Global Equity	
U.S. Fixed Income	81
Alternative Assets	82
Schedule of Brokerage Commissions Paid	83
Schedule of External Management Fees	



8401 United Plaza Blvd. • Baton Rouge, LA 70809

Web: www.lasersonline.org

**Mail:** P.O. Box 44213 • Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4213

**Phone:** (toll-free) 1.800.256.3000 • (local) 225.922.0600

October 25, 2013

Dear Members,

While volatility and European concerns continued during the 2012-2013 fiscal year, financial markets regained some momentum from the previous year. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, LASERS investment portfolio realized a market rate of return on investment assets of 12.6%. The actuarial rate of return was 14.1%.

Based on the fiscal year market return, LASERS ranked in the fortieth percentile of all public pension plans with market values greater than \$1 billion in the Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS)¹. For extended time periods², LASERS ranked in the thirty-fifth percentile for the three-year period, the thirty-fourth percentile for the five-year period, the twenty-second percentile for the seven-year period, and the nineteenth percentile for the ten-year period. The ten-year annualized return of 8.2 percent maintains LASERS spot as one of the nation's top state pension plans.

As always, LASERS maintains its commitment to a broadly diversified portfolio and achieving its actuarial target rate of return of 8.0% with the least possible amount of risk. Carefully underwritten and conservative assumptions for future expected returns have been adopted, and the investment portfolio is structured to optimize the risk/return trade-off.

During the fiscal year, LASERS continued to work toward its ongoing goal of comprehensively monitoring the plan's investments in relation to current market environments. Changes were made to the plan's asset allocation near the end of the 2012-2013 fiscal year. LASERS increased allocations to international equity, emerging markets equity and risk parity, and decreased allocations to high yield fixed income, global bonds and absolute return strategies.

The Investment Division continuously seeks to be a premier pension plan by creating, implementing, and evaluating its strategic goals and objectives. We strive to be a plan that is forward thinking, disciplined, and efficient. This includes continuously looking to lower overall investment costs while maintaining a high degree of expertise.

**Board of Trustees:** 

Beverly Hodges, Chair Connie Carlton, Vice Chair Thomas Bickham Sen. Elbert Guillory

Hon. John Kennedy

Judge William Kleinpeter Janice Lansing Barbara McManus Commissioner Kristy Nichols Rep. Kevin Pearson Lori Pierce Kathy Singleton

Shannon Templet

Cindy Rougeou, Executive Director

### **Investment Section**

Going forward, we are committed to improving upon what we have already achieved and diligently working toward the future. We continue to believe that LASERS is well positioned to meet its long-term goals and objectives.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Beale, CFA, CAIA

Chief Investment Officer

3 ( )

### **Summary of Investment Policy**

### I. Statement of Investment Objectives

This document specifically outlines the investment philosophy and practices of LASERS and has been developed to serve as a framework for the management of the System's defined benefit plan. The Board has established the investment guidelines to formalize investment objectives, policies and procedures, and to define the duties and responsibilities of the various entities involved in the investment process. All policy decisions shall include liquidity and risk considerations that are prudent and reasonable under the circumstances that exist over time. The policies will evolve as the internal conditions of the fund and the capital markets environment changes. Any resulting material changes will be communicated to all affected parties.

### II. Controlling Statutes and Regulation

Investments of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System shall be made in full accordance with Louisiana Revised Statutes, applicable legislation or regulation as well as LASERS internal policies and procedures. Among other applicable rules and regulations, the following apply:

LASERS shall operate under the "Prudent Man" rule, used herein meaning, that when investing, the Board shall exercise the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent institutional investor acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims. LASERS will apply this standard to the entire fund portfolio, and as part of an overall investment strategy. This will include an asset allocation study and a plan for implementation which will incorporate risk and return objectives reasonably suitable to the fund. The following types of risk are to be examined: market value, credit, interest rate, inflation, counterparty, and concentration. The study and implementation of such plan will be designed to preserve and enhance principal over the long term, provide adequate liquidity and cash flow for the system, and minimize the risk of loss unless it is clearly prudent not to do so.

LASERS is subject to a legislative limit restricting the fund so that no more than 65% of its total assets are invested in publicly traded equities. Should LASERS have more than 55% of its total assets invested in publicly traded equities, at least 10% of those equities must be invested in one or more index funds. Alternative assets are not considered to be equities when calculating LASERS equity exposure. LASERS is aware that markets will fluctuate, and any rebalancing will appropriately consider market conditions and any other relevant factors.

### III. Roles and Responsibilities

The following section outlines the roles and responsibilities for each of the parties involved with executing the policy. In addition to the activities described below, each person involved with the policy serves as a fiduciary and will adhere to the "Prudent Man" rule as described in State Statute.

#### **Board of Trustees**

The Board of Trustees is responsible for the total investment program. The Board shall approve the investment policy, and provide overall direction to the administrative staff in the execution of the investment policy. The Board will conduct formal annual evaluations of the administrative staff, investment consultant and custodian.

#### **Investment Committee**

The Investment Committee was established by the Board to assist in oversight of the investment program; it will consist of not less than seven members of the Board. The Committee reviews and makes recommendation to the Board on investment actions including, but not limited to the following:

- Asset Allocation
- Asset Management
- Risk Control
- Monitoring

#### **Chief Investment Officer**

The Chief Investment Officer (CIO) shall assist the Board in developing and modifying policy objectives and guidelines, including the development of liability driven asset allocation strategies and recommendations on long-term asset allocation and the appropriate mix of investment manager styles and strategies. Choosing appropriate manager styles and strategies will include assisting the Board in evaluating the use of index funds as an alternative to active management. Additionally, the CIO shall provide assistance in manager searches and selection, investment performance calculation and evaluation, and any other analysis associated with the proper execution of the Board's directives.

The CIO shall also communicate the decisions of the Investment Committee to investment managers, custodian bank(s), actuary, and consultant. The CIO provides oversight of the investment consultant, investment service providers, and personnel of LASERS investment division.

#### **Investment Consultant**

The Investment Consultant works under direction of the Board, offering a third-party perspective and providing an additional level of oversight to the System's investment program. The Consultant's normal functions shall include assisting the Board and the CIO in developing and modifying policy objectives and guidelines, including the development of a liability-driven asset allocation strategy and recommendations on the appropriate mix of investment manager styles, strategies and funding levels.

#### **Investment Managers**

The duties and responsibilities of each of the investment managers retained by the Board include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- Investing the assets under its management in accordance with the policy guidelines and objectives.
- Meeting or exceeding the manager-specific benchmarks, net of all fees and expenses.
- Exercising investment discretion within the guidelines and objectives.

- Complying with all provisions pertaining to the investment manager's duties and responsibilities as a fiduciary.
- Complying with the CFA Institute's Code of Ethics & Standards of Professional Conduct and Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS).
- Disclosing all conflicts and potential conflicts of interest.
- Ensuring that all portfolio transactions are made on a "best execution" basis.
- Exercising ownership rights, where applicable.
- Meeting with the Board as needed upon request of the Board, and timely submitting all required reports.
- Promptly informing the Board regarding all significant matters pertaining to the investment of the fund assets.
- Initiating written communication with the Board when the manager believes that this
  Investment Policy is inhibiting performance and/or should be altered for any valid reason. No
  deviation from the guidelines and objectives established in the Policy is permitted until after
  such communication has occurred and the Board has approved such deviation in writing.
- Reconciling performance, holdings and security pricing data with the Fund's custodian bank.
- Any other duties included in the contract.

#### **Custodian Bank**

The Custodian is responsible for the safekeeping of System assets and serves as the official book of record. It is understood that investments that are held in partnerships, commingled accounts or unique asset classes are unable to be held by the System's custodian bank.

The Custodian(s) will be responsible for performing the following functions:

- Holding System assets directly, through its agents, its sub-custodians, or designated clearing systems.
- Registration of System assets in good delivery form, collection of income generated by those assets, and any corporate action notification.
- Delivery and receipt of securities.
- Disbursement of all income or principal cash balances as directed.
- Providing daily cash sweep of idle principal and income cash balances.
- Providing online records and reports.
- Providing monthly statements by investment managers' accounts and a consolidated statement
  of all assets.
- Providing monthly performance reports and quarterly performance analysis reports.
- Notifying appropriate entities of proxies.
- Managing the securities lending program (if applicable).
- Overseeing securities class actions on behalf of the System.
- Providing a compliance monitoring system.
- Any other duties and services included in the contract.

### IV. Investment Objectives

#### **Nominal Return Requirements**

The investment program shall be structured to preserve and enhance principal over the long term, in both real and nominal terms. For this purpose, short-term fluctuations in values will be considered secondary to long-term investment results. The investments of the Fund shall be diversified to minimize the risk of significant losses. Total return, which includes realized and unrealized gains, plus income less expenses, is the primary goal of LASERS.

The actuarially expected total rate of return for the Fund is 8.0% annually. However, LASERS seeks to achieve returns greater than 8.0%.

### **Relative Return Requirements**

LASERS seeks to have total returns rank in the top half of the appropriate public fund universe, reflecting similar circumstances to the Fund. The total fund return should, over time, exceed the Policy and Allocation Indices. Returns for LASERS managers should exceed their respective benchmarks, as well as rank in the top half of the appropriate universe of managers adhering to the same investment strategy.

The Board further recognizes that the return targets described herein may not be achieved in any single year. A longer-term horizon of 5-7 years shall be used in measuring the long-term success of the Fund. While the Board expects that returns will vary over time, LASERS has a risk tolerance consistent with that of other funds created for similar purposes, and the assets of the Fund shall be invested accordingly.

#### Performance Benchmarks

#### **Total Fund Return**

The Total Fund return shall be compared against other public pension plans. LASERS will compare its returns against other funds of similar size and circumstances. LASERS Total Fund return should meet or exceed the Allocation Index return and the Policy Index return, which are each described below.

#### Allocation Index

The Allocation Index return shall measure the success of the Fund's current allocation. It shall be calculated by using index rates of return for each asset class invested in by the Fund multiplied by the actual percent allocated to each asset class. The difference between the Allocation Index return and the Total Fund return measures the effect of active management. If the Total Fund return is greater than the Allocation Index return, then active management has in aggregate added value. If the Total Fund return is less than the Allocation Index return, then active management has not added value.

### **Policy Index**

The Policy Index return shall measure the success of the Fund's target allocation. It shall be calculated by using index rates of return for each asset class invested in by the Fund multiplied by the percent targeted to each asset class. The difference between the Allocation Index return and the Policy Index return measures the effects of deviating from the target allocation. If the Allocation Index return is greater than the Policy Index return, then deviating from the target allocation has added value. If the Allocation Index return is less than the Policy Index return, then deviating has not added value.

### Manager Benchmarks

LASERS Investment Managers shall be compared to a combination of passively managed index returns matching the managers' specific investment styles, as well as the median manager in their appropriate peer group universe.

### VI. Asset Allocation

The foundation of the System's strength and stability rests upon the diversification of plan assets. The following section outlines the current asset allocation, which was designed to achieve the required return objectives of the System, given certain risk considerations. This is to be pursued by LASERS on a long-term basis, but will be revised if significant changes occur within the economic and/or capital market environments. Changes in liability structure, funded status, or long-term investment prospects should trigger a revision of the asset allocation.

Based on the Board's determination of the appropriate risk tolerance for the System and its long-term expectations, the following asset class policy target allocation and permissible ranges have been established:

**Target Asset Mix** 

	Market Value	Minimum	Maximum
Asset Class	Target (%)	Exposure (%)	Exposure (%)
Equities	57	47	67
Domestic Large Cap	15	10	20
Domestic Mid Cap	4	0	10
Domestic Small Cap	8	3	13
Established Internationall (Lg Cap)	15	5	20
Established International (Sm Cap)	3	0	7
Emerging International Equity	12	7	17
Fixed Income	13	3	23
Core Fixed Income	4	0	10
Domestic High Yield	5	0	10
Opportunistic Credit	2	0	7
Emerging Market Debt	2	0	7
Cash	0	0	5
Alternative Assets	23	13	33
Private Equity	13	5	20
Absolute Return	7	2	12
Real Assets/Inflation Protection Inv	3	0	7
Global Tactical Asset Allocation	7	2	12

#### **Implementation**

LASERS recognizes that special expertise is required to properly invest the majority of the assets described. However, certain highly efficient passively managed investment strategies lend themselves to internal management, resulting in lower management fees for the Fund as a whole. Where appropriate, LASERS will manage these assets internally, so long as the same level of care, prudence and oversight is maintained that an outside professional investment advisor would typically provide.

### Rebalancing

The CIO will review LASERS asset allocation at least quarterly to determine if it is consistent with the exposure ranges established for LASERS described herein. The CIO will direct staff and investment managers to transfer funds to rebalance the asset allocation as necessary. The CIO will consider market conditions and transaction costs, as well as any other relevant factors when rebalancing.

### VII. Risk Management

It is recognized that risk issues permeate the entire investment process, and risk is considered throughout the investment process from asset allocation to performance evaluation. Ongoing monitoring will be accomplished through a "mosaic" approach, in which various forms of analysis and reporting contribute to the total picture. Inspection of levels of diversification, nominal risk exposures, risk/return plots, sortino ratio, Value at Risk, tracking error, and worst-case scenarios modeling form the core of the monitoring process.

### VIII. Manager Selection

LASERS reserves the right to retain managers to oversee portions of the System's assets. Manager selection is accomplished in accordance with the vendor selection criteria in LASERS Board Governance Policy.

LASERS will not consider the selection of any manager without first setting a target allocation to a particular asset class, and determining that a manager is needed to implement that allocation strategy. Once LASERS has determined that a manager search is warranted, it will establish certain minimum criteria for a manager to be considered eligible to participate in the search. LASERS intends that any qualified candidate receive fair consideration. Therefore, the manager selection process will typically take place via an open Request for Proposal (RFP), except (1) when a pre-existing contract period ends and it is the desire of LASERS to retain the manager, (2) for certain private equity opportunities, or (3) other instances where a unique investment strategy exists.

Traditional manager searches shall be publicly advertised for a predetermined amount of time, and prospective candidates shall be required to submit a proposal based on a predetermined RFP. The RFP shall be designed to ensure that managers are fairly and completely evaluated using industry best practices. As part of the search process prospective candidates will be required to disclose any campaign contributions made to any LASERS Trustee, staff member or elected official in Louisiana who can influence the selection of an advisor or manager.

LASERS shall strive to hire investment managers that offer the greatest incremental benefit to the Fund, net of fees and expenses, in accordance with, but not limited to, the criteria listed below:

- Length of firm history
- Length of key professionals' tenures
- Appropriateness of investment philosophy and process
- Fit between product and existing plan assets, liabilities and objectives
- Absolute and relative returns, and variability of returns
- Stability of the firm's client base and assets under management
- Ownership structure
- Compensation structure
- Fee structure
- References and professional qualifications

### IX. Investment Manager Guidelines

Full discretion, within the parameters of the guidelines, is granted to the investment managers regarding the selection of securities, and the timing of transactions. Compliance with all guidelines must be monitored by the investment managers on a regular basis (monthly or more frequently when market conditions warrant), and based on then current market values. Securities that, at purchase, would move the portfolio out of compliance with these guidelines, based on the investment manager's most recent valuation, may not be purchased.

In the event that a portfolio moves out of compliance with these guidelines (as identified in the investment manager's regular review of the portfolio), through market conditions or other changes outside the control of the manager, the manager must bring the portfolio composition back into compliance within 45 days, or make a written request to LASERS Investment Committee for a compliance waiver.

### X. Investment Manager Monitoring

#### **General Guidelines**

LASERS shall monitor and evaluate manager performance using the following resources:

- Monthly performance reports
- Quarterly Investment Performance and Portfolio Analysis
- Comprehensive Manager Reviews at the end of a manager's contract with LASERS
- Other analyses as needed

#### Monitoring and Verification

Certain guidelines lend themselves to straightforward manager compliance monitoring. These guidelines will be monitored using daily holdings and transaction information provided by the Fund's custodian bank. The custodian will monitor manager compliance by way of their investment policy reporting software, and shall be responsible for alerting the Staff if a manager is out of compliance.

Guidelines which do not lend themselves to straightforward manager compliance monitoring shall rely on manager supplied attestations of compliance. A guideline compliance checklist shall be reviewed

#### **Investment Section**

every quarter to ensure that all managers have reported guideline compliance, and note instances where managers claim to be out of compliance.

#### **Manager Evaluation**

- LASERS portfolios shall be measured over various and appropriate time periods.
- A horizon of 3-7 years shall be used in measuring the long-term success of the manager.
- Shorter time periods shall be evaluated as appropriate and necessary. LASERS shall make every effort to look at all factors influencing manager performance, and attempt to discern market cyclicality from manager over/underperformance.
- On a timely basis, at least quarterly, the Board will review actual investment results achieved by each manager (with a perspective toward a three- to five-year time horizon or a peak-to-peak or trough-to-trough market cycle) to determine whether the investment managers performed satisfactorily when compared with the objectives set, and in relation to other similarly managed funds.
- Investment managers will periodically, upon request, present to the Board a portfolio review. This should include an update of the firm, current investments, their investment process, performance and their outlook for the market.
- The Board will periodically assess the continued appropriateness of: (1) the manager structure; (2) the allocation of assets among the managers; and (3) the investment objectives for LASERS assets.
- The Board may appoint investment consultants to assist in the ongoing evaluation process. The consultant(s) selected by the Board are expected to be familiar with the investment practices of similar retirement plans and will be responsible for suggesting appropriate changes in LASERS investment program over time.

### **Security Holdings Summary Report** June 30, 2013

				Percent of
Securities	Cost	M	larket Value	Market
Bonds				
Fixed Income-Domestic	\$ 899,211,514	\$	941,079,186	9%
Fixed Income-International	333,804,464		313,875,045	3%
Synthetic Guaranteed Investment Contract	399,100,872		399,100,872	4%
Total Fixed Income	 1,632,116,850		1,654,055,103	16%
Equity				
Securities-Domestic	2,234,810,273		2,929,817,566	29%
Securities-International	2,136,685,258		2,430,091,727	24%
Total Equity	4,371,495,531		5,359,909,293	53%
Real Estate	-		14,886	0%
Alternative Investments				
Absolute Return	654,705,204		830,856,728	9%
Private Placements	1,220,464,466		1,280,700,222	12%
Real Assets	140,000,000		139,763,918	1%
Options	1,350,000		3,062,500	0%
<b>Total Alternative Investments</b>	 2,016,519,670		2,254,383,368	22%
Global Tactical Asset Allocation	547,993,188		649,609,869	6%
Short-Term Investments				
Domestic/International Short-Term	311,032,508		310,972,110	3%
<b>Total Short-Term Investments</b>	311,032,508		310,972,110	3%
Grand Total Investments	\$ 8,879,157,747	\$	10,228,944,629	100%

### **Largest Equity Holdings**

June 30, 2013

	Shares	Stock Description	Fair Value
1)	517,200	EXXON MOBIL CORP	\$ 46,729,020
2)	87,900	APPLE INC	\$ 34,854,987
3)	264,000	CHEVRON CORP	\$ 31,241,760
4)	894,800	MICROSOFT CORP	\$ 30,910,866
5)	575,900	JPMORGAN CHASE & CO	\$ 30,401,761
6)	1,214,900	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO	\$ 28,173,531
7)	306,401	JOHNSON & JOHNSON	\$ 26,307,590
8)	625,200	WELLS FARGO & CO	\$ 25,802,004
9)	673,000	AT&T INC	\$ 23,824,200
10)	25,200	GOOGLE INC	\$ 22,185,324

### **Largest Debt Holdings**

June 30, 2013

. <u>-</u>	Par Value	Bond Description	Fa	ir Value
1)	28,820,000	BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACION 10.0% 01-JAN-2013	\$	12,215,881
2)	99,900,000,000	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA 5.63% 17-MAY-2023	\$	9,058,972
3)	20,110,000	BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACION 10.0% 01-JAN-2017	\$	8,871,559
4)	10,273,664	BCAP LLC 2010-RR7 RR7 8A7 144A 5.5% 26-MAY-2035	\$	8,813,468
5)	7,441,957	BCAP LLC 2013-RR5 5A1 144A 1.0% 26-NOV-2046	\$	6,921,021
6)	8,522,765	RAAC SERIES 2007-RP RP3 A 144A VARIABLE 25-OCT-2046	\$	6,809,434
7)	213,800,000	RUSSIAN FEDERAL BOND - OFZ 7.6% 14-APR-2021	\$	6,630,145
8)	68,270,000	SOUTH AFRICA GOVERNMENT BOND 6.75% 31-MAR-2021	\$	6,596,274
9)	75,440,000	MEXICAN BONOS 6.5% 09-JUN-2022	\$	6,087,457
10)	7,190,592	STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE R 15 1A1 VARIABLE 25-JUL-2035	\$	5,888,232

The lists of largest holdings excludes commingled funds. A complete list of LASERS portfolio holdings is available upon request.

### **Largest Louisiana Holdings**


June 30, 2013

	Company	Fair Value
1)	Century Link Inc.	\$ 5,059,040
2)	Entergy Corp	\$ 4,152,928
3)	Tidewater Inc.	\$ 2,478,195
4)	Albemarle Corp	\$ 1,800,181
5)	Midsouth Bancorp Inc.	\$ 1,591,825
6)	First NBC Bank Holdings Corp	\$ 1,517,366
7)	Stone Energy Corp	\$ 1,339,475
8)	Pool Corp	\$ 1,263,081
9)	Lamar Advertising Corp	\$ 1,245,055
10)	PHI Inc.	\$ 1,167,055


LASERS supports Louisiana by investing in companies that impact local economies. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, LASERS invested more than \$84 million in Louisiana stocks, bonds, and private equity. The above table illustrates the top ten companies headquartered in Louisiana in which LASERS has investments.

## LASERS Rates of Return<sup>1</sup> June 30, 2013

### **Total Plan**




U.S. Equity




### LASERS Rates of Return<sup>1</sup> (continued) June 30, 2013

### **Global Equity**




### **U.S. Fixed Income**



### LASERS Rates of Return<sup>1</sup> (continued)

June 30, 2013

### Alternative Assets<sup>2</sup>



- Investment Performance calculated for periods over two years use monthly returns geometrically linked to calculate annualized "time-weighted" rates of return. All returns presented are calculated gross of fees one quarter in arrears. Investment Performance does not include Self-Directed Plan and Optional Retirement Plan Funds.
- <sup>2</sup> Benchmark information is not available for alternative assets.

### Schedule of Brokerage Commissions Paid

June 30, 2013

Brokerage Firm	Commission	Brokerage Firm	Commission
Deutsche BK Secs Inc	\$ 78,813	Brean Murray, Carret & Co	\$ 7,489
Johnson Rice & Co	71,268	CJS Securities Inc	7,193
Capital One SouthCoast Inc	60,601	UBS Securities LLC	6,955
Keybanc Capital Markets Inc	57,634	Needham & Co	6,929
Newedge USA LLC	55,727	King & Associates	6,764
Morgan Stanley & Co	49,390	Guzman & CO	6,690
Baird, Robert W & Co Inc	37,932	BMO Harris Bank NA	6,330
Jonestrading Intl Svcs LLC	33,118	Oppenheimer & Co Inc	6,162
Merrill Lynch Professional	30,940	Liquidnet Inc	6,056
Pulse Trading LLC	28,591	Global Hunter Sec. LLC	6,028
Sandler O'Neill & Partners	27,193	Cantor Fitzgerald & Co Inc	6,021
Craig Hallum	26,007	Credit Lyonnais Secs	5,792
SG Americas Securities LLC	25,632	Jefferies & Co Inc	5,617
Sidoti & Co LLC	24,350	J.P. Morgan Securities Inc	5,157
Barclays BK PLC	24,160	Lazard Capital Markets LLC	4,758
Fig Partners LLC	22,759	Wedbush Securities Inc	4,582
Stephens Inc	22,637	Bloomberg Tradebook LLC	4,561
Compass Point Research & TR	21,761	G-Trade Services Ltd	4,558
Weeden & Co	20,662	Wells Fargo Securities LLC	4,084
Instinet Corp	19,986	Credit Research & Trading LLC	3,970
Keefe Bruyette And Woods	16,508	Sanford C Bernstein & Co Inc	3,645
Investment Technology Group	16,260	MKM Partners LLC	3,418
Rosenblatt Securities LLC	15,902	Exane, Paris	3,215
Raymond James & Assoc Inc	15,135	Suntrust Capital Markets Inc	3,049
Credit Suisse	14,503	Macquarie Group Limited	2,980
Goldman Sachs Intl	12,888	Cowen And Company LLC	2,971
Knight Equity Markets L.P.	11,060	William Blair & Co	2,883
Stifel Nicolaus	10,577	BNY Convergex	2,768
ISI Group Inc	10,476	First Analysis Securities Corp	2,468
National Finl Svcs Corp	9,885	Imperial Capital LLC	2,132
Janney Montgomery Scott	9,740	Sterne Agee & Leach Inc	1,900
Maxim Group	9,178	RBC Capital Markets Corp	1,894
Wunderlich Securities Inc	8,895	Northland Secs Inc	1,804
Avondale Partners LLC	8,155	Pershing LLC	1,796
BB&T Securities LLC	7,861	BTIG LLC	1,673
Citigroup Global Markets Ltd	7,655	All Others	19,582
	\$ 923,839		\$ 173,874
		Total	\$ 1,097,713


### Schedule of External Management Fees

### By Investment Manager Classification<sup>1</sup> For Year Ended June 30, 2013

	_	Assets Under		
Investment Manager Type	ľ	Management	A	nnual Fees
Fixed Income Managers				
U.S. Fixed Income	\$	1,574,787,442	\$	5,797,090
Emerging Market Debt		169,753,324		1,180,445
<b>Total Fixed Income</b>		1,744,540,766		6,977,535
Equity				
U.S. Equity		3,002,749,189		3,806,219
Global Equity		2,341,410,518		10,898,217
<b>Total Equity</b>		5,344,159,707		14,704,436
Real Estate		14,886		-
Alternative Investments		2,347,241,274		33,397,818
Global Tactical Asset Allocation		649,609,869		1,939,592
Cash		143,378,127		-
Total	\$	10,228,944,629	\$	57,019,381

#### **NOTES:**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Financial Statements are prepared on the basis of security class. As specified in Manager Guidelines, at any given point in time, a money manager may have securities not specifically within their defined investment manager type due to market conditions.



Actuary's Certification Letter	85
Summary of Assumptions	87
Summary of Unfunded	
Actuarial Liabilities/Solvency Test	92
Summary of Actuarial and	
Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities	92
Reconciliation of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities	93
Membership Data	94
Historical Membership Data	95
Principle Provisions of the Plan	



October 25, 2013

Board of Trustees Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System Post Office Box 44213 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-4213

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, we have completed the annual actuarial valuation for the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System as of June 30, 2013. The valuation was prepared relying on the data submitted by the Retirement System and the actuarial assumptions adopted by the Board of Trustees, and reflects the current benefit structure on the valuation date.

The funding objective of the Retirement System was established by Constitutional Amendment Number 3 during the 1987 Legislative Session and requires the following:

- a) Fully fund all current normal costs determined in accordance with the prescribed statutory funding method; and
- b) Liquidate the unfunded liability as of June 30, 1988, over a forty year period with subsequent changes in unfunded liabilities amortized over period(s) specified by statute.

The results of the current valuation indicate that the employer contribution rate for the plan year commencing July 1, 2013, should have been set at 36.0% of payroll. When compared to the 31.7% projected rate set by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee, the current rate of 36.0% reflects an increase resulting primarily from a decrease in projected aggregate payroll. The current employer contribution rate, together with the contributions payable by the members, is sufficient to achieve the funding objective set forth above.

Beginning June 30, 2013, the actuarial value of assets is determined as the market value of assets adjusted to gradually recognize investment gains and losses relative to the net assumed investment return, over a 5 year period in 20% increments. The adjusted asset value is subject to corridor limits of 80% to 120% of the market value of assets. The objective of the asset valuation method is to smooth the volatility which might otherwise occur due to market conditions on the measurement date. The actuarial value of assets for the plan year ending on June 30, 2013, is \$9,936,501,640. After adjusting for the Employee Experience Account balance of \$195,623,963, the valuation assets used for funding purposes is \$9,740,877,677.

In performing the June 30, 2013, valuation, we have relied upon the employee data and financial information provided by the administrative staff of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System. Participant data was edited for reasonableness, and consistency to prior plan year data. However, the validity of the information submitted was not compared to actual source documents. Plan assets were reviewed for consistency and balance tested with information furnished from the prior year's valuation.

Board of Trustees **LASERS** October 25, 2013

The present values shown in the June 30, 2013, actuarial valuation and supporting statistical schedules of this certification, which have been reformatted and comprise all the schedules of the Actuarial Section in the annual Financial Report, have been prepared in accordance with the actuarial methods specified in Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 11 Section 22(6) and assumptions which are appropriate for the purposes of this valuation. The funding method prescribed by state law is the Projected Unit Credit cost method. With this method, funding requirements, as a percentage of payroll, are expected to increase for plans that are closed to new entrants. The Entry Age Normal cost method would result in more level funding requirements for such plans. The Board has supported legislation allowing for the change to the Entry Age Normal cost method but until such legislation is passed, the plan must be funded using the Projected Unit Credit cost method.

The 8.00% discount rate was recently reviewed and determined to be appropriate for purposes of the June 30, 2013, actuarial valuation for funding. The actuarial assumptions and methods used for funding purposes are within the parameters set forth by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25 and were employed in the development of the schedules listed below for the Financial Section of this report.

The following supporting schedules were prepared by the system's actuary for the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report:

#### **Actuarial Section**

- Summary of Actuarial Assumptions
- Summary of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities
- Summary of Actuarial and Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities
- Reconciliation of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities
- Membership Data

#### Financial Section

Schedules of Funding Progress

We certify to the best of our knowledge, the methods and assumptions comply with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principals and practices set forth by the American Academy of Actuaries, are reasonable and represent our best estimate of the funding requirement to achieve the Retirement System's Funding Objective. Shelley is an Associate in the Society of Actuaries and Brad is a Fellow in the Society of Actuaries. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein.

Respectfully submitted,

FOSTER & FOSTER INC.

Shelley R. Johnson, ASA, MAAA

Shelley R. Johnson

Bradley R. Hofinrichs, FSA, EA, MAAA

### **Summary of Assumptions**

The following assumptions were adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (LASERS) on the dates indicated, and are based on the 2003-2008 actuarial experience study in effect as of June 30, 2009, unless otherwise noted.

#### General Actuarial Method

### 1. Actuarial Funding Method (Projected Unit Credit)

The unfunded accrued liability on June 30, 1988, also referred to as the initial unfunded accrued liability, or initial UAL, is amortized over a 40-year period commencing in 1989. The amortization payment initially reflected a 4% increase for the first five years, reducing by 0.5% at the end of each five year period, but has subsequently been revised by Acts of the Louisiana Legislature as described below. Changes in unfunded accrued liabilities occurring after June 30, 1988, are amortized as a level dollar amount as follows:

	<b>Act 81</b>	
	Effective	As Amended Act 257
	6/30/88	Effective 6/30/92
Experience Gains/Losses	15 years	Later of 2029 or 15 years
Actuarial Assumptions	30 years	Later of 2029 or 30 years
Actuarial Methods	30 years	Later of 2029 or 30 years
Benefit Changes	Determ	nined by enabling statute

Act 257 of 1992 further amended the amortization schedule to reflect a 0.5% payment increase over the remaining amortization period.

Act 588 of 2004 re-amortized changes in liabilities occurring from 1993 thru 1998 as a level dollar payment to 2029. Amortization periods for changes in liabilities beginning with 1999 were extended to a 30-year period from the date of occurrence, with a 4.5% increasing payment schedule. Amortization periods for changes in liabilities beginning with 2004 are extended to a 30-year period from the date of occurrence, paid as a level dollar amount.

Act 484 of 2007 and resulting Constitutional Amendment requires increases in UAL due to altered benefit provisions by legislative enactment to be amortized over a ten year period with level payments.

Act 497 of 2009 consolidates the outstanding balance of all amortization schedules established on or before July 1, 2008, except those established due to an increase in benefits after 2007, into two amortization schedules, the Original Amortization Base (OAB) and the Experience Account Amortization Base (EAAB). The consolidation is effective July 1, 2010. The outstanding balance of the OAB was credited with funds from the Initial UAL fund, excluding the subaccount of this fund. The OAB will be paid off in plan year ending June 30, 2029. The EAAB was credited with funds

from the Initial UAL subaccount, which were transferred from the Employee Experience Account on June 30, 2009. The EAAB will be paid off in plan year ending June 30, 2040. Future payments for each of these bases will increase each plan year as follows:

	Original	Experience Account			
<u>Plan Year</u>	<b>Amortization Base</b>	<b>Amortization Base</b>			
2013/2014 - 2015/2016	5.5%	5.5%			
2016/2017 - 2017/2018	5.0%	5.0%			
2018/2019 +	2.0%	Level Payments			

Employer contribution requirements for normal costs and amortization of the unfunded accrued liabilities are determined as a percentage of payroll. The discrepancy between dollars generated by percent of payroll versus the required dollar amount is treated as a shortfall credit/debit. The five year level amortization payment of the debit/credit is applied to the following year's contribution requirement.

Act 497 changes the amortization of contribution variance credits. Any overpayment through plan year 2016/2017 will be credited to the OAB. The OAB will then be re-amortized according to the new payment schedule.

All schedules existing prior to June 20, 2012, were re-amortized on June 30, 2012, based on the revised discount rate of 8.00%.

The equivalent single amortization period, calculated in accordance with GASB Statement 25, paragraphs 36f and 43, is 19 years.

#### 2. Asset Valuation Method

Beginning June 30, 2013, the market value of assets is adjusted to gradually recognize investment gains and losses relative to the net assumed investment return, over a 5 year period in 20% increments. The adjusted asset value is subject to Corridor Limits of 80% to 120% of the market value of assets. Previously assets were valued on a basis, which reflected a four-year moving weighted average value between market value and cost value, subject to the corridor limits described above. Under the prior method, an increase in asset turnover may cause a significant change in the actuarial value of assets. The change was recommended in order to eliminate this aspect, to decrease contribution rate volatility even more so than current method by smoothing over five years rather than four, to bring continuity between other Louisiana State and Statewide retirement systems that have recently changed to this method, and to allow for better prediction of the impact of changes in funding requirements due to investment results.

#### 3. Valuation Data

The administrative staff of LASERS furnishes the actuary with demographic data relating to the active life membership and retired life members. Retired life members included inactive members who are entitled to a deferred reciprocal or vested benefit. The administrative staff of LASERS provides the book value and market value of system assets. All data is reviewed for reasonableness and consistency from year to year, but is not audited by the actuary.

### II. Economic Assumptions

### 1. Actuarially Assumed Rate of Return

Liabilities are discounted using an 8.00% discount rate.

Investment manager fees are treated as a direct offset to investment income. Statutory provisions pertaining to LASERS provide for the automatic transfer of a portion of excess investment earnings to the Experience Account to potentially fund future post-retirement benefit increases. Louisiana law does not provide for automatic post-retirement benefit increases; therefore, the liabilities do not explicitly include liabilities for future retiree benefit increases. However, since a portion of investment earnings will be used to potentially fund benefits which are not accrued benefits of the plan, the accrued benefits are discounted using a net discount rate. The net discount rate is determined as the expected long-term return net of investment expenses less the expected return used to provide for future retiree benefit increases. The actuarially assumed rate of return, or discount rate, reflects LASERS specific gain sharing provisions: 50% of investment experience gains, determined using the actuarial value of assets, above the statutory hurdle of \$100 million. Therefore, funding requirements include the value of LASERS statutory provisions for future retiree benefit increases.

### 2. Employee Salary Increases

Incorporated in the following salary scales (shown for periodic durations, but representing full range of assumptions) is an explicit 3.0% inflation assumption. The following salary scale is based upon years of service:

Regular State Employees	Judges & Legislators	Corrections & Hazardous Duty	Wildlife and Fisheries
14.00%	5.50%	15.00%	15.00%
6.50%	3.00%	6.50%	11.00%
5.50%	3.00%	7.00%	9.00%
5.00%	3.00%	6.50%	6.50%
4.50%	3.00%	6.00%	6.50%
4.25%	3.00%	6.00%	6.00%
4.25%	3.00%	5.00%	6.00%
	Employees  14.00% 6.50% 5.50% 5.00% 4.50% 4.25%	Employees         Legislators           14.00%         5.50%           6.50%         3.00%           5.50%         3.00%           5.00%         3.00%           4.50%         3.00%           4.25%         3.00%	Employees         Legislators         Hazardous Duty           14.00%         5.50%         15.00%           6.50%         3.00%         6.50%           5.50%         3.00%         7.00%           5.00%         3.00%         6.50%           4.50%         3.00%         6.00%           4.25%         3.00%         6.00%

The active member population is assumed to remain constant.

### **III.** Decrement Assumptions

### 1. Mortality Assumption

Pre-retirement deaths and post-retirement life expectancies are projected in accordance with the experience of the RP-2000 mortality table with no projection for mortality improvement, as supported by the most recent experience study.

### 2. Disability Assumption

Rates of total and permanent disability were projected by age in accordance with the 2003-2008 disability experience of the Retirement System. Rates are illustrated by employment classification. Mortality after disability is based on the RP-2000 table for disabled lives, effective June 30, 2009.

			Corrections &	Wildlife
	<b>Regular State</b>	Judges &	Hazardous	and
Age	<b>Employees</b>	Legislators	Duty	Fisheries
25	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30	0.01%	0.02%	0.02%	0.01%
35	0.02%	0.02%	0.05%	0.30%
40	0.13%	0.02%	0.13%	0.50%
45	0.22%	0.02%	0.17%	0.50%
50	0.41%	0.02%	0.20%	0.50%

### 3. Termination Assumptions

Voluntary withdrawal rates are derived from the 2003-2008 termination experience study.

	Regular		Corrections &	Wildlife
	State		Hazardous	and
Age	<b>Employees</b>	Judges	Duty	Fisheries
25	20%	0.0%	26%	7%
30	15%	0.5%	18%	9%
35	14%	0.5%	18%	3%
40	8%	0.5%	9%	6%
45	5%	0.9%	7%	1%
50	4%	0.9%	7%	1%

Furthermore, termination rates shown are increased 30% for state employees, 50% for corrections and 50% for wildlife in the first year of service. For members terminating with ten or more years of service, it is assumed that 80% will not withdraw their accumulated employee contributions.

### 4. Retirement/DROP Assumptions

Retirement rates and DROP probabilities were projected based upon the 2003-2008 experience study. At eligibility, the probability of retirement or DROP is determined based upon the retirement assumptions. Retirement rates are based on the most recent Experience Study. When compared to results using retirement and DROP assumptions, this methodology was determined to be equivalent.

			Regular - I	Hired After			Corre	ctions &		
	Regula	r - Hired	7/1/06, Ju	dges After	Judges	- Hired	Haza	ardous	Wildl	ife and
	Prior t	to 7/1/06	1/1	/11	prior t	o 1/1/11	D	uty	Fisheries	
	RET	DROP	RET	DROP	RET	DROP	RET	DROP	RET	DROP
50	26%	33%	0%	0%	50%	0%	70%	50%	50%	10%
51	30%	28%	0%	0%	50%	0%	70%	20%	50%	10%
52	35%	28%	0%	0%	50%	50%	70%	15%	50%	10%
53	34%	28%	0%	0%	50%	50%	75%	10%	50%	10%
54	30%	19%	0%	0%	35%	50%	75%	7%	50%	10%
55	26%	45%	0%	0%	35%	50%	88%	3%	50%	10%
56	33%	10%	0%	0%	35%	20%	70%	5%	50%	10%
57	31%	5%	0%	0%	10%	20%	60%	10%	50%	10%
58	60%	5%	0%	0%	10%	20%	70%	5%	50%	10%
59	30%	5%	0%	0%	10%	10%	70%	3%	50%	10%
60	26%	25%	50%	30%	10%	7%	25%	3%	50%	10%
61	24%	4%	15%	40%	10%	5%	25%	1%	50%	10%
62	27%	1%	15%	50%	10%	5%	25%	1%	50%	10%
63	51%	1%	50%	50%	10%	10%	25%	1%	50%	10%
64	28%	1%	33%	50%	10%	10%	25%	1%	50%	10%
65	30%	1%	33%	50%	10%	5%	25%	1%	99%	0%
66	31%	1%	33%	50%	10%	5%	25%	1%	99%	0%
67	23%	1%	33%	50%	10%	5%	25%	1%	99%	0%
68	23%	1%	33%	50%	10%	5%	25%	0%	99%	0%
69	25%	1%	33%	50%	50%	0%	50%	0%	99%	0%
70	25%	1%	33%	50%	99%	0%	99%	0%	99%	0%

### Summary of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities/Solvency Test (Dollar Amounts in Millions)

		(1)		(2)		(3)					
						Active			Portion of A	Actuarial A	Accrued
		Active	]	Retirees	N	lembers	A	ctuarial	<b>Liabilities Covered By Assets</b>		
Valuation	$\mathbf{N}$	1ember	Teı	rm. Vested	E	mployer	V	aluation			
Date	Cor	ıtribution	]	Inactive	Fir	n. Portion		Assets	(1)	(2)	(3)
2008	\$	1,394.1	\$	8,398.4	\$	3,769.7	\$	9,167.2	100%	93%	0%
2009	\$	1,464.9	\$	8,785.4	\$	3,736.5	\$	8,499.7	100%	80%	0%
2010	\$	1,507.0	\$	9,418.6	\$	3,838.4	\$	8,512.4	100%	74%	0%
2011	\$	1,494.8	\$	10,158.2	\$	3,568.0	\$	8,763.1	100%	72%	0%
2012	\$	1,649.7	\$	10,767.1	\$	3,741.1	\$	9,026.4	100%	69%	0%
2013	\$	1,578.0	\$	11,577.8	\$	3,026.4	\$	9,740.9	100%	71%	0%

### Summary of Actuarial and Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities (Dollar Amounts in Millions)

	A	Actuarial								UAAL As		
	I	Accrued	A	ctuarial	<b>Ratio Of</b>	Uı	nfunded		Active	Percentage of		
Valuation	aluation Liabilities		Valuation		Assets To		AAL		<b>Iember</b>	Active		
Date		(AAL)	Assets		Assets AAL (UAAL)		(UAAL)		(UAAL) Pa		Payroll	Payroll
2008	\$	13,562.2	\$	9,167.2	67.59%	\$	4,395.0	\$	2,437.0	180.3%		
2009	\$	13,986.8	\$	8,499.7	60.77%	\$	5,487.1	\$	2,562.6	214.1%		
2010	\$	14,764.0	\$	8,512.4	57.66%	\$	6,251.6	\$	2,546.5	245.5%		
2011	\$	15,221.0	\$	8,763.1	57.57%	\$	6,457.9	\$	2,408.8	268.1%		
2012	\$	16,157.9	\$	9,026.4	55.86%	\$	7,131.5	\$	2,341.7	304.5%		
2013	\$	16,182.2	\$	9,740.9	60.20%	\$	6,441.3	\$	1,952.0	330.0%		

### **Reconciliation of Unfunded Actuarial Liabilities** (Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

	Fiscal Year Ending							
	2013		2012		2011		2010	
Unfunded Actuarial Liability								
at Beginning of Fiscal Year (7/1)	\$	7,131,481	\$	6,457,954	\$	6,251,612	\$	5,693,998
Interest on Unfunded Liability		570,519		532,782		515,758		469,755
Investment Experience (excl. change in AVM) (gains) decreases UAL		(321,038)		-		233,308		494,684
Investment Experience due to Change in AVM (gains) decreases UAL		(170,210)		254,603		233,308		494,684
Plan Experience		(170)210)		201,000		200,000		17 1,00 1
(gains) decreases UAL		(429,311)		18,140		(146,324)		136,315
Employer Amortization Payments (payments) decreases UAL		(614,067)		(541,095)		(494,868)		(406,412)
Employer Contribution Variance (excess contributions) decreases UAL		78,318		50,918		98,016		74,727
Side Fund Allocation(s) (distributions) decreases UAL Other - Miscellaneous gains and losses from transfers, assumption changes, or		195,624		-		-		(216,492)
Acts of the Legislature				358,179		452		5,037
Unfunded Actuarial Liability								
at End of Fiscal Year (6/30)	\$	6,441,317	\$	7,131,481	\$	6,457,954	\$	6,251,612

### **Membership Data**

Data regarding the membership of the System for valuation were furnished by the System.

	2	.013	2012			
Active Members	Census	Avg. Sal.	Census	Avg. Sal.		
Regular Members	36,942	\$ 42,747	44,546	\$ 43,288		
Legislators	12	81,450	12	83,688		
Judges - prior to 1/1/2011	298	132,395	308	131,913		
Judges - on or after 1/1/11	22	130,654	12	127,529		
Appellate Law Clerks	172	72,234	187	68,876		
Wildlife Agents	190	53,859	204	55,358		
Corrections	2,949	44,022	3,566	44,360		
Peace Officers	79	50,885	84	53,239		
Alcohol Tobacco Control	19	49,438	28	50,245		
Bridge Police	7	52,317	11	55,605		
Hazardous Duty	1,596	32,665	1,258	31,457		
Active After DROP	1,825	59,198	2,136	60,382		
Total	44,111	\$ 43,990	52,352	\$ 44,485		

Valuation Salaries	\$1,951,	.987,750	\$2,341,703,286			
Inactive Members	201	13	20	12		
Due Refunds	52	,385	50,	,590		
Vested & Reciprocals	4	,162	2,	,222		
	20	013	2012			
Annuitants and Survivors	Census	Avg. Ben.	Census	Avg. B		
Retirees	37,145	\$ 23,895	34,513	\$ 23		

Annuitants and Survivors	Census	Avg. Ben.		Census	Avg. Ben.		
Retirees	37,145	\$	23,895	34,513	\$	23,016	
Disabilities	2,554		13,350	2,544		13,026	
Survivors	5,726		14,517	5,665		14,232	
DROP	2,092		34,153	2,577		34,168	
Total	47,517	\$	22,650	45,299	\$	21,991	

# **Historical Membership Data**

(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

#### History of Active Membership Data for Last 6 Years

Year	Number of	Pecentage	Anı	nual Active	Annı	ıal Active	Percentage
Ending	Active	Change In	ľ	Member	Memb	er Average	Change In Avg
6/30	Members	Membership		Payroll	P	ayroll	Payroll
2008	61,780	2.21%	\$	2,436,956	\$	39,218	9.55%
2009	61,991	0.34%	\$	2,562,576	\$	41,085	4.76%
2010	58,881	-5.02%	\$	2,546,457	\$	42,983	4.62%
2011	54,930	-6.71%	\$	2,408,840	\$	43,606	1.45%
2012	52,352	-4.69%	\$	2,341,703	\$	44,485	2.02%
2013	44,111	-15.74%	\$	1,951,988	\$	43,957	-1.19%

# History of Annuitants and Survivor Annuitant Membership for Last 6 Years

Year												Percent
<b>Ending</b>	Total	Me	mbers	Memb	ers A	Added	Membe	rs R	emoved	A	verage	Change in
6/30	No.	1	Amount	No.	A	mount	No.	A	mount	A	nnuity	Annuity
2008	40,218	\$	775,214	2,518	\$	65,411	1,666	\$	11,530	\$	19,275	5.2%
2009	40,936	\$	804,455	2,418	\$	65,127	1,700	\$	35,886	\$	19,652	2.0%
2010	42,014	\$	852,060	2,735	\$	76,189	1,657	\$	28,584	\$	20,281	3.2%
2011	43,711	\$	923,617	3,307	\$	96,480	1,610	\$	24,923	\$	21,130	4.2%
2012	45,299	\$	996,167	3,191	\$	98,955	1,603	\$	26,405	\$	21,991	4.1%
2013	47,517	\$	1,076,245	3,929	\$	113,668	1,711	\$	33,590	\$	22,650	3.0%

#### **Principal Provisions of the Plan**

The Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS) was enacted in 1947. Initially, the plan covered regular State Employees (Regular Plan), but membership has expanded to participating agencies, and the merger of Louisiana State University Administration Employees and the Judges Retirement System. The purpose of the plan is to provide benefits to members and their dependents at retirement or in the event of death, disability or termination of employment. LASERS is a defined benefit plan and is funded on an actuarial reserve basis to fund benefits as prescribed by law.

#### I. Administration

The plan is governed by Title 11 Sections 401-699 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes. The Board of Trustees is composed of thirteen members; six elected from the active membership, three elected retired members and four ex-officio members. Elected members serve staggered four-year terms. The treasurer, chairman of the House Retirement Committee, the chairman of the Senate Retirement Committee, and the commissioner of administration serve as voting, ex-officio members.

The Board of Trustees appoints an Executive Director who is responsible for the operation of the system. The Board also retains other consultants as deemed necessary. Administrative expenses are paid entirely from investment earnings.

#### II. Member Contributions

Members contribute a percentage of their gross compensation, depending on plan participation:

<u>Plan</u>	<b>Current Contribution</b>
Regular Employees and Appellate Law Clerks	
Pre-Act 75 (hired before 7/1/2006)	7.5%
Post-Act 75 (hired after 6/30/2006)	8.0%
Legislators	11.5%
Judges hired before 1/1/2011	11.5%
Judges hired after 12/31/2010	13.0%
Corrections Primary and Secondary	9.0%
Wildlife	9.5%
Peace Officers & Alc/Tobacco Control Officers	9.0%
Bridge Police	8.5%
Hazardous Duty	9.5%

#### III. Employer Contributions

All participating employers, regardless of plan participation, contribute a percentage of their total gross payroll to the system. The employer percentage is actuarially determined and is sufficient to pay annual accruals plus an amortization charge which liquidates the system's unfunded liability as required by law. The rate is determined annually and recommended by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee to the State Legislature.

#### IV. Termination

A member who terminates covered employment, regardless of plan membership, may request a refund of the member's contributions without interest. Upon re-employment, a member may reinstate the credit forfeited through termination of previous membership by repaying the refunded contributions plus interest. For members terminating with vested benefits, it is assumed that eighty percent will not withdraw their accumulated employee contribution, and will receive a benefit beginning at age 60.

#### V. Retirement Benefits

Service retirement benefits are payable to members who have terminated covered employment and met both age and service eligibility requirements.

#### 1. Normal Retirement

<u>Regular Plan</u> – Members hired prior to July 1, 2006, may retire with a 2.5% annual accrual rate, at age 55 with 25 years, age 60 with 10 years or at any age with 30 years of service. Members hired on or after July 1, 2006, will be eligible at age 60 with 5 years of service.

<u>Note:</u> Members may retire with 20 years at any age with benefits actuarially reduced.

<u>Judges</u> – Judges hired prior to January 1, 2011 may retire with a 3.5% annual accrual rate at any age with 18 years of service, age 55 with 12 years, age 50 with 20 years (minimum 12 years judicial), age 65 with 10 years of service, or 70 without regard to creditable service. Judges hired on or after January 1, 2011 may retire with a 3.5% annual accrual rate with 5 years of service at age 60. Eligibility requirements apply to Appellate Law Clerks hired prior to January 1, 2011.

<u>Legislators</u>, Governor, <u>Lieutenant Governor and State Treasurer</u> - May retire with a 3.5% annual accrual rate with 16 years of legislative service; age 50 with 20 years (minimum 12 years legislative service) or age 55 with 12 years.

<u>Correction Officers</u> – Members of the Primary Component may retire with a 2.5% annual accrual rate at age 60 with 10 years of service, age 50 with 20 years, or 20 years of service regardless of age if employed prior to August 15, 1986. Effective January 1, 2002, new members accrue 3.33% per year and are eligible for retirement at 25 years of service regardless of age or age 60 with 10 years of service.

<u>Wildlife</u> – Members hired prior to July 1, 2003 may retire at age 55 with 10 years of service, or at any age with 20 years. Benefit accrual rate is 3.0% for service earned prior to July 1, 2003 and 3.33% for service earned after July 1, 2003. Members hired on or after July 1, 2003 may retire at age 60 with 10 years or at any age with 25 years of service. Benefit accrual rate is 3.33%, or 2.5% if members retire with less than 10 years of wildlife service.

<u>Peace Officers</u> – Annual accrual rate is 3.33%. Eligibility is the same as regular members hired prior to July 1, 2006.

<u>Alcohol Tobacco Control</u> – Annual accrual rate is 3.33%. Member's eligibility to retire with 25 years of service at any age, age 60 with 10 years.

#### **Retirement Benefits (continued)**

<u>Bridge Police</u> – Annual accrual rate is 2.5% with 10 years at age 60, or 25 years at any age. The last 10 years of service must be served as bridge police.

<u>Hazardous Duty Plan</u> –Annual accrual rate is 3.33%. Members are eligible to retire with 12 years at age 55. The last 10 years of service must be served in a hazardous duty position.

#### 2. Benefit Formula

For all plans, monthly retirement benefits are based on a formula, which multiplies the final average compensation, by the applicable accrual rate, and by the years of creditable service, plus a \$25 per month supplemental benefit for members hired prior to July 1, 1986. Final average compensation is determined as the highest successive 36 months for all but regular members hired on or after July 1, 2006, Judges whose first membership making them eligible for LASERS membership occurred on or after January 1, 2011, and members of the Hazardous Duty Plan. For these members final average compensation is determined as the highest successive sixty months.

#### 3. Payment Options

A retiring member is entitled to receive the maximum benefit payable until member's death. In lieu of the maximum benefit, the member may elect to receive a reduced benefit payable in the form of a Joint and Survivor Option, or a reduced benefit with a lump-sum payment which cannot exceed 36 monthly benefit payments. In addition, beginning July 1, 2009, members may elect to receive a reduced benefit that will increase at 2.5% annually once the retiree attains age 55. This option is not available to recipients of disability retirement benefits.

Judges receive the maximum benefit payable without reduction for a 50% Joint and Survivor Option. Wildlife members receive the maximum benefit payable without reduction for a 75% Joint and Survivor Option.

#### VI. Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP)

In lieu of terminating employment and accepting a service retirement, an eligible member may begin participation on the first retirement eligibility date or within 60 days thereafter, for a period not to exceed 36 months. Delayed participation reduces the 36 month participation period. During participation, benefits otherwise payable are fixed, and deposited in an individual DROP account.

Upon termination of DROP, the member may continue employment and earn additional accruals to be added to the fixed pre-DROP benefit.

Upon termination of employment, the member is entitled to the fixed benefit plus post-DROP accruals, plus the individual DROP account balance, which can be paid in a lump sum, or an additional annuity based upon the account balance.

#### VII. Disability Retirement Benefits

Active members with ten or more years of service credit are eligible for disability retirement benefits if determined to be disabled from performing the duties of their job. Members receive a service retirement benefit based upon their accrued retirement benefit, except as specified below:

<u>Judges</u> – A service retirement benefit, but not less than 50% of current salary.

<u>Corrections</u> – Benefit for total disability incurred in-line-of-duty service is the greater of the accrued benefit or 40% of average compensation (60% for members of the Primary Plan). If a member of the Secondary Plan has 10 or more years of service, benefit is the greater of the accrued retirement benefit or 60% of final average compensation. Otherwise, benefit is the accrued retirement benefit.

<u>Wildlife Agents</u> – Minimum total disability incurred in-line-of-duty service is 60% of average compensation.

<u>Hazardous Duty Plan</u>— Total disability incurred in-line-of-duty benefit is 75% of average compensation.

#### VIII. Survivor Benefits

Members whose first employment which makes them eligible for membership in a Louisiana state retirement system occurs prior to January 1, 2011:

A surviving spouse with minor children of an active member with five years of creditable service (two years immediately prior to death) or 20 years of creditable service is entitled to a benefit equal to the greater of 1) \$300 per month, or 2) 75% of the member's benefit calculated at the 2.5% accrual rate for all creditable service.

As surviving minor child, with no surviving spouse shall receive an amount equal to the greater of 75% of compensation or \$300. Benefits to minors cease at attainment of age 18, marriage or age 23 if enrolled in an approved institution of higher education.

A surviving spouse without minor children of an active member with 10 years of creditable service (2 years immediately prior to death) or 20 years of creditable service is entitled to a benefit equal to the greater of 1) \$200 per month, or 2) 50% of the member's benefit calculated at the 2.5% accrual rate for all creditable service.

Members whose first employment which makes them eligible for membership in a Louisiana state retirement system occurs on or after January 1, 2011:

A surviving spouse with minor children of an active member with five years of creditable service (two years immediately prior to death) or 20 years of creditable service is entitled to a benefit equal to the greater of 1) \$600 per month, or 2) 50% of the member's accrued benefit benefit. Each child receives 50% of the spouses benefit, up to 2 children. Minimum benefit based on the Option 2A equivalent for the surviving spouse.

A surviving minor child, with no surviving spouse shall receive an amount equal 50% of the benefit for surviving spouse with minor children, divided equally among all children.

#### **Survivor Benefits (continued)**

A surviving spouse without minor children of an active member with 10 years of creditable service (2 years immediately prior to death) or 20 years of creditable service is entitled to a benefit based on the Option 2A equivalent for the surviving spouse.

The Option 2A equivalent is an actuarially reduced benefit whereby 100% of the actuarially reduced benefit continues for the life of the beneficiary.

#### IX. Post-Retirement Increases

Provisions for post-retirement increases, previously referred to as cost of living adjustments, are as follows:

- The increase is limited lesser of the prior year's CPI-U increase or 3%
- If the actuarial rate of return for the prior fiscal year is less than 8.25% (fixed by statute), the increase is limited to the lesser of CPI-U or 2%, provided the System is at least 80% funded.
- If the prior year actuarial return is less than 8.25% and the funded ratio is less than 80%, no increase can be granted
- The increase is limited to non-disabled retires age 60 and over; no age limit for disability retirees
- No partial COLA can be granted if Experience Account balance is not sufficient for a full increase.
- The Board of Trustees must recommend that the system be permitted to grant the COLA
- Legislative Actuary must agree to the cost of the COLA determined by the system actuary
- Increase must be approved with two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature

Beginning July 1, 2009, the Employee Experience Account is credited with 50% of excess investment income above \$100,000,000. Excess investment income is investment income over the actuarial valuation rate. The Employee Experience Account balance is limited to the funds necessary to fund two such increases. The Experience Account is debited for the increase in actuarial accrued liability resulting from the increase. Balances in the Employee Experience Account accrue interest at the average actuarial yield for the System portfolio.

#### X. Recent Changes to Principal Provisions of the Plan

Act 483 of 2012 created a cash balance plan for all non-hazardous duty members whose first employment for membership in a state retirement system affected by the Act occurred on or after July 1, 2013. The plan was suspended to July 1, 2014, during the 2013 legislative session. On June 28, 2013, the Louisiana Supreme Court ruled that the plan enacted in 2012 required a two-thirds vote of the legislature, which did not occur, so was unconstitutional. Given the Supreme Court's ruling, the plan will not take effect.





Thousands of water droplets from this fountain create an oasis in the center of the Pentagon Barracks garden. A sugar kettle forms the base of the fountain, serving as a symbol of Louisiana's agriculture and economy.

# Contents

Summary	101
Changes in Plan Net Position	102
Valuation Assets vs. Pension Liabilities	104
Employee Contribution Rates	105
Employer Contribution Rates	106
Benefit Expenses by Type	107
Average Monthly Benefit Amounts	109

LASERS Membership	126
LASERS Changes in Membership	126
Number of Benefit Recipients	127
Retired Members by Recipient Type and Plan	128
Location of LASERS Benefit Recipients	131
Fiscal Year 2013 Gross Benefits Paid by Region	132

# **Summary**

The objective of the Statistical Section is to provide financial statement users with a historical perspective, context, and detail to assist in using the information in the financial statements and the notes to the financial statements to better understand and assess LASERS economic condition. All non-accounting data is taken from LASERS internal sources except for that information which is derived from actuarial valuations.

#### **Financial Trends**

The schedules listed below provide financial trend information that assists users in understanding and assessing how LASERS financial position has changed over time:

- Changes in Plan Net Position
- Valuation Assets vs. Pension Liabilities
- Employee Contribution Rates
- **Employer Contribution Rates**

#### **Operational Information**

The schedules listed below are intended to provide contextual information about LASERS operations to assist in assessing the System's economic condition:

- Benefit Expense by Type
- Average Monthly Benefit Amounts

#### **Demographic Information**

This information is intended to assist readers in understanding the environment in which LASERS operates and to provide information that facilitates comparison of financial statement information over time. The demographic information includes:

- LASERS Membership
- LASERS Changes In Membership
- Number of Benefit Recipients
- Retired Members by Recipient Type and Plan
- Location of LASERS Benefit Recipients
- Fiscal Year 2013 Gross Benefits Paid by Region

Changes in Plan Net Position For the Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

						n
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Additions (Reductions):						
Employer Contributions	\$ 336,389,797	\$ 392,409,258	\$ 411,907,909	\$ 417,059,370	\$ 506,484,759	759
Employee Contributions	163,277,178	169,143,849	165,509,666	167,957,870	192,412,444	444
Legislative Appropriations	ı	1	13,600,000	1	20,000,000	000
Net Investment Income (Loss)	996,067,481	650,345,827	833,207,981	1,472,840,599	(357,912,195)	195)
Other Income	8,927,208	36,824,466	32,457,872	11,555,274	15,701,647	647
Total Additions (Reductions) to Plan Net Position	\$ 1,504,661,664	\$ 1,248,723,401	\$ 1,456,683,428	\$ 2,069,413,114	\$ 376,686,655	655
:						
Deductions:						
Retirement Benefits	\$ 573,152,747	\$ 581,665,163	\$ 620,367,483	\$ 673,617,033	\$ 718,303,319	319
Refunds and Transfers of Member Contributions	28,760,064	30,357,532	37,821,549	38,030,600	32,149,383	383
Administrative Expenses	12,624,215	17,873,386	15,291,109	14,505,724	15,901,681	681
Other Postemployment Benefits Expenses	1	1	1	1	2,350,000	000
Depreciation and Amortization Expenses	800,103	760,927	750,463	619,733	1,242,050	020
Total Deductions from Plan Net Position	\$ 615,337,129	\$ 630,657,008	\$ 674,230,604	\$ 726,773,090	\$ 769,946,433	433
Total Change in Plan Net Position	\$ 889,324,535	\$ 618,066,393	\$ 782,452,825	\$ 1,342,640,024	\$ (393,259,778)	778)

Changes in Plan Net Position (continued)


For the Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

		2009		2010 (Restated)	2011	2012		2013
Additions (Reductions):								
Employer Contributions	&	487,353,901	8	491,237,641	\$ 558,183,107	\$ 637,285,920	,920	\$ 649,029,708
Employee Contributions		203,050,933		205,328,033	197,825,267	192,795,057	,057	173,357,802
Legislative Appropriations		1		ı	1		ı	ı
Net Investment Income (Loss)		(1,739,762,198)		1,129,437,199	1,854,312,621	(9,610,468)	(894)	1,106,494,873
Other Income		13,149,187		12,153,663	14,072,770	32,441,258	,258	33,806,894
Total Additions (Reductions) to Plan Net Position	8	\$ (1,036,208,177)	8	1,838,156,536	\$ 2,624,393,765	\$ 852,911,767	792,	\$ 1,962,689,277
Deductions:								
Retirement Benefits	&	771,408,255	&	829,236,652	\$ 915,840,721	\$ 978,971,262	,262	\$ 1,070,410,859
Refunds and Transfers of Member Contributions		30,314,007		35,676,509	41,553,896	43,221,742	,742	61,522,162
Administrative Expenses		15,313,103		15,201,829	14,951,127	15,500,163	,163	15,907,599
Other Postemployment Benefits Expenses		2,279,986		1,561,605	1,310,517	666	069,666	982,754
Depreciation and Amortization Expenses		2,030,877		2,134,563	1,919,628	1,941,249	,249	2,041,894
Total Deductions from Plan Net Position	8	821,346,228	\$	883,811,158	\$ 975,575,889	\$ 1,040,634,066	990′	\$ 1,150,865,268
Total Change in Plan Net Position	\$ (1,	(1,857,554,405)	8	954,345,378	\$ 1,648,817,876	\$ (187,722,299)	(567′	\$ 811,824,009

# Valuation Assets vs. Pension Liabilities Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

<b>D</b> 1	11	•	D * 1	1.	
I )n	llare	1 <b>n</b>	Kıl	114	กทร


Fiscal Year	_	lluation Assets	 funded ability	accrued iability	Funded Ratio*
2004	\$	6.0978	\$ 4.1398	\$ 10.2376	59.6%
2005	\$	6.6735	\$ 4.1736	\$ 10.8471	61.5%
2006	\$	7.4308	\$ 4.1179	\$ 11.5487	64.3%
2007	\$	8.3455	\$ 4.0764	\$ 12.4219	67.2%
2008	\$	9.1672	\$ 4.3950	\$ 13.5622	67.6%
2009	\$	8.4997	\$ 5.4872	\$ 13.9868	60.8%
2010	\$	8.5124	\$ 6.2516	\$ 14.7640	57.7%
2011	\$	8.7631	\$ 6.4580	\$ 15.2211	57.6%
2012	\$	9.0264	\$ 7.1315	\$ 16.1579	55.9%
2013	\$	9.7409	\$ 6.4413	\$ 16.1822	60.2%



<sup>\*</sup>For fiscal years ended 2004 through 2009, the funded ratio referenced takes into account the initial unfunded accrued liability account (IUAL) and the Employer Credit Account which is not the same funded ratio used in determining the projected employer contribution rate.

# **Employee Contribution Rates** Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

	Employee
Fiscal Year	Contribution Rate
2004	7.68%
2005	7.68%
2006	7.68%
2007	7.68%
2008	7.69%
2009	7.71%
2010	7.81%
2011	7.74%
2012	7.82%
2013	7.84%



The employee contribution rate varies by plan. The rates shown above reflect the average, rather than the actual rate contributed by each employee.

The rates above for each fiscal year are determined by prior year actuarial valuations. For example, fiscal year ended 2013 rates were determined by the fiscal year ended 2011 actuarial valuation.

# **Employer Contribution Rates** Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Fiscal Y	'ear		Emplo Normal				unded d Liabil	ity		tal Employer tribution Rate
2004			6.75				.05%			15.80%
2005	5		6.69	%		11	1.11%			17.80%
2006	, )		7.089	%		12	2.02%			19.10%
2007	7		$7.40^{\circ}$	%		11	1.70%			19.10%
2008	3		$7.49^{\circ}$	%		12	2.91%			20.40%
2009	)		7.329	%		11	1.18%			18.50%
2010	)		7.319	%		11	1.29%			18.60%
2011	_		6.56	%		15	5.44%			22.00%
2012	2		6.989	%		18	3.92%			25.90%
2013	3		6.78	%		22	2.62%			29.40%
Annual Contribution Rate (%) 20 15	15.80 9.05	17.80 11.11	19.10 12.02	19.10	20.40	18.50 11.18	18.60	22.00 15.44	25.90 18.92	29.40
5	6.75	6.69	7.08	7.40	7.49	7.32	7.31	6.56	6.98	6.78
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
				F	iscal Yea	ır Ended				
		—Emplo <b>—</b> Emplo	-		Rate	-	<b>■</b> Unfund	ed Accru	ıed Liabi	lity

The rates above for each fiscal year are determined by prior year actuarial valuations. For example, fiscal year ended 2013 rates were determined by the fiscal year ended 2011 actuarial valuation, and reflect the composite employer normal cost and unfunded accrued liability rates for all plans within LASERS.

Benefit Expenses by Type For the Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Type		2004		2005		2006		2007		2008
Benefits										
Regular	€	433,175,565	↔	457,521,300	↔	493,538,492	&	543,463,747	&	585,239,345
Survivors		58,207,404		59,662,090		61,151,906		64,756,893		67,792,994
Deferred Retirement Option		59,048,131		47,091,359		48,744,710		49,038,361		49,321,773
Initial Benefit Option		8,903,537		3,338,644		2,481,107		1,230,820		899'226
Disability Benefits		13,818,110		14,051,770		14,451,268		15,127,212		14,991,539
Total Benefits	&	573,152,747	8	581,665,163	↔	620,367,483	↔	673,617,033	8	718,303,319
Refunds										
Separation	\$	24,094,719	↔	23,661,815	&	32,356,236	↔	32,468,625	&	22,951,994
Death		1,014,179		1,402,913		060'696		1,558,358		966,460
Total Refunds	\$	25,108,898	\$	25,064,728	↔	33,325,326	&	34,026,983	&	23,918,454
Transfers to Other Systems	€	3,651,166	\$	5,292,804	&	4,496,223	&	4,003,617	8	8,230,929
Total Refunds and Transfers	ક્ક	28,760,064	€	30,357,532	€	37,821,549	<del>s</del>	38,030,600	<del>s</del>	32,149,383

Benefit Expenses by Type (continued)

For the Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Type		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013
Benefits										
Regular	\$	631,155,812	8	668,581,029	&	733,039,471	8	791,945,615		872,055,895
Survivors		71,126,808		74,482,830		77,667,823		79,190,930		81,755,704
Deferred Retirement Option		53,226,087		69,287,299		88,056,162		90,928,480		99,350,219
Initial Benefit Option		1,242,870		1,566,842		1,966,560		1,686,544		1,618,364
Disability Benefits		14,656,678		15,318,652		15,110,705		15,219,693		15,630,677
Total Benefits	€	771,408,255	&	829,236,652	↔	915,840,721	&	978,971,262	↔	1,070,410,859
Refunds										
Separation	↔	23,078,248	\$	29,724,211	&	34,393,711	↔	38,575,552		52,012,078
Death		986′806		1,395,156		1,445,450		954,378		2,235,860
Total Refunds	€	23,982,234	8	31,119,367	8	35,839,161	8	39,529,930	\$	54,247,938
Transfers to Other Systems	&	6,331,773	8	4,557,142	8	5,714,735	8	3,691,812		7,274,224
<b>Total Refunds and Transfers</b>	€	30,314,007	ક્ક	35,676,509	€	41,553,896	€	43,221,742	€	61,522,162

# **Average Monthly Benefit Amounts**

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### **Summary of All Retirees**

					Years o	of Service	Credit					
		<5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	M	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 538	\$ 805	\$ 786	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,519	\$ 2,106	\$ 2,667	\$ 3,320	\$ 3,372	\$	1,844
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,383	\$ 2,675	\$ 2,638	\$ 2,876	\$ 3,154	\$ 3,334	\$ 3,641	\$ 4,224	\$ 4,114	\$	3,237
- ,	Number of Retirees	124	293	6,982	5,984	8,911	10,149	10,961	1,666	355		45,425
61	Average Benefit Received	\$ 564	\$ 889	\$ 767	\$ 1,148	\$ 1,460	\$ 2,026	\$ 2,575	\$ 3,154	\$ 3,237	\$	1,771
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,496	\$ 2,345	\$ 2,516	\$ 2,732	\$ 2,904	\$ 3,158	\$ 3,471	\$ 3,844	\$ 3,687	\$	3,048
	Number of Retirees	132	235	6,745	5,770	8,160	9,589	10,217	1,539	335		42,722
1	Average Benefit Received	\$ 579	\$ 906	\$ 754	\$ 1,112	\$ 1,417	\$ 1,961	\$ 2,491	\$ 3,043	\$ 3,189	\$	1,705
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,517	\$ 2,282	\$ 2,474	\$ 2,675	\$ 2,827	\$ 3,067	\$ 3,368	\$ 3,701	\$ 3,593	\$	2,961
	Number of Retirees	138	235	6,637	5,676	7,895	9,246	9,545	1,439	331		41,142
0	Average Benefit Received	\$ 605	\$ 860	\$ 736	\$ 1,080	\$ 1,380	\$ 1,893	\$ 2,413	\$ 2,846	\$ 3,062	\$	1,636
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,456	\$ 2,218	\$ 2,437	\$ 2,620	\$ 2,751	\$ 2,987	\$ 3,267	\$ 3,466	\$ 3,518	\$	2,876
	Number of Retirees	140	234	6,497	5,577	7,629	8,772	8,887	1,337	312		39,385
6	Average Benefit Received	\$ 618	\$ 813	\$ 722	\$ 1,058	\$ 1,350	\$ 1,839	\$ 2,355	\$ 2,750	\$ 3,041	\$	1,588
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,529	\$ 2,251	\$ 2,417	\$ 2,604	\$ 2,705	\$ 2,932	\$ 3,197	\$ 3,379	\$ 3,497	\$	2,827
	Number of Retirees	144	242	6,413	5,488	7,478	8,431	8,457	1,281	319		38,253
<b>∞</b>	Average Benefit Received	\$ 589	\$ 837	\$ 726	\$ 1,044	\$ 1,337	\$ 1,809	\$ 2,311	\$ 2,722	\$ 2,958	\$	1,559
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,503	\$ 2,194	\$ 2,404	\$ 2,558	\$ 2,675	\$ 2,883	\$ 3,146	\$ 3,312	\$ 3,385	\$	2,783
	Number of Retirees	141	252	6,365	5,467	7,449	8,178	8,130	1,278	315		37,575
7	Average Benefit Received	\$ 775	\$ 930	\$ 700	\$ 1,024	\$ 1,283	\$ 1,767	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,801	\$ 3,002	\$	1,543
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,344	\$ 2,087	\$ 2,368	\$ 2,472	\$ 2,662	\$ 2,899	\$ 3,198	\$ 3,453	\$ 3,388	\$	2,781
	Number of Retirees	101	237	6,223	5,423	7,262	7,947	7,918	1,308	323		36,742
9	Average Benefit Received	\$ 716	\$ 875	\$ 661	\$ 959	\$ 1,207	\$ 1,672	\$ 2,216	\$ 2,638	\$ 2,860	\$	1,450
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,318	\$ 2,020	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,447	\$ 2,622	\$ 2,861	\$ 3,134	\$ 3,340	\$ 3,310	\$	2,739
	Number of Retirees	97	230	6,080	5,364	7,130	7,569	7,517	1,254	314		35,555
rc S	Average Benefit Received	\$ 718	\$ 867	\$ 656	\$ 940	\$ 1,178	\$ 1,600	\$ 2,113	\$ 2,486	\$ 2,685	\$	1,389
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 1,876	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,371	\$ 2,425	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,843	\$ 3,077	\$ 3,225	\$ 3,277	\$	2,704
	Number of Retirees	117	229	5,891	5,335	6,917	7,090	7,112	1,194	320		34,205
04	Average Benefit Received	\$ 699	\$ 856	\$ 651	\$ 922	\$ 1,160	\$ 1,566	\$ 2,060	\$ 2,417	\$ 2,625	\$	1,353
200	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 1,826	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,377	\$ 2,426	\$ 2,599	\$ 2,834	\$ 3,044	\$ 3,175	\$ 3,251	\$	2,690
	Number of Retirees	130	239	5,754	5,386	6,863	6,834	6,757	1,170	323		33,456
												_
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013											
	Average Benefit Received Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 633 \$ 2,335	\$ 862 \$ 2,212				\$ 1,845 \$ 2,997		\$ 2,847 \$ 3,546			1,598 2,880

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### **Summary of Regular State Employees**

					Years o	of Service	Credit				-	
		<5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	M	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 359	\$ 516	\$ 773	\$ 1,198	\$ 1,503	\$ 2,134	\$ 2,744	\$ 3,503	\$ 3,449	\$	1,956
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,191	\$ 2,651	\$ 2,705	\$ 2,934	\$ 3,192	\$ 3,316	\$ 3,683	\$ 4,378	\$ 4,146	\$	3,314
	Number of Retirees	94	197	4,756	3,972	5,952	8,356	9,752	1,417	279		34,775
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 410	\$ 521	\$ 752	\$ 1,151	\$ 1,437	\$ 2,051	\$ 2,653	\$ 3,353	\$ 3,356	\$	1,882
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,318	\$ 2,087	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,763	\$ 2,877	\$ 3,126	\$ 3,511	\$ 3,987	\$ 3,743	\$	3,104
_ ,	Number of Retirees	98	138	4,578	3,760	5,256	7,859	9,063	1,286	264		32,302
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 448	\$ 523	\$ 737	\$ 1,119	\$ 1,391	\$ 1,984	\$ 2,566	\$ 3,244	\$ 3,303	\$	1,811
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,386	\$ 2,026	\$ 2,526	\$ 2,708	\$ 2,785	\$ 3,035	\$ 3,402	\$ 3,827	\$ 3,630	\$	3,011
- ,	Number of Retirees	100	130	4,485	3,660	5,030	7,542	8,441	1,194	258		30,840
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 541	\$ 512	\$ 720	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,354	\$ 1,917	\$ 2,492	\$ 3,032	\$ 3,162	\$	1,740
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,382	\$ 1,992	\$ 2,498	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,950	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,582	\$ 3,510	\$	2,922
	Number of Retirees	103	129	4,338	3,574	4,836	7,130	7,819	1,091	242		29,262
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 556	\$ 510	\$ 707	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,320	\$ 1,861	\$ 2,430	\$ 2,939	\$ 3,102	\$	1,686
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,424	\$ 2,082	\$ 2,471	\$ 2,635	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,893	\$ 3,225	\$ 3,489	\$ 3,528	\$	2,867
.,	Number of Retirees	107	137	4,281	3,512	4,733	6,861	7,432	1,041	255		28,359
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 537	\$ 519	\$ 699	\$ 1,042	\$ 1,297	\$ 1,820	\$ 2,384	\$ 2,898	\$ 3,078	\$	1,648
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,455	\$ 2,076	\$ 2,448	\$ 2,604	\$ 2,634	\$ 2,849	\$ 3,170	\$ 3,411	\$ 3,399	\$	2,824
.,	Number of Retirees	99	140	4,237	3,507	4,731	6,654	7,138	1,049	249		27,804
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 581	\$ 477	\$ 654	\$ 970	\$ 1,208	\$ 1,702	\$ 2,245	\$ 2,757	\$ 2,897	\$	1,520
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,587	\$ 2,230	\$ 2,439	\$ 2,586	\$ 2,596	\$ 2,793	\$ 3,088	\$ 3,346	\$ 3,395	\$	2,768
	Number of Retirees	83	131	4,629	3,922	4,958	6,625	6,952	1,066	259		28,625
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 563	\$ 450	\$ 620	\$ 913	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,608	\$ 2,124	\$ 2,587	\$ 2,756	\$	1,429
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,648	\$ 2,207	\$ 2,456	\$ 2,589	\$ 2,573	\$ 2,754	\$ 3,025	\$ 3,221	\$ 3,343	\$	2,735
.,	Number of Retirees	80	125	4,484	3,832	4,818	6,277	6,572	1,015	250		27,453
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 578	\$ 443	\$ 605	\$ 887	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,532	\$ 2,029	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,597	\$	1,364
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,646	\$ 2,210	\$ 2,480	\$ 2,583	\$ 2,569	\$ 2,742	\$ 2,974	\$ 3,110	\$ 3,260	\$	2,715
2	Number of Retirees	81	120	4,293	3,814	4,684	5,845	6,185	958	250		26,230
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 554	\$ 427	\$ 592	\$ 876	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,502	\$ 1,982	\$ 2,395	\$ 2,607	\$	1,331
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,733	\$ 2,265	\$ 2,514	\$ 2,602	\$ 2,585	\$ 2,741	\$ 2,940	\$ 3,068	\$ 3,266	\$	2,715
7	Number of Retirees	89	124	4,180	3,842	4,629	5,619	5,870	936	251		25,540
	•											
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013											
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 510	\$ 492	\$ 687	\$ 1,030	\$ 1,294	\$ 1,836	\$ 2,403	\$ 2,959	\$ 3,037	\$	1,654

\$ 2,466 \$ 2,203 \$ 2,512 \$ 2,667 \$ 2,731 \$ 2,941 \$ 3,268 \$ 3,592 \$ 3,530 \$ 2,914

**Average Final Average Compensation** 

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Regular State Employees (Hired before July 1, 2006)

					Years	of Service	Credit				•	
		<5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	M	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 360	\$ 542	\$ 771	\$ 1,197	\$ 1,503	\$ 2,134	\$ 2,744	\$ 3,503	\$ 3,449	\$	1,959
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,156	\$ 2,131	\$ 2,701	\$ 2,933	\$ 3,192	\$ 3,316	\$ 3,683	\$ 4,378	\$ 4,146	\$	3,313
	Number of Retirees	93	125	4,750	3,969	5,951	8,356	9,752	1,417	279		34,692
~	Average Benefit Received	\$ 411	\$ 529	\$ 752	\$ 1,151	\$ 1,437	\$ 2,051	\$ 2,653	\$ 3,353	\$ 3,356	\$	1,883
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,287	\$ 2,068	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,763	\$ 2,877	\$ 3,126	\$ 3,511	\$ 3,987	\$ 3,743	\$	3,105
	Number of Retirees	97	128	4,577	3,759	5,256	7,859	9,063	1,286	264		32,289
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 450	\$ 523	\$ 737	\$ 1,119	\$ 1,391	\$ 1,984	\$ 2,566	\$ 3,244	\$ 3,303	\$	1,811
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,356	\$ 2,026	\$ 2,525	\$ 2,708	\$ 2,785	\$ 3,035	\$ 3,402	\$ 3,827	\$ 3,630	\$	3,011
	Number of Retirees	99	130	4,484	3,659	5,030	7,542	8,441	1,194	258		30,837
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 541	\$ 512	\$ 720	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,354	\$ 1,917	\$ 2,492	\$ 3,032	\$ 3,162	\$	1,740
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,382	\$ 1,992	\$ 2,498	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,950	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,582	\$ 3,510	\$	2,922
	Number of Retirees	103	129	4,337	3,574	4,836	7,130	7,819	1,091	242		29,261
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 556	\$ 510	\$ 707	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,320	\$ 1,861	\$ 2,430	\$ 2,939	\$ 3,102	\$	1,686
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,424	\$ 2,082	\$ 2,471	\$ 2,635	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,893	\$ 3,225	\$ 3,489	\$ 3,528	\$	2,867
	Number of Retirees	107	137	4,281	3,512	4,733	6,861	7,432	1,041	255		28,359
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 537	\$ 519	\$ 699	\$ 1,042	\$ 1,297	\$ 1,820	\$ 2,384	\$ 2,898	\$ 3,078	\$	1,648
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,455	\$ 2,076	\$ 2,448	\$ 2,604	\$ 2,634	\$ 2,849	\$ 3,170	\$ 3,411	\$ 3,399	\$	2,824
	Number of Retirees	99	140	4,237	3,507	4,731	6,654	7,138	1,049	249		27,804
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 581	\$ 477	\$ 654	\$ 970	\$ 1,208	\$ 1,702	\$ 2,245	\$ 2,757	\$ 2,897	\$	1,520
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,587	\$ 2,230	\$ 2,439	\$ 2,586	\$ 2,596	\$ 2,793	\$ 3,088	\$ 3,346	\$ 3,395	\$	2,768
.,	Number of Retirees	83	131	4,629	3,922	4,958	6,625	6,952	1,066	259		28,625
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 563	\$ 450	\$ 620	\$ 913	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,608	\$ 2,124	\$ 2,587	\$ 2,756	\$	1,429
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,648	\$ 2,207	\$ 2,456	\$ 2,589	\$ 2,573	\$ 2,754	\$ 3,025	\$ 3,221	\$ 3,343	\$	2,735
.,	Number of Retirees	80	125	4,484	3,832	4,818	6,277	6,572	1,015	250		27,453
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 578	\$ 443	\$ 605	\$ 887	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,532	\$ 2,029	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,597	\$	1,364
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,646	\$ 2,210	\$ 2,480	\$ 2,583	\$ 2,569	\$ 2,742	\$ 2,974	\$ 3,110	\$ 3,260	\$	2,715
	Number of Retirees	81	120	4,293	3,814	4,684	5,845	6,185	958	250		26,230
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 554	\$ 427	\$ 592	\$ 876	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,502	\$ 1,982	\$ 2,395	\$ 2,607	\$	1,331
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,733	\$ 2,265	\$ 2,514		\$ 2,585	\$ 2,741	\$ 2,940	\$ 3,068	\$ 3,266	\$	2,715
2	Number of Retirees	89	124	4,180	3,842	4,629	5,619	5,870	936	251		25,540
				, , ,	,		,	, -				, -
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013											
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 511	\$ 494	\$ 687	\$ 1,029	\$ 1,294	\$ 1,836	\$ 2,403	\$ 2,959	\$ 3,037	\$	1,655
	Average Final Average Compensation		\$ 2,127				\$ 2,941			\$ 3,530		2,914
			,			•	,		,			

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Regular State Employees 2 (Hired on or after July 1, 2006)

							Y	ears of	Ser	vice C	redi	t							_	
			<5	5 -	- 10	10 - 15	1	15 - 20	20	- 25	25	- 30	30	- 35	35 -	- 40	40	0+	Me	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$	295	\$	471	\$ 1,805	\$	5 1,666	\$ 2	2,174	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	615
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	5,355	\$ 3,	,539	\$ 6,780	\$	3,960	\$ 4	4,596	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,787
	Number of Retirees		1		72	5		3		1		0		0		0		0		82
~	Average Benefit Received	\$	295	\$	411	\$ 876	\$	723	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	462
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	5,355	\$ 2,	,327	\$ 3,151	\$	5 1,409	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,553
	Number of Retirees		1		10	1		1		0		0		0		0		0		13
	Average Benefit Received	\$	295	\$	-	\$ 876	\$	711	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	627
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	5,355	\$	-	\$ 3,151	\$	5 1,409	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,305
- •	Number of Retirees		1		0	1		1		0		0		0		0		0		3
(	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 876	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	876
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,151	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,151
	Number of Retirees		0		0	1		0		0		0		0		0		0		1
600	Average Benefit Received	No A	Activity																	
2004-2009	Average Final Average Compensation	No A	Activity																	
200	Number of Retirees	No A	Activity																	
						_														
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013																			
	Average Benefit Received	\$	295	\$	464	\$ 1,457	\$	1,286	\$ 2	2,174	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	598
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	5,355	\$ 3,	,391	\$ 5,419	\$	2,940	\$ 4	4,596	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,604

Regular State Employees 3 (Hired on or after January 1, 2011)

								_		_										-	
								Yea	rs of	Serv	vice C	redi	t								
			<5		5	- 10	10 - 15	15	- 20	20	- 25	25	- 30	30	- 35	35 -	40	40	0+		All
	<del>_</del>				Ŭ		10 10													Me	embers
3	Average Benefit Received	\$		-	\$	-	\$ 1,151	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,151
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		-	\$	-	\$ 3,405	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,405
	Number of Retirees			0		0	1		0		0		0		0		0		0		1
2012	Average Benefit Received	No	Activi	ty																	
14-2	Average Final Average Compensation	No	Activi	ty																	
2004-	Number of Retirees	No	Activi	ty																	
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013																				
	Average Benefit Received	\$		-	\$	-	\$ 1,151	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,151
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		-	\$	-	\$ 3,405	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,405

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

**Average Final Average Compensation** 

#### **Summary of Corrections**

							Years o	of Service	Credit				-	
		<5	;	5 -	10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	Me	All embers
~	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 972	\$ 1,594	\$ 1,665	\$ 2,657	\$ 3,562	\$ 4,330	\$ 4,542	\$	1,952
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,305	\$ 3,954	\$ 3,243	\$ 4,320	\$ 4,969	\$ 5,575	\$ 5,577	\$	3,629
	Number of Retirees		0		0	105	105	1,055	373	97	6	4		1,745
61	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,6	545	\$	-	\$ 966	\$ 1,574	\$ 1,622	\$ 2,552	\$ 3,495	\$ 4,256	\$ 4,542	\$	1,886
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,4	174	\$	-	\$ 3,284	\$ 3,942	\$ 3,165	\$ 4,205	\$ 4,871	\$ 5,586	\$ 5,577	\$	3,529
- ,	Number of Retirees		1		0	86	93	1,036	337	84	5	4		1,646
_	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,6	545	\$	-	\$ 958	\$ 1,552	\$ 1,588	\$ 2,436	\$ 3,354	\$ 4,096	\$ 4,542	\$	1,825
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,4	174	\$	-	\$ 3,267	\$ 3,904	\$ 3,090	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,605	\$ 5,135	\$ 5,577	\$	3,405
	Number of Retirees		1		0	66	83	1,001	296	76	4	4		1,531
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,6	545	\$	-	\$ 874	\$ 1,424	\$ 1,526	\$ 2,362	\$ 3,290	\$ 3,980	\$ 4,542	\$	1,758
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,4	174	\$	-	\$ 3,072	\$ 3,662	\$ 2,930	\$ 3,824	\$ 4,395	\$ 4,656	\$ 5,577	\$	3,208
- ,	Number of Retirees		1		0	33	48	915	250	58	3	4		1,312
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 850	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,494	\$ 2,326	\$ 3,201	\$ 3,980	\$ 4,542	\$	1,723
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,996	\$ 3,452	\$ 2,843	\$ 3,685	\$ 4,294	\$ 4,656	\$ 5,577	\$	3,090
	Number of Retirees		0		0	15	32	879	212	55	3	4		1,200
~	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 831	\$ 1,246	\$ 1,466	\$ 2,314	\$ 3,206	\$ 3,980	\$ 4,542	\$	1,700
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,689	\$ 3,304	\$ 2,797	\$ 3,600	\$ 4,270	\$ 4,656	\$ 5,577	\$	3,023
- ,	Number of Retirees		0		0	10	16	860	195	53	3	4		1,141
_	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 672	\$ 1,297	\$ 1,425	\$ 2,187	\$ 3,081	\$ 3,909	\$ 4,429	\$	1,646
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,237	\$ 3,020	\$ 2,732	\$ 3,528	\$ 4,211	\$ 4,656	\$ 5,451	\$	2,954
	Number of Retirees		0		0	5	14	841	186	52	3	5		1,106
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 636	\$ 1,244	\$ 1,382	\$ 2,134	\$ 3,021	\$ 3,399	\$ 4,223	\$	1,597
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,729	\$ 2,685	\$ 3,438	\$ 4,189	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,451	\$	2,895
	Number of Retirees		0		0	2	9	802	169	49	2	5		1,038
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 635	\$ 1,194	\$ 1,341	\$ 2,070	\$ 2,925	\$ 2,637	\$ 4,222	\$	1,538
2005	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,671	\$ 2,638	\$ 3,394	\$ 4,073	\$ 2,805	\$ 5,451	\$	2,833
	Number of Retirees		0		0	2	9	766	153	43	1	5		979
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 635	\$ 1,118	\$ 1,317	\$ 2,063	\$ 2,618	\$ 2,637	\$ 4,007	\$	1,490
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,517	\$ 2,608	\$ 3,395	\$ 3,785	\$ 2,805	\$ 5,423	\$	2,783
	Number of Retirees		0		0	2	9	771	147	38	1	4		972
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013													
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,6	545	\$	-	\$ 937	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,495	\$ 2,370	\$ 3,247	\$ 3,976	\$ 4,405	\$	1,740

\$ 3,474 \$

- \$ 3,201 \$ 3,728 \$ 2,897 \$ 3,843 \$ 4,466 \$ 4,913 \$ 5,519 \$ 3,194

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Corrections Employees Primary (Hired before January 1, 2002)

							Years o	of Service	Credit				-	
		<5		5 -	· 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	Me	All embers
~	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 876	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,604	\$ 2,278	\$ 2,858	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,542	\$	1,736
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,133	\$ 3,851	\$ 3,120	\$ 3,693	\$ 3,962	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	3,277
	Number of Retirees		0		0	46	52	947	194	49	2	4		1,294
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,64	5	\$	-	\$ 873	\$ 1,510	\$ 1,577	\$ 2,244	\$ 2,850	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,542	\$	1,708
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,47	4	\$	-	\$ 3,078	\$ 3,847	\$ 3,060	\$ 3,626	\$ 3,922	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	3,217
	Number of Retirees		1		0	44	50	948	191	47	2	4		1,287
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,64	5	\$	-	\$ 868	\$ 1,512	\$ 1,551	\$ 2,237	\$ 2,845	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,542	\$	1,697
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,47	4	\$	-	\$ 3,046	\$ 3,787	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,596	\$ 3,922	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	3,166
	Number of Retirees		1		0	35	45	930	193	47	2	4		1,257
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,64	5	\$	-	\$ 860	\$ 1,401	\$ 1,505	\$ 2,212	\$ 2,838	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,542	\$	1,671
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,47	4	\$	-	\$ 2,935	\$ 3,550	\$ 2,877	\$ 3,572	\$ 3,902	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	3,060
	Number of Retirees		1		0	20	30	873	190	44	2	4		1,164
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 841	\$ 1,359	\$ 1,482	\$ 2,213	\$ 2,853	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,542	\$	1,657
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	-	\$ 2,808	\$ 3,431	\$ 2,821	\$ 3,539	\$ 3,901	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	3,002
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	10	23	858	177	43	2	4		1,117
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 846	\$ 1,269	\$ 1,462	\$ 2,214	\$ 2,878	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,542	\$	1,646
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,628	\$ 3,166	\$ 2,789	\$ 3,514	\$ 3,947	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	2,967
	Number of Retirees		0		0	8	12	854	176	43	2	4		1,099
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 672	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,424	\$ 2,143	\$ 2,745	\$ 3,534	\$ 4,410	\$	1,603
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,237	\$ 3,007	\$ 2,731	\$ 3,477	\$ 3,867	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	2,911
	Number of Retirees		0		0	5	13	840	176	42	2	4		1,082
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 636	\$ 1,244	\$ 1,381	\$ 2,052	\$ 2,715	\$ 3,399	\$ 4,211	\$	1,553
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,729	\$ 2,684	\$ 3,384	\$ 3,861	\$ 4,455	\$ 5,577	\$	2,857
	Number of Retirees		0		0	2	9	801	162	41	2	4		1,021
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 635	\$ 1,194	\$ 1,339	\$ 2,014	\$ 2,573	\$ 2,637	\$ 4,210	\$	1,501
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,671	\$ 2,637	\$ 3,347	\$ 3,840	\$ 2,805	\$ 5,577	\$	2,805
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	2	9	766	148	38	1	4		968
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 635	\$ 1,118	\$ 1,315	\$ 2,004	\$ 2,551	\$ 2,637	\$ 3,920	\$	1,469
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,337	\$ 2,517	\$ 2,607	\$ 3,333	\$ 3,782	\$ 2,805	\$ 5,582	\$	2,766
.4	Number of Retirees		0		0	2	9	771	143	36	1	3		965
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013													
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,64	5	\$	-	\$ 854	\$ 1,430	\$ 1,471	\$ 2,170	\$ 2,780	\$ 3,490	\$ 4,413	\$	1,633
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,47	4	\$	-	\$ 2,984	\$ 3,559	\$ 2,845	\$ 3,519	\$ 3,895	\$ 4,272	\$ 5,577	\$	3,020

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Corrections Employees Secondary (Hired on or after January 1, 2002)

							Yea	rs o	f Service	Credit					_	
	,	<5	5	- 10	10	- 15	15 - 2	20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40		40+	M	All embers
3	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,	,047	\$ 1,6	67	\$ 2,193	\$ 3,067	\$ 4,280	\$ 4,676	5 5	\$	- \$	2,572
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3,	,440	\$ 4,0	55	\$ 4,323	\$ 4,999	\$ 5,997	\$ 6,135	5 5	\$	- \$	4,639
	Number of Retirees	0		0		59		53	108	179	48	4	1	(	)	451
~	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,	,062	\$ 1,6	48	\$ 2,106	\$ 2,954	\$ 4,338	\$ 4,667	7 9	\$	- \$	2,525
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3,	,495	\$ 4,0	50	\$ 4,282	\$ 4,962	\$ 6,111	\$ 6,340	) !	\$	- \$	4,644
	Number of Retirees	0		0		42		43	88	146	37	3	3	(	)	359
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,	,059	\$ 1,5	98	\$ 2,068	\$ 2,806	\$ 4,207	\$ 4,553	3 5	\$	- \$	2,411
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3,	,517	\$ 4,0	40	\$ 4,264	\$ 4,752	\$ 5,752	\$ 5,815	5 5	\$	- \$	4,501
	Number of Retirees	0		0		31		38	71	103	29	2	2	(	)	274
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	896	\$ 1,4	61	\$ 1,950	\$ 2,839	\$ 4,711	\$ 4,661	L :	\$	- \$	2,438
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3,	,282	\$ 3,8	344	\$ 4,010	\$ 4,625	\$ 5,945	\$ 5,058	3 9	\$	- \$	4,365
	Number of Retirees	0		0		13		18	42	60	14	1	L	(	)	148
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	866	\$ 1,1	.87	\$ 1,981	\$ 2,897	\$ 4,447	\$ 4,661	l S	\$	- \$	2,603
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3,	,371	\$ 3,5	02	\$ 3,866	\$ 4,447	\$ 5,594	\$ 5,058	3 5	\$	- \$	4,306
. ,	Number of Retirees	0		0		5		9	21	35	12	1	Ĺ	(	)	83
~	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	768	\$ 1,1	80	\$ 1,998	\$ 3,283	\$ 4,614	\$ 4,661		\$	- \$	3,129
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 2,	,932	\$ 3,6	83	\$ 3,889	\$ 4,426	\$ 5,658	\$ 5,058	3 5	\$	- \$	4,516
	Number of Retirees	0		0		2		4	6	19	10	1	l		0	42
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 9	46	\$ 2,153	\$ 2,953	\$ 4,492	\$ 4,661	1	\$ 4,504	1 \$	3,613
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,1	72	\$ 3,348	\$ 4,415	\$ 5,658	\$ 5,058	3 \$	§ 4,945	5 \$	4,886
	Number of Retirees	0		0		0		1	1	10	10	1	l		l	24
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,153	\$ 4,011	\$ 4,590	\$	- \$	\$ 4,27	l \$	4,189
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,348	\$ 4,654	\$ 5,872	\$	- \$	§ 4,945	5 \$	5,167
.,	Number of Retirees	0		0		0		0	1	7	8		0		l	17
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 3,418	\$ 5,464	\$	- \$	\$ 4,270	) \$	4,425
2005	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 4,739	\$ 6,182	\$	- \$	§ 4,945	5 \$	5,414
.,	Number of Retirees	0		0		0		0	0	5	5		0		l	11
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 3,917	\$ 4,244	\$	- \$	\$ 4,270	) \$	4,061
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 5,344	\$ 5,369	\$	- \$	§ 4,945	5 \$	5,294
	Number of Retirees	0		0		0	_		0	4	2	(	)	-	l	7
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013															
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,	,031	\$ 1,5	82	\$ 2,097	\$ 2,982	\$ 4,405	\$ 4,650	) \$	4,329	\$	2,592
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3	,448	\$ 3,9	83	\$ 4,219	\$ 4,838	\$ 5,902	\$ 5,802	2 \$	<b>4,94</b> 5	5 \$	4,581

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### Peace Officers (Hired before January 1, 2011)

								Years o	of S	Service	Cre	edit						
		</th <th>5</th> <th>5</th> <th>- 10</th> <th>10 - 15</th> <th>1</th> <th>.5 - 20</th> <th>2</th> <th>0 - 25</th> <th>25</th> <th>5 - 30</th> <th>30 - 35</th> <th>35</th> <th>- 40</th> <th>40+</th> <th>Ŋ</th> <th>All Member</th>	5	5	- 10	10 - 15	1	.5 - 20	2	0 - 25	25	5 - 30	30 - 35	35	- 40	40+	Ŋ	All Member
3	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,532	\$	1,952	\$	2,338	\$	3,219	\$ 3,622	\$	-	\$8,67	5 5	\$ 2,879
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,243	\$	3,749	\$	4,252	\$	4,158	\$ 4,056	\$	-	\$5,28	5 5	\$ 4,164
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	10	)	2		4		6	13		0		1	36
-	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,435	\$	1,952	\$	2,338	\$	3,219	\$ 3,658	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 2,776
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,078	\$	3,749	\$	4,252	\$	4,158	\$ 4,056	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 4,085
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	8	3	2		4		6	13		0		0	33
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,429	\$	1,952	\$	2,286	\$	3,214	\$ 3,624	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 2,788
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,951	\$	3,749	\$	4,202	\$	3,953	\$ 4,100	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 4,025
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	$\epsilon$	5	2		3		5	11		0		0	27
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,409	\$	1,886	\$	1,727	\$	2,631	\$ 3,540	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 2,503
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,977	\$	3,582	\$	3,986	\$	3,690	\$ 4,178	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 3,986
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	5	;	1		2		3	7		0		0	18
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,343	\$	1,886	\$	1,727	\$	1,608	\$ 3,485	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 2,188
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,853	\$	3,582	\$	3,986	\$	3,114	\$ 3,996	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 3,839
	Number of Retirees		0		0	4		1		2		1	4		0		0	12
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,359	\$	1,886	\$	2,102	\$	1,608	\$ 3,115	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 1,827
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,959	\$	3,582	\$	4,528	\$	3,114	\$ 3,987	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 3,870
(1	Number of Retirees		0		0	3		1		1		1	1		0		0	
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	1,831	\$	2,041	\$	-	\$ 3,024	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 2,299
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	3,582	\$	4,528	\$	_	\$ 3,987	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$ 4,032
(1	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	1		1		0	1		0		0	3
90	Average Benefit Received	No A	Activ	ity														
2004-2006	Average Final Average Compensation	No A	Activ	ity														
200	Number of Retirees	No A	Activ	ity														
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013																	
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,441	\$	1,920	\$	2,154	\$	2,991	\$ 3,587	\$	-	\$ 8,67	5 !	\$ 2,658
	Average Final Average Compensation	Œ		æ		\$ 4.054	¢	2 692	æ	1 212	¢	3 953	\$ 4 075	Œ		\$5.28	<b>-</b> (	\$ 4 04

Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013										
Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,441	\$ 1,920	\$ 2,154	\$ 2,991	\$ 3,587	\$ -	\$ 8,675	\$ 2,658
Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,054	\$ 3,682	\$ 4,213	\$ 3,953	\$ 4,075	\$ -	\$ 5,285	\$ 4,047

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### Alcohol and Tobacco Control (Hired after June 30, 2007)

								Years o	of Se	ervice (	Cre	edit							_	
		<	:5	5	- 10	10 - 15	1	5 - 20	20	- 25	2	5 - 30	30	- 35	35 - 4	40	4	0+	M	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$	_	\$	-	\$ 1,952	\$	4,481	\$	_	\$	3,649	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	3,433
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,258	\$	9,103	\$	-	\$	4,740	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,710
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	1		1		0		2		0		0		0		4
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,604	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,976	\$	-	\$3,9	70	\$	-	\$	2,882
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,754	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,425	\$	-	\$4,8	89	\$	-	\$	4,623
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	1		0		0		2		0		1		0		4
0111	Average Benefit Received	No.	Activ	vity																
2004-2011	Average Final Average Compensation	No.	Activ	vity																
200	Number of Retirees	No.	Activ	vity																
						·														
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013																			
	Average Benefit Received	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 1.778	\$	4.481	\$	_	\$	3.313	\$	_	\$ 3.9	70	\$	_	\$	3.157

#### Appellate Law Clerks (Hired before July 1, 2006)

**Average Final Average Compensation** 

**Average Final Average Compensation** 

									Years	of S	Service	Credit						-	
		<	:5	5 -	- 10	10 - 1	15	1	5 - 20	2	0 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 -	- 40	4	0+	Me	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,79	95	\$	2,595	\$	3,840	\$ 4,063	\$ 4,207	\$5,	406	\$	-	\$	3,292
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 5,27	71	\$	5,813	\$	6,787	\$ 7,217	\$ 7,355	\$7,	277	\$	-	\$	6,412
	Number of Retirees		0		0		3		7		4	3	3		1		0		21
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,03	32	\$	2,464	\$	3,944	\$ 4,446	\$ 4,906	\$5,	406	\$	-	\$	3,387
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 5,93	30	\$	5,837	\$	7,038	\$ 7,255	\$ 6,833	\$7,	277	\$	-	\$	6,484
	Number of Retirees		0		0		2		5		3	2	1		1		0		14
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,310	\$	4,039	\$ 3,150	\$ 4,906	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,172
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,794	\$	6,838	\$ 6,878	\$ 6,833	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,320
	Number of Retirees		0		0		0		4		2	1	1		0		0		8
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,442	\$	4,030	\$ 4,906	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,382
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,876	\$	6,838	\$ 6,833	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,356
	Number of Retirees		0		0		0		3		2	1	0		0		0		6
600	Average Benefit Received	No.	Activ	vity															
2004-2009	Average Final Average Compensation	No.	Activ	vity															
200	Number of Retirees	No.	Activ	vity															
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013																		
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,89	90	\$	2,476	\$	3,939	\$ 4,162	\$ 4,487	\$ 5,	406	\$	-	\$	3,310

\$ 5,535 \$ 5,825 \$ 6,874 \$ 7,125 \$ 7,146 \$ 7,277 \$

\$ 6,411

5,167

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### **Summary of Wildlife**

							Years o	of Service	Credit				-	
		<5	5	5	- 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	Me	All embers
_	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,621	\$ 2,205	\$ 3,181	\$ 4,798	\$ 2,171	\$ 6,601	\$	2,925
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,936	\$ 3,450	\$ 3,969	\$ 5,033	\$ 3,061	\$ 7,505	\$	3,864
	Number of Retirees		0		0	0	6	79	76	21	2	2		186
-	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,597	\$ 2,056	\$ 3,037	\$ 4,751	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,761
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,885	\$ 3,230	\$ 3,792	\$ 4,998	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	3,656
	Number of Retirees		0		0	0	7	73	77	20	2	1		180
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,547	\$ 1,974	\$ 3,026	\$ 4,680	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,709
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,781	\$ 3,151	\$ 3,786	\$ 4,927	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	3,606
	Number of Retirees		0		0	0	8	70	78	19	2	1		178
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,547	\$ 1,917	\$ 2,957	\$ 4,172	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,595
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,781	\$ 3,119	\$ 3,717	\$ 4,542	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	3,518
	Number of Retirees		0		0	0	8	71	76	19	2	1		177
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,354	\$ 1,910	\$ 2,879	\$ 4,032	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,543
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,454	\$ 3,089	\$ 3,585	\$ 4,363	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	3,421
	Number of Retirees		0		0	0	7	70	75	19	2	1		174
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,338	\$ 1,858	\$ 2,731	\$ 4,073	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,463
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,455	\$ 3,115	\$ 3,423	\$ 4,376	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	3,364
	Number of Retirees		0		0	0	8	70	74	20	2	1		175
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	799	\$ 1,239	\$ 1,369	\$ 1,767	\$ 2,598	\$ 3,855	\$ 2,108	\$ -	\$	2,265
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	1,520	\$ 1,786	\$ 2,657	\$ 3,040	\$ 3,352	\$ 4,270	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	3,231
	Number of Retirees		0		1	3	16	74	73	20	2	0		189
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	758	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,298	\$ 1,720	\$ 2,632	\$ 3,751	\$ 2,044	\$ -	\$	2,239
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$ :	1,520	\$ 1,786	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,991	\$ 3,282	\$ 4,153	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	3,171
	Number of Retirees		0		1	3	16	74	72	20	2	0		188
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	757	\$ 1,174	\$ 1,297	\$ 1,586	\$ 2,106	\$ 3,308	\$ 2,043	\$ -	\$	1,880
2005	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$ :	1,520	\$ 1,786	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,892	\$ 3,135	\$ 3,923	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	3,015
	Number of Retirees		0		1	3	16	70	63	13	2	0		168
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	757	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,237	\$ 1,552	\$ 2,049	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,043	\$ -	\$	1,757
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$ 3	1,520	\$ 1,734	\$ 2,569	\$ 1,945	\$ 3,125	\$ 3,246	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	2,540
	Number of Retirees		0		1	2	15	71	62	10	2	0		163
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013													
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	768	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,381	\$ 1,858	\$ 2,746	\$ 4,092	\$ 2,126	\$ 3,625	\$	2,423
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$ :	1,520	\$ 1,777	\$ 2,665	\$ 3,008	\$ 3,534	\$ 4,464	\$ 3,061	\$ 5,019	\$	3,348

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Wildlife Agents (Hired before July 1, 2003)

							`	Years o	f Service	Credit				_	
			<5	5	5 - 10	10 - 1	5 1	5 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	M	All embers
~	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1,113	\$ 1,730	\$ 2,264	\$ 3,302	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,036
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,141	\$ 2,753	\$ 3,014	\$ 4,408	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	2,954
	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	4	55	48	7	2	1		117
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1,181	\$ 1,724	\$ 2,251	\$ 3,302	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,028
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,228	\$ 2,727	\$ 2,969	\$ 4,408	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	2,921
	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	5	56	52	7	2	1		123
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1,183	\$ 1,707	\$ 2,264	\$ 3,302	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,016
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,200	\$ 2,811	\$ 2,990	\$ 4,408	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	2,958
	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	6	58	53	7	2	1		127
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1,183	\$ 1,692	\$ 2,264	\$ 3,058	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,007
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,200	\$ 2,847	\$ 2,990	\$ 4,005	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	2,967
	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	6	60	53	9	2	1		131
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1,183	\$ 1,692	\$ 2,270	\$ 2,886	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	2,002
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,200	\$ 2,847	\$ 2,986	\$ 3,685	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	2,944
	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	6	60	55	9	2	1		133
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1,189	\$ 1,672	\$ 2,255	\$ 2,886	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,434	\$	1,980
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,237	\$ 2,919	\$ 2,936	\$ 3,685	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,024	\$	2,951
	Number of Retirees		0		0	(	)	7	62	57	9	2	1		138
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	799	\$ 1,239	9 \$	1,306	\$ 1,634	\$ 2,158	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,108	\$ -	\$	1,864
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	1,520	\$ 1,786	5 \$	2,569	\$ 2,896	\$ 2,885	\$ 3,619	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	2,879
	Number of Retirees		0		1	(	3	15	67	58	10	2	(	0	156
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	758	\$ 1,175	5 \$	1,238	\$ 1,565	\$ 2,049	\$ 2,511	\$ 2,044	\$ -	\$	1,771
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	1,520	\$ 1,786	5 \$	2,569	\$ 2,868	\$ 2,885	\$ 3,503	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	2,863
	Number of Retirees		0		1	(	3	15	68	58	11	2	(	0	158
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	757	\$ 1,174	4 \$	1,237	\$ 1,549	\$ 2,020	\$ 2,409	\$ 2,043	\$ -	\$	1,741
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	1,520	\$ 1,786	5 \$	2,569	\$ 2,856	\$ 3,048	\$ 3,251	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	2,898
	Number of Retirees		0		1	(	3	15	68	60	9	2	(	0	158
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	757	\$ 1,150	) \$	1,237	\$ 1,539	\$ 2,013	\$ 2,118	\$ 2,043	\$ -	\$	1,721
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	1,520	\$ 1,734	4 \$	2,569	\$ 2,932	\$ 3,089	\$ 3,114	\$ 3,061	\$ -	\$	2,946
	Number of Retirees		0		1		2	15	70	61	9	2	0		160
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013							_							
	Average Benefit Received Average Final Average Compensation	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	768 1,520	\$ 1,188 \$ 1,777		1,226 2,437	\$ 1,645 \$ 2,850	\$ 2,175 \$ 2,979	\$ 2,815 \$ 3,758	\$ 2,126 \$ 3,061	\$ 2,434 \$ 4,024		1,904 2,926

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Wildlife Agents (Hired on or after July 1, 2003)

								Years	of Servic	e Credit					=	
		<	:5	5	- 10	10	) <b>-</b> 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35	- 40	40+	Me	All embers
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,638	\$ 3,294	\$ 4,753	\$ 5,546	\$	-	\$ 10,767	\$	4,432
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,526	\$ 5,045	\$ 5,606	\$ 5,345	\$	-	\$ 10,986	\$	5,405
	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	2	24	28	14		0	1		69
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,638	\$ 3,152	\$ 4,642	\$ 5,531	\$	_	\$ -	\$	4,330
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 4,526	\$ 4,887	\$ 5,472	\$ 5,316	\$	_	\$ -	\$	5,229
7	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	2	17	25	13		0	0		57
	Average Benefit Received	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 2,638	\$ 3,264	\$ 4,642	\$ 5,484	\$	_	\$ -	\$	4,437
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 4,526	\$ 4,790	\$ 5,472	\$ 5,229	\$	_	\$ -	\$	5,217
2	Number of Retirees	Ψ	0	4	0	Ψ	0	2	12	25	12	4	0	0	4	51
	Average Benefit Received	\$	_	\$	-	\$		\$ 2,638	\$ 3,141	\$ 4,554	\$ 5,063	\$		\$ -	\$	4,243
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		Φ		\$		\$ 4,526	\$ 4,602	\$ 5,391	\$ 4,973	Φ <b>Φ</b>		φ <b>¢</b>	\$	5,074
7	Number of Retirees	Ψ	0	Ψ	0	Ψ	0	ψ <del>4</del> ,320	ψ <del>4</del> ,002	23	10	Ψ	0	0	Ψ	
		\$	U	ď	U	ď	U	\$ 2,382				\$				46
2009	Average Benefit Received	·	-	\$	-	\$	-		\$ 3,215	\$ 4,525	\$ 5,063			\$ -	\$	4,284
20	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,978	\$ 4,540	\$ 5,201	\$ 4,973	\$		\$ -	\$	4,954
	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	1	10	20	10		0	0		41
8	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,382	\$ 3,295	\$ 4,328	\$ 5,044	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,265
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,978	\$ 4,632	\$ 5,055	\$ 4,941	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,901
	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	1	8	17	11		0	0		37
_	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,313	\$ 3,039	\$ 4,271	\$ 4,936	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,152
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,978	\$ 4,415	\$ 5,127	\$ 4,921	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,879
	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	1	7	15	10		0	0	,	33
,	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,193	\$ 3,477	\$ 5,006	\$ 5,267	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,685
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,978	\$ 4,388	\$ 4,899	\$ 4,948	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,781
_ ,	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	1	6	14	9		0	0		30
	Average Monthly Benefit	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,192	\$ 2,649	\$ 3,805	\$ 5,331	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,023
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,978	\$ 4,022	\$ 4,831	\$ 5,433	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,825
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	1	2	3	4		0	0		10
	Average Monthly Benefit	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,497	\$ 4,213	\$ 4,432	\$	-	\$ -	\$	3,714
2004	Average Final Average Salary	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 3,910	\$ 5,245	\$ 4,432	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,529
. 4	Number of Retirees		0		0		0	0	1	1	1		0	0		3
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013															
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,505	\$ 3,212	\$ 4,584	\$ 5,262	\$	-	\$ 10,767	\$	4,341
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$ 4,315	\$ 4,734	\$ 5,320	\$ 5,111	\$		\$ 10,986		5,096

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Judges (Elected before January 1, 2011)

					Years	of Servic	e Credit					-	
		<5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40		40+	Me	All embers
3	Average Benefit Received	\$	- \$ 2,100	\$ 3,788	\$ 5,197	\$ 6,047	\$ <i>7,77</i> 5	\$ 8,213	\$ 8,485	\$	10,701	\$	6,374
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	- \$6,522	\$ 7,774	\$ 7,955	\$ 7,289	\$ 7,681	\$ 8,129	\$ 8,195	\$	10,375	\$	7,775
- ,	Number of Retirees		) 4	25	55	48	50	35	7		3		227
	Average Benefit Received	\$	- \$ 2,100	\$ 3,745	\$ 5,113	\$ 6,039	\$ 7,642	\$ 7,754	\$ 7,832	\$	10,220	\$	6,174
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	- \$6,522	\$ 7,622	\$ 7,299	\$ 7,235	\$ 6,736	\$ 5,524	\$ 5,936	\$	3,837	\$	6,866
	Number of Retirees		) 4	24	57	50	51	31	6		2		225
	Average Benefit Received	\$	- \$ 2,100	\$ 3,745	\$ 5,090	\$ 6,039	\$ 7,614	\$ 7,595	\$ 7,361	\$	9,449	\$	6,136
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	- \$6,522	\$ 7,622	\$ 7,485	\$ 7,235	\$ 6,685	\$ 5,844	\$ 6,854	\$	4,880	\$	6,972
	Number of Retirees		) 4	24	53	50	51	29	5		3		219
	Average Benefit Received	\$	- \$ 2,100	\$ 3,695	\$ 5,106	\$ 6,058	\$ 7,489	\$ 7,370	\$ 7,361	\$	9,449	\$	6,011
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	- \$6,522	\$ 7,490	\$ 7,469	\$ 7,202	\$ 7,188	\$ 6,128	\$ 6,954	\$	4,880	\$	7,118
	Number of Retirees		) 4	27	54	52	48	27	5		3		220
	Average Benefit Received	\$	- \$ 2,100	\$ 3,623	\$ 5,105	\$ 6,029	\$ 7,494	\$ 7,365	\$ 7,361	\$	9,568	\$	6,023
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	- \$6,522	\$ 7,371	\$ 7,459	\$ 7,179	\$ 7,193	\$ 6,128	\$ 6,954	\$	5,758	\$	7,106
	Number of Retirees		) 4	27	55	54	50	27	5		4		226
	Average Benefit Received	\$	- \$ 2,100	\$ 3,455	\$ 5,067	\$ 5,897	\$ 7,221	\$ 7,106	\$ 7,241	\$	8,527	\$	5,892
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	- \$6,522	\$ 6,834	\$ 6,929	\$ 6,853	\$ 6,656	\$ 6,235	\$ 6,685	\$	7,325	\$	6,746
	Number of Retirees		) 4	21	44	50	46	23	4		3		195
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 2,04	2 \$ 2,370	\$ 3,422	\$ 4,873	\$ 5,685	\$ 6,935	\$ 6,780	\$ 6,979	\$	8,308	\$	5,687
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,19	6 \$ 6,504	\$ 6,648	\$ 6,790	\$ 6,730	\$ 6,564	\$ 5,993	\$ 6,522	\$	7,325	\$	6,584
	Number of Retirees		1 5	19	44	46	47	24	5		3		194
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,93	5 \$ 2,247	\$ 3,290	\$ 4,632	\$ 5,292	\$ 6,661	\$ 6,359	\$ 6,635	\$	7,934	\$	5,382
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,19	6 \$ 6,504	\$ 6,679	\$ 6,780	\$ 6,515	\$ 6,593	\$ 5,794	\$ 6,522	\$	7,325	\$	6,512
	Number of Retirees		1 5	20	43	44	46	25	5		3		192
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,93	5 \$ 2,246	\$ 3,186	\$ 4,545	\$ 5,071	\$ 6,454	\$ 6,241	\$ 6,379	\$	7,934	\$	5,241
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,19	6 \$ 6,504	\$ 6,609	\$ 6,795	\$ 6,591	\$ 6,659	\$ 5,686	\$ 5,575	\$	7,325	\$	6,491
	Number of Retirees		1 5	21	43	44	47	28	5		3		197
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 90	8 \$ 1,932	\$ 3,384	\$ 4,606	\$ 5,280	\$ 6,658	\$ 6,568	\$ 6,634	\$	7,934	\$	5,453
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 5,01	4 \$ 6,522	\$ 6,499	\$ 6,681	\$ 6,499	\$ 6,552	\$ 5,651	\$ 6,522	\$	7,325	\$	6,425
	Number of Retirees		1 4	20	41	43	46	30	5		3		193
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013												
	Average Benefit Received Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 1,70 \$ 3,65	: 1	\$ 3,553 \$ 7,165	\$ 4,958 \$ 7,209	\$ 5,767 \$ 6,952	\$ 7,210 \$ 6,858	\$ 7,179 \$ 6,154	\$ 7,287 \$ 6,716	\$ \$	8,981 6,700	\$ \$	5,859 6,882

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

Legislators (Hired before January 1, 2011)

							Years o	f Service	Credit					
		<	<5	ļ	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	M	All Iembers
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,119	\$ 1,598	\$ 2,764	\$ 3,491	\$ 2,634	\$ 5,702	\$	- \$	2,387
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,899	\$ 2,996	\$ 4,194	\$ 4,278	\$ 3,295	\$ 7,224	\$	- \$	3,712
.,	Number of Retirees		0		0	14	26	26	12	8	4	(	0	90
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,140	\$ 1,598	\$ 2,764	\$ 3,473	\$ 2,634	\$ 3,623	\$	- \$	2,292
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,947	\$ 2,996	\$ 4,194	\$ 4,128	\$ 3,295	\$ 5,248	\$	- \$	3,588
	Number of Retirees		0		0	13	26	26	13	8	2	(	)	88
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	_	\$ 1,140	\$ 1,549	\$ 2,729	\$ 3,414	\$ 2,634	\$ 3,517	\$	- \$	2,286
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,947	\$ 3,233	\$ 4,177	\$ 4,060	\$ 3,295	\$ 4,804	\$	- \$	3,650
(1	Number of Retirees		0		0	13	25	25	14	8	3		)	88
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,134	\$ 1,547	\$ 2,728	\$ 3,297	\$ 2,634	\$ 3,517	\$	- \$	2,257
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 2,883	\$ 3,326	\$ 4,177	\$ 3,984	\$ 3,295	\$ 4,804	\$	- \$	3,646
~	Number of Retirees		0		0	14	26	25	15	8	3		)	91
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,158	\$ 1,646	\$ 2,512	\$ 3,297	\$ 2,630	\$ 3,152	\$	- \$	2,207
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 2,892	\$ 3,421	\$ 4,061	\$ 3,984	\$ 3,198	\$ 3,624	\$	- \$	3,589
7	Number of Retirees		0		0	13	28	24	15	9	2		0	91
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	_	\$ 1,250	\$ 1,671	\$ 2,458	\$ 3,297	\$ 3,218	\$ 3,152	\$	- \$	2,311
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 3,063	\$ 3,415	\$ 3,975	\$ 3,984	\$ 2,912	\$ 3,624	\$	- \$	3,565
	Number of Retirees		0		0	11	29	26	15	11	2		0	94
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	197	\$ 1,322	\$ 1,549	\$ 2,436	\$ 3,144	\$ 3,074	\$ 3,209	\$ 5,14	) \$	2,238
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	8,374	\$ 3,139	\$ 3,207	\$ 4,106	\$ 3,745	\$ 2,836	\$ 3,917	\$ 4,46	5 \$	3,543
(1	Number of Retirees		0		1	7	24	19	9	11	1		1	73
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	193	\$ 1,494	\$ 1,453	\$ 1,847	\$ 2,981	\$ 2,766	\$ 3,043	\$ 4,87	4 \$	1,967
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	8,374	\$ 3,057	\$ 3,114	\$ 3,463	\$ 3,745	\$ 2,233	\$ 3,917	\$ 4,46	5 \$	3,275
2	Number of Retirees		0		1	7	25	20	9	9	1		1	73
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	192	\$ 1,183	\$ 1,430	\$ 1,799	\$ 2,980	\$ 2,645	\$ 3,042	\$ 4,873	3 \$	1,907
2005	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	_	\$	8,374	\$ 3,057	\$ 3,246	\$ 3,241	\$ 3,745	\$ 2,239	\$ 3,917	\$ 4,460	5 \$	3,260
21	Number of Retirees		0		1	7	24	20	9	9	1		1	72
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	192	\$ 1,183	\$ 1,430	\$ 1,771	\$ 2,980	\$ 2,797	\$ 3,042	\$ 4,873	3 \$	1,935
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	8,374	\$ 3,137	\$ 3,246	\$ 3,564	\$ 3,745	\$ 2,866	\$ 3,917	\$ 4,46	5 \$	3,426
	Number of Retirees		0		1	7	24	23	9	11	1		1	77

Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013										
Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$ 194	\$ 1,191	\$ 1,551	\$ 2,411	\$ 3,266	\$ 2,790	\$ 3,805	\$ 4,940	\$ 2,192
Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$ 8,374	\$ 2,977	\$ 3,224	\$ 3,939	\$ 3,966	\$ 2,926	\$ 4,919	\$ 4,466	\$ 3,537

#### Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### Bridge Police Employees (Hired before July 1, 2006)

									Yea	rs of	Serv	ice (	Cred	it						-	
			<5		5 -	10	10	- 15	15	- 20	20 -	25	25 -	30	30 - 35	35 -	40	40	)+	Me	All embers
~	Average Benefit Received	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,3	124	\$	-	\$ 3,886	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,505
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 5,5	505	\$	-	\$ 6,627	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,066
	Number of Retirees		(	0		0		0		0		1		0	1		0		0		2
	Average Benefit Received	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,886	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,886
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 6,627	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,627
	Number of Retirees		(	О		0		0		0		0		0	1		0		0		1
	Average Benefit Received	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,886	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,886
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 6,627	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,627
	Number of Retirees		(	)		0		0		0		0		0	1		0		0		1
010	Average Benefit Received	No A	Activi	ty																	
2004-2010	Average Final Average Compensation	No A	Activi	ty																	
200	Number of Retirees	No A	Activi	ty																	
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013																				
	Average Benefit Received	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,2	124	\$	-	\$ 3,886	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,696
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 5,5	505	\$	-	\$ 6,627	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,347

#### Hazardous Duty (Hired after January 1, 2011)

							Years of	Service (	Credit						-	
			<5	5	- 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35	- 40	40	+	Me	All embers
۲,	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,267	\$ 1,728	\$ 2,353	\$ 4,016	\$ 5,650	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,152
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,539	\$ 3,941	\$ 4,516	\$ 4,805	\$ 5,650	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,281
	Number of Retirees		0		0	8	13	36	1	1		0		0		59
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,137	\$ 1,791	\$ 2,375	\$ 4,016	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,155
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,552	\$ 4,202	\$ 4,384	\$ 4,802	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,244
	Number of Retirees		0		0	3	4	12	1	0		0		0		20
	Average Benefit Received	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,434	\$ 1,561	\$ 2,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,805
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,499	\$ 3,471	\$ 4,082	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,043
	Number of Retirees		0		0	1	1	3	0	0		0		0		5
010	Average Benefit Received	No	Activity	y												
2004-2010	Average Final Average Compensation	No	Activity	y												
200	Number of Retirees	No	Activity	<i>y</i>												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013										
Average Benefit Received	\$ - \$	-	\$ 1,248	\$ 1,733	\$ 2,338	\$ 4,016	\$ 5,650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,132
Average Final Average Compensation	\$ - \$	-	\$ 3,622	\$ 3,973	\$ 4,459	\$ 4,804	\$ 5,650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,258

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### Disability

					Years o	of Service	Credit					
		<5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+		All
	I	t 1=0			+ + 0=0		+	+ + 0=0				embers
[3	Average Benefit Received	\$ 458	\$ 922	\$ 723	\$ 1,070	\$ 1,436	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,958	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,112
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,151	\$ 2,326	\$ 2,349	\$ 2,439	\$ 2,698	\$ 2,897	\$ 2,942	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,519
	Number of Retirees	6	16	858	790	636	235	13	0		)	2,554
7	Average Benefit Received	\$ 327	\$ 1,161	\$ 692	\$ 1,040	\$ 1,396	\$ 1,760	\$ 1,958	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,085
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,410	\$ 2,365	\$ 2,157	\$ 2,244	\$ 2,434	\$ 2,835	\$ 2,942	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,325
	Number of Retirees	5	17	848	786	635	240	13	0	(	)	2,544
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 333	\$ 1,113	\$ 677	\$ 1,026	\$ 1,364	\$ 1,749	\$ 1,958	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,067
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,250	\$ 2,615	\$ 2,266	\$ 2,375	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,875	\$ 2,942	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,441
	Number of Retirees	6	18	856	803	642	248	13	0	(	)	2,586
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 294	\$ 955	\$ 662	\$ 1,001	\$ 1,349	\$ 1,699	\$ 1,884	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,041
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,506	\$ 2,793	\$ 2,185	\$ 2,268	\$ 2,515	\$ 2,821	\$ 2,878	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,363
.,	Number of Retirees	8	20	863	817	642	238	15	0	(	)	2,603
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 557	\$ 691	\$ 646	\$ 984	\$ 1,324	\$ 1,676	\$ 1,884	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,019
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,573	\$ 2,643	\$ 2,181	\$ 2,330	\$ 2,530	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,878	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,379
7	Number of Retirees	8	21	878	822	647	240	15	0		)	2,631
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 470	\$ 675	\$ 644	\$ 967	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,662	\$ 1,879	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,008
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,004	\$ 2,573	\$ 2,229	\$ 2,330	\$ 2,525	\$ 2,797	\$ 2,878	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,394
7	Number of Retirees	12	22	890	833	658	239	15	0		0	2,669
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$ 597	\$ 694	\$ 1,013	\$ 1,478	\$ 1,868	\$ 1,929	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,081
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$ 1,471	\$ 2,053	\$ 2,200	\$ 2,535	\$ 2,813	\$ 2,471	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,273
7	Number of Retirees	0	8	385	365	304	69	3	0	(	)	1,134
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$ 564	\$ 655	\$ 941	\$ 1,375	\$ 1,711	\$ 1,791	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,009
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$ 1,471	\$ 2,001	\$ 2,118	\$ 2,477	\$ 2,694	\$ 2,442	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,208
7	Number of Retirees	0	8	408	380	333	71	2	0	. (	)	1,202
	Average Benefit Received	\$ -	\$ 508	\$ 621	\$ 920	\$ 1,320	\$ 1,686	\$ 1,500	\$ -	\$	- \$	971
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ -	\$ 1,363	\$ 1,910	\$ 2,053	\$ 2,357	\$ 2,620	\$ 2,358	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,116
7	Number of Retirees	0	10	408	375	335	68	3	0	. (	)	1,199
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,935	\$ 753	\$ 606	\$ 900	\$ 1,251	\$ 1,633	\$ 919	\$ -	\$	- \$	930
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,196	\$ 1,822	\$ 1,844	\$ 1,990	\$ 2,263	\$ 2,526	\$ 2,189	\$ -	_	- \$	2,041
2	Number of Retirees	1	12	415	382	335	57	1	0	. (	)	1,203
	1											
	Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013											
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 451	\$ 832	\$ 666	\$ 997	\$ 1,361	\$ 1,722	\$ 1,891	\$ -	\$	- \$	1,041
	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,290	\$ 2,312	\$ 2,162	\$ 2,274	\$ 2,509	\$ 2,808	\$ 2,858	\$ -	\$	- \$	2,346
		7 -,->0	, _, <b>_</b>	, _,===	, =,=: =	, _,-,-	, _,,,,,	, =,550	*	т	~	_,= _0

# Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013

#### Survivors

					Years o	of Service	Credit				•	
		<5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 - 35	35 - 40	40+	Μe	All embers
~	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,445	\$ 769	\$ 922	\$ 1,137	\$ 1,322	\$ 1,545	\$ 1,896	\$ 2,416	\$	1,177
2013	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,918	\$ 2,591	\$ 2,377	\$ 2,545	\$ 2,808	\$ 2,940	\$ 2,924	\$ 3,050	\$ 3,499	\$	2,731
	Number of Retirees	24	76	1,202	1,007	1,070	1,035	1,017	229	66		5,726
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,084	\$ 1,423	\$ 777	\$ 912	\$ 1,110	\$ 1,288	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,880	\$ 2,462	\$	1,159
2012	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,970	\$ 2,418	\$ 2,279	\$ 2,444	\$ 2,652	\$ 2,855	\$ 2,884	\$ 2,954	\$ 3,333	\$	2,631
	Number of Retirees	28	76	1,182	1,030	1,065	1,001	983	236	64		5,665
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,387	\$ 774	\$ 877	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,266	\$ 1,512	\$ 1,843	\$ 2,374	\$	1,136
2011	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,763	\$ 2,385	\$ 2,267	\$ 2,435	\$ 2,664	\$ 2,839	\$ 2,851	\$ 2,951	\$ 3,259	\$	2,616
	Number of Retirees	31	83	1,186	1,037	1,069	1,011	946	231	65		5,659
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 868	\$ 1,315	\$ 746	\$ 841	\$ 1,051	\$ 1,227	\$ 1,484	\$ 1,827	\$ 2,278	\$	1,097
2010	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,628	\$ 2,317	\$ 2,260	\$ 2,397	\$ 2,656	\$ 2,838	\$ 2,826	\$ 2,849	\$ 3,355	\$	2,595
	Number of Retirees	28	81	1,217	1,046	1,084	1,012	933	233	62		5,696
	Average Benefit Received	\$ 834	\$ 1,296	\$ 742	\$ 841	\$ 1,036	\$ 1,193	\$ 1,472	\$ 1,765	\$ 2,188	\$	1,078
2009	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,560	\$ 2,271	\$ 2,259	\$ 2,369	\$ 2,620	\$ 2,812	\$ 2,781	\$ 2,788	\$ 3,030	\$	2,561
	Number of Retirees	29	80	1,195	1,031	1,069	977	896	228	55		5,560
~	Average Benefit Received	\$ 819	\$ 1,345	\$ 822	\$ 914	\$ 1,145	\$ 1,312	\$ 1,491	\$ 1,786	\$ 2,056	\$	1,152
2008	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,474	\$ 2,136	\$ 2,293	\$ 2,352	\$ 2,594	\$ 2,766	\$ 2,782	\$ 2,758	\$ 2,959	\$	2,545
	Number of Retirees	30	86	1,194	1,028	1,053	953	869	219	58		5,490
_	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,312	\$ 1,324	\$ 765	\$ 834	\$ 1,057	\$ 1,171	\$ 1,391	\$ 1,616	\$ 1,894	\$	1,061
2007	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 3,105	\$ 2,089	\$ 2,293	\$ 2,310	\$ 2,575	\$ 2,757	\$ 2,771	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,918	\$	2,528
	Number of Retirees	17	91	1,175	1,037	1,019	938	855	231	55		5,418
,5	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,073	\$ 1,310	\$ 690	\$ 760	\$ 944	\$ 1,013	\$ 1,240	\$ 1,449	\$ 1,763	\$	948
2006	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,703	\$ 2,008	\$ 2,284	\$ 2,315	\$ 2,559	\$ 2,794	\$ 2,757	\$ 2,709	\$ 2,868	\$	2,524
	Number of Retirees	16	90	1,156	1,059	1,039	925	840	229	55		5,409
10	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,146	\$ 1,275	\$ 727	\$ 763	\$ 920	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,225	\$ 1,419	\$ 1,792	\$	947
2002	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,832	\$ 1,920	\$ 2,265	\$ 2,309	\$ 2,520	\$ 2,718	\$ 2,702	\$ 2,682	\$ 2,849	\$	2,487
	Number of Retirees	35	92	1,157	1,054	998	905	831	227	61		5,360
-	Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,129	\$ 1,260	\$ 736	\$ 743	\$ 897	\$ 949	\$ 1,101	\$ 1,255	\$ 1,535	\$	902
2004	Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,788	\$ 1,911	\$ 2,229	\$ 2,282	\$ 2,455	\$ 2,672	\$ 2,651	\$ 2,620	\$ 2,771	\$	2,441
	Number of Retirees	39	97	1,128	1,073	991	894	797	225	64		5,308


Ten Years Ended June 30, 2013											
Average Benefit Received	\$ 1,040	\$ 1,334	\$ 75	\$	840	\$ 1,040	\$ 1,180	\$ 1,408	\$ 1,675	\$ 2,084	\$ 1,068
Average Final Average Compensation	\$ 2,761	\$ 2,188	\$ 2,28	\$ 2,	,375	\$ 2,612	\$ 2,802	\$ 2,799	\$ 2,808	\$ 3,094	\$ 2,568

#### Statistical Section

# **LASERS Membership**

Fiscal	Active	Regular	Disability			Terminated	Terminated	Total
Year	Members	Retirees	Retirees	Survivors	DROP	Vested	Nonvested**	Members
2004	64,149	26,945	1,203	5,308	2,835	1,324	35,955	137,719
2005	64,168	27,646	1,199	5,360	2,810	1,486	34,379	137,048
2006	57,811	28,944	1,202	5,409	2,577	2,492	43,382	141,817
2007	60,444	30,190	1,134	5,418	2,624	1,980	43,797	145,587
2008	61,780	29,416	2,669	5,490	2,643	1,824	47,828	151,650
2009	61,991	30,062	2,631	5,560	2,683	1,947	49,701	154,575
2010	58,881	31,086	2,603	5,696	2,629	1,981	50,842	153,718
2011	54,930	32,897	2,586	5,659	2,569	2,125	51,959	152,725
2012	52,352	34,513	2,544	5,665	2,577	2,222	50,590	150,463
2013	44,111	37,145	2,554	5,726	2,092	4,162	52,385	148,175

# LASERS Changes In Membership\*\*



<sup>\*\*</sup> Chart does not include Terminated Nonvested

# **Number of Benefit Recipients**

Fiscal Year	Ended		Re	cipients	*		N	et Chan	ıge
2004				33,456				2.1%	
2005				34,205				2.2%	
2006				35,555				3.9%	
2007				36,742				3.3%	
2008				37,575				2.3%	
2009				38,253				1.8%	
2010				39,385				3.0%	
2011				41,142				4.5%	
2012				42,722				3.8%	
2013				45,425				6.3%	
46 —									
45									45.4
44									45.4
43									
								42.	7
42								12.	7
رم 41 <del></del>							41.	 1	
<b>pu</b> 40 —							11.	1	
40 ————————————————————————————————————						39.4	4		
E 38 —									
					38.3	3			
37			26.7	37.6					
36		-	36.7						
35		35.0	5						
34	34	1.2							
33	33.5	· 			I	I	I	1	
200	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
			Fi	iscal Ye	ar Ende	d			

<sup>\*</sup>Recipients include Regular, Disability and Survivor retirees.

# Retired Members By Recipient Type and Plan

					Fiscal Year	Year					
Retirement Plan	Benefit Recipient Type	2004	2002	2006	2002	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Regular State Employees	Regular Retiree	25,540	26,230	27,453	28,625	27,804	28,359	29,261	30,837	32289	34692
(Hired before July 1, 2006)	Survivor	5,074	5,114	5,149	5,146	5,201	5,256	5,383	5,336	5325	5370
	Disability Retiree	1,132	1,126	1,121	1,057	2,571	2,528	2,491	2,474	2425	2425
	DROP Accrual	2,666	2,652	2,438	2,516	2,543	2,576	2,526	2,460	2469	1989
Regular State Employees-Total		34,412	35,122	36,161	37,344	38,119	38,719	39,661	41,107	42,508	44,476
Regulary State Employees 2	Regular Retiree	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1	3	13	82
(Hired on or after July 1, 2006)	Survivor	1	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ı	0	3
	DROP Accrual	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	1	∞	16
Regular State Employees 2-Total				1	•		1	1	4	21	101
Regulary State Employees 3	Regular Retiree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Regular State Employees 3-Total		1	,					1		,	1
Corrections Employees Primary	Regular Retiree	962	896	1,021	1,082	1,099	1,117	1,164	1,257	1,287	1,294
(Hired before January 1, 2002)	Survivor	72	83	96	103	114	126	134	136	146	154
	Disability Retiree	55	57	62	28	29	09	29	61	62	69
	DROP Accrual	132	121	100	74	29	61	26	57	46	32
Corrections Employees Primary-Total	tal	1,224	1,229	1,279	1,317	1,331	1,364	1,421	1,511	1,541	1,549
Corrections Employees Secondary	Regular Retiree	7	11	17	24	42	83	148	274	329	451
(Hired on or after January 1, 2002)	Survivor	1	1	2	ιΟ	^	10	11	16	21	29
	Disability Retiree	1	2	4	3	^	12	16	23	31	34
	DROP Accrual	13	6	11	5	8	18	24	30	32	32
Corrections Employees Secondary-Total	Total	21	23	34	37	64	123	199	343	443	546
Peace Officers	Regular Retiree	1	ı	ı	3	7	12	18	27	33	36
(Hired before January 1, 2011)	Disability Retiree	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	DROP Accrual	1	1	1	1	10	8	9	2	1	3
Peace Officers-Total		-	-	-	5	18	21	25	30	35	40

# Retired Members By Recipient Type and Plan (continued)

					Fiscal Year	Year					
Retirement Plan	Benefit Recipient Type	2004	2002	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Appellate Law Clerks	Regular Retiree							9	8	14	21
(Hired before July 1, 2006)	DROP Accrual									2	-
Appellate Law Clerks-Total		-	-	-	-	-	-	9	8	16	21
Wildlife Agents	Regular Retiree	160	158	158	156	138	133	131	127	123	117
(Hired before July 1, 2003)	Survivor	73	73	71	89	99	63	09	29	57	55
	Disability Retiree	12	11	11	10	21	20	19	19	17	17
	DROP Accrual	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	2	1	ı
Wildlife Agents (Before 2003)-Total		245	242	240	234	225	216	210	207	197	189
Wildlife Agents	Regular Retiree	3	10	30	33	37	41	46	51	22	69
(Hired on or after July 1, 2003)	Survivor	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3
	Disability Retiree	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	DROP Accrual	-	-	-	3	5	4	4	3	8	9
Wildlife Agents (After 2003)-Total		3	12	33	40	46	20	25	29	71	81
Judges	Regular Retiree	193	197	192	194	195	226	220	219	225	227
(Elected before January 1, 2011)	Survivor	20	71	72	73	26	80	82	87	88	88
	Disability Retiree	3	1	1	2	^	^	9	ις	ιυ	ις
	DROP Accrual	23	23	23	20	18	16	13	14	11	13
Judges-Total		289	292	288	289	299	329	321	325	329	334
Legislators	Regular Retiree	77	72	73	73	94	91	91	88	88	06
(Elected before January 1, 2011)	Survivor	19	18	19	22	22	23	24	23	25	23
	DROP Accrual	1	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	1
Legislators-Total		26	95	46	100	116	114	115	111	113	113

# Retired Members By Recipient Type and Plan (continued)

					riscal rear	rear					
Retirement Plan	Benefit Recipient Type	2004	2002	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bridge Police Employees	Regular Retiree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
(Hired before July 1, 2006)											
Bridge Police Employees-Total		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	2
Hazardous Duty	Regular Retiree	-	-	-	ı	-	-	ı	5	20	59
(Hired on or after January 1, 2011)	DROP Accrual									1	1
Hazardous Duty-Total		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	20	09
Alcohol and Tobacco Control	Regular Retiree	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	ι	ı	4	4
(Hired on or after June 30, 2007)											
Alcohol and Tobacco Control-Total		-	-	•	-	•	•	-	•	4	4
Grand Total Benefit Recipients		36,291	37,015	38,132	39,366	40,218	40,936	42,014	43,711	45,299	47,517


# Location of LASERS Benefit Recipients<sup>1</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recipients include Regular, Disability and Survivor retirees

# Fiscal Year 2013 Gross Benefits Paid by Region

This chart provides a regional snapshot of benefits paid to retirees during the 2012-2013 fiscal year. The parishes are separated into eight regions: Shreveport, Monroe, Alexandria, Lake Charles, Acadiana, Baton Rouge, New Orleans, and North Shore.



# Fiscal Year 2013 Gross Benefits Paid By Region (continued)

<u>Acadiana</u>		<u>Monroe</u>		
Acadia	\$ 5,521,169	Caldwell	\$	3,210,306
Evangeline	4,498,696	East Carroll		466,984
Iberia	4,447,799	Franklin		3,928,447
Lafayette	26,676,529	Jackson		2,339,044
St. Landry	9,660,540	Lincoln		10,757,415
St. Martin	5,747,069	Madison		1,289,045
St. Mary	2,462,886	Morehouse		2,314,660
Vermilion	4,255,311	Ouachita		24,909,481
	\$ 63,269,998	Richland		3,582,632
<u>Alexandria</u>		Tensas		915,835
Avoyelles	12,748,730	Union		3,533,048
Catahoula	1,605,422	West Carroll		1,259,600
Concordia	2,041,626		\$	58,506,498
Grant	5,561,746	New Orleans		
La Salle	1,876,768	Jefferson		41,547,014
Natchitoches	6,597,759	Lafourche		9,323,556
Rapides	39,817,821	Orleans		50,219,089
Sabine	3,217,218	Plaquemines		2,032,266
Vernon	4,537,319	St. Bernard		2,428,448
Winn	2,118,297	St. Charles		2,739,776
	\$ 80,122,705	St. John the Baptist		3,283,180
Baton Rouge		Terrebonne		8,265,041
Ascension	17,855,675		\$	119,838,370
Assumption	1,422,551	North Shore		
East Baton Rouge	156,130,348	St. Helena		3,198,354
East Feliciana	20,140,694	St. Tammany		25,777,459
Iberville	8,692,732	Tangipahoa		28,784,465
Livingston	34,274,986	Washington		10,659,111
Pointe Coupee	6,350,805		\$	68,419,389
St. James	1,560,731	<u>Shreveport</u>		
West Baton Rouge	6,983,825	Bienville		2,955,682
West Feliciana	8,741,823	Bossier		12,230,805
	\$ 262,154,170	Caddo		34,355,884
Lake Charles		Claiborne		3,693,801
Allen	2,096,490	De Soto		2,717,359
Beauregard	3,863,700	Red River		1,666,409
Calcasieu	19,443,157	Webster		4,928,075
Cameron	183,185		\$	62,548,014
Jefferson Davis	2,523,086		т	,,
, circiocii Duvio	\$ 28,109,618	Total	\$	742,968,762
	Ψ 20,109,010	1 Otal	Ψ	142,500,702

#### Statistical Section

# The **LASERS** Mission

To provide a sound retirement plan for our members through prudent management and exceptional service

# The **LASERS** Vision

Confidence in our service, assuring financial security for your future

# **LASERS** Core Values

Highest Ethical Standards Integrity Prudent Management



Location: 8401 United Plaza Blvd. • Baton Rouge, LA 70809

**Mail:** P.O. Box 44213 • Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4213

**Phone:** (toll-free) 800.256.3000 • (local) 225.922.0600

Web: www.lasersonline.org

The Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS) distributed this document digitally.

No publication costs were incurred.